# E S S A Y S

AND

### TREATISES

ON

SEVERAL SUBJECTS.

IN TWO VOLUMES.

By D A V I D H U M E, Efq;

VOL. I.

CONTAINING

ESSAYS, MORAL, POLITICAL, AND LITERARY.

A NEW EDITION.

### L Q N D O N:

Printed for T. CADELL; C. ELLIOT, T. KAY, and Co. in the Strand, London; and C. Elliot, Edinburgh.

### THE

### CONTENTS

OF THE

### FIRST VOLUME.

### ESSAYS, MORAL, POLITICAL, AND LITERARY.

### PART I. Page Effay F the Delicacy of Taste and Passion, 17 of the Liberty of the Press, - 21 III. That Politics may be reduced to a Science, 24 IV. Of the First Principles of Government, 37 V. Of the Origin of Government. 4 I VI. Of the Independency of Parliament, 45 VII. Whether the British Government inclines more to Absolute Monarchy, or to a Republic, 49 VIII. Of Parties in General, IX. Of the Parties of Great Britain. **-**02 X. Of Superstition and Enthusiasim, XI. Of the Dignity or Meanness of Human Nature, XII. Of Civil Liberty, 81 XIII/Of Eloquence, 90 XIV. Of the Rife and Progress of the Arts and Sciences. IOL XV. The Epicurgan, 124 . XVI.

1		,	• •	
Effay			( -	Page
XVI. The St	oic, -		•	130
XVII. The Pl	atonist,			138
XVIII. The S	ceptic, -	` <b>-</b>	-	142
XIX Of Poly	gamy and	Divorces,		164
XX. Of Sim	plicity and	Refinem	ent in Wr	i-
tin		• · · · <u>-</u>	- "	172
XXI. Of Nat		cters,		177
XXII. Of Trag	gedy,		_ `	193
XXIII. Of the	Standard o	f Tafte,	-	203
		•		
	PAR	T II.		-
	•			
I.V F	Commerce		-	226
II.	of Refinem	ent in the	Arts.	241
III. Of Mo	ney	-	-	253
IV. Of Inte		•	-	265
V. Of the		Trade.		278
VI. Of the			_	- 296
VII. Of the	Balance of	Power.	<u> </u>	300
VIII. Of Tax			<b>.</b>	. 309
IX. Of Pub		-		314
X. Of fome		le Cufton	ns	329
XI. Of the J				ıs, 338
XII. Of the				494
XIII. Of Paffi			•	425
XIV. Of the,		•		429
XV. Of the I				437
AVI. Idea of				447
*** ***	a postant d	,		77/
			`	
			tree	AVE
			ESS	AID
			,	b Pf

# ESSAY



Moral, Political, and Literary.

PART I\*

### ESSAY I.

Of the Delicacy of Taste and Passion.

C OME people are subject to a certain delicacy of passion, which makes them extremely fensible to all the accidents of life, and gives them a lively joy upon every profeerous event, as well as a piercing grief when they meet with misfortunes and advertity. Favours and good offices eafily engage their friendship; while the smallest injury provokes their refentment. Any honour or mark of distinction elevates them above measure; but they are as fenfibly touched with contempt. People of this character have, no donbt, more lively enjoyments, as well as more pungent forrows, than men of cool and Edate tempers: But, I believe, when every thing is nalanced, there is no one who would not rather be of the latter character, were he entirely master of his own disposition. Good or ill fortune is very little at our disposal: And when a person, that has this sensibility of temper, meets with any misfortune, his forrow or refentment takes entire possession of thim, and deprives Vol. I.

\* Published in 1742.

him of all relish in the common occurrences of life; the right enjoyment of which forms the chief part of our happiness. Great pleasures are much less frequent than great pains; so that a sensible temper must meet with fewer trials in the former way than in the latter. Not to mention, that men of such lively passions are apt to be transported beyong all bounds of prudence and discretion, and to take salse steps in the conduct of life, which are often irretrievable

There is a delicacy of taste observable in some men, which very much resembles this delicacy of passion, and produces the same sensibility to beauty and deformity of every kind, as that does to prosperity and adversity, obligations and injuries. When you present a poem or a picture to a man possessed of this talent, the delicacy of his feeling makes him be fenfibly touched with every part of it; nor are the masterly strokes perceived with more exquisite relish and satisfaction, than the negligences or abfurdities with difgust and uneafiness. polite and judicious conversation affords him the higher entertainment; rudeness or impertinence is as great a punishment to him. In short, delicacy of taste has the fame effect as delicay of passion: It enlarges the sphere both of our happiness and misery, and makes us fensible to pains as well as pelafures which escape the rest of mankind.

I believe, however, every one will agree with me, that, notwithstanding this resemblance, delicacy of taste is as much to be desired and cultivated, as delicacy of passion, is to be lamented, and to be remdied if possible. The good or ill accidents of life are very little at our disposal; but we are pretty much masters what books we shall read, what diversions we shall partake of, and what company we shall keep. Philosophers have endeavoured to render happiness entirely independent of every thing external. That degree of perfection is impossible to be attained: But every wise man will endeavour to place his happiness on such objects chiefly as depend upon himself; and that is not to be attained.

fo much by any other means as by this delicacy of fentiment. When a man is possessed of that talent, he is more, happy by what pleases his taste than by what gratifies his appetites, and receives more enjoyment from a poem or a piece of reasoning than the most ex-

penfive luxury can afford.

Whatever connexion there may be originally between these two species of delicacy, I am persuaded, that nothing is so proper to cure us of this delicacy of passion, as the cultivating of that higher and more refined taile, -which enables us to judge of the characters of men, of compositions of genius, and of the productions of the nobler arts. A greater or less relish for those obvious beauties which strike the senses, depends entirely upon the greater or less sensibility of the temper: But with regard to the sciences and liberal arts, a fine taste is, in fome measure, the same with strong sense; or at least depends fo much upon it, that they are inseparable. In order to judge aright of a composition of genius, there are so many views to be taken in, so many circumstances to be compared, and such a knowledge of human nature requifite, that no man, who is not poffessed of the soundest judgment, will ever make a tolerable critic in fuch performances. And this is a new reason for cultivating a relish in the liberal arts. Our judgment will strengthen by this exercise: We shall form jutter notions of life: Many things, which please or afflict others, will appear to us too frivolous to engage our attention: And we shall lose by degrees that senfibility and delicacy of passion which is so incommodious.

But perhaps I have gone too far, in faying that a cultivated taste for the polite arts extinguishes the passions, and tenders us indifferent to those objects which are so fondly pursued by the rest of mankind. On farther restlection, I find, that it rather improves our sensibility for all the tender and agreeable passions; at the same time that it renders the mind incapable of the rougher and more boisterous emotions.

### Ingenuas didicissé fideliter artes, Emollit motes, nec sinit esse feros.

For this, I think, there may be affigured two very natural reasons. In the first place, nothing is so improving to the temper as the study of the beauties, either of poetry, eloquence, music, or painting. They give a certain elegance of sentiment to which the rest of mankind are strangers. The emotions which they excite are soft and tender. They draw off the mind from the hurry of business and interest; cherish reslection; dispose to tranquillity; and produce an agreeable melancholy, which, of all dispositions of the mind, is the best suited to love and friendship.

In the fecond place, a delicacy of taste is favourable to love and friendship, by confining our choice to few peole, and making us indifferent to the company and conversation of the greater part of men. You will feldom find that mere men of the world, whatever strong sense they may be endowed with, are very nice in diffinguishing characters, or in marking those insensible differences and gradations which make one man preferable to another. Any one that has competent fense, is sufficient for their entertainment: They talk to him of their pleasure and affairs with the fame frankness that they would to another; and finding many who are fit to supply his place, they never feel any vacancy or want in his absence. But to make use of the allusion of a celebrated French + author, the judgment may be compared to a clock or watch, where the most ordinary machine is fufficient to tell the hours; but the most elaborate alone can point out the minutes and feconds, and distinguish the smallest differences of time. One that has well digested his knowledge both of books and men, has little enjoyment but in the company of a few felect companions. He feels too fentibly, how much all the rest of mankind fall short of the notions which he has entertained. And, his affections being thus confined

of Mons. Fontenelle, Pluralité des Mondes. Soir 6.

within a narrow circle, no wonder he carries them further than if they were more general and undiffinguished. The gaiety and frolic of a bottle-companion improves with him into a folid friendship; and the ardours of a youthful appetite become an elegant passion.

### ESSAY II.

Of the LIBERTY of the PRESS.

TOTHING is more apt to surprise a foreigner, than the extreme liberty which we enjoy in this country, of communicating whatever we please to the public, and of openly censuring every measure entered into by the king or his ministers. If the administration resolve upon war, it is affirmed, that, either wilfully or ignorantly, they mistake the interests of the nation; and that peace, in the present situation of affairs, is infinitely preferable. If the passion of the ministers lie towards peace, our political writers breathe nothing but war and devastation, and represent the pacific conduct of the government as mean and pufillanimous. As this liberty is not indulged in any other government, either republican or monarchical; in HOLLAND and VENICE, more than in France or Spain; it may very naturally give occasion to a question, How it happens that GREAT BRITAIN alone enjoys this peculiar privilege?

The reason why the laws indulge us in such a liberty, seems to be derived from our mixed form of government, which is neither wholly monarchical nor wholly republican. It will be found, if I mistake not, a true observation in politics, that the two extremes in government, liberty and slavery, commonly approach nearest to each other; and that, as you depart from the extremes, and mix a little of monarchy with liberty, the govern-

B 3 men

ment becomes always the more free; and, on the other hand, when you mix a little of liberty with monarchy, the yoke becomes always the more grievous and intolerable. In a government fuch as that of FRANCI, which is absolute, and where law, custom, and religion concur, all of them, to make the people fully fatisfied with their condition, the monarch cannot entertain any jealoufy against his subjects, and therefore is apt to include them in great liberties both of speech and action. In a govern ment altogether republican, such as that of HOLIAND, where there is no magistrate so eminent as to give jenloufy to the state, there is no danger in intrusting the magistrates with large discretionary powers; and though many advantages retult from fuch powers, in preferring peace and order, yet they lay a confiderable refliaint on mens actions, and make every private citizen pay a great respect to the government. Thus it seems evident, that the two extremes of absolute monarchy and of a republic, approach near to each other in some material circumstances. In the first, the magistrate has no jealoufy of the people; in the second, the people have none of the magistrate: Which want of jealousy begets a mutual confidence and trust in both cases; and produces a species of liberty in monarchies, and of arbitrary power in republics.

To justify the other part of the foregoing observation, that, in every government, the means are most wide of each other, and that the mixtures of monarchy and besty render the yoke either more easy or more grievous; I must take notice of a remark in Tacitus with regard to the Romans under the emperors, that they neither could bear total flavery nor total liberty; Nec totam fervitutem nec totam libertutem pati pessunt. This remark a celebrated poet has translated and applied to the English, in his lively description of queen Eliza-

BETH's policy and government,

Et sit aimer son joug a l'Anglois indompté, . Lui ne peut ni servir, ni vivre en liberté.

HENRIADF, hv. 1.
Accord

According to these remarks, we are to consider the ROMAN government under the emperors as a mixture of despotism and liberty, where the despotism prevailed: and the English government as a mixture of the fame kind, where the liberty predominates. The confequences are conformable to the foregoing observation; and such as may be expected from those mixed forms of government, which beget a mutual watchfulness and jealouty. The ROMAN emperors were, many of them, the most frightful tyrants that ever difgraced human nature; and it is evident, that their cruelty was chiefly excited by their jealousy, and by their observing that all the great men of Rome bore with impatience the dominion of a · family, which, but a little before, was nowife superior to their own. On the other hand, as the republican part of the government prevails in England, though with a great mixture of monarchy, it is obliged, for its own prefervation, to maintain a watchful jealouly over the magistrates, to remove all discretionary powers, and to fecure every one's life and fortune by general and inflexible laws. No action must be deemed a crime but what the law has plainly determined to be fuch: No crime must be imputed to a man but from a legal proof before his judges; and even these judges must be his fellow subjects, who are obliged, by their own interest, to have a watchful eye over the encroachments and violence of the ministers. From these causes it proceeds, that there is as much liberty, and even, perhaps, licentiousness, in GREAT BRITAIN, as there were formerly flavery and tyranny in Romr.

These principles account for the great liberty of the press in these kingdoms, beyond what is indulged in any other government. It is apprehended, that arbitrary power would steal in upon us, were we not careful to prevent its progress, and were there not an casy method of conveying the alarm from one end of the kingdom to the other. The spirit of the people must frequently be roused, in order to curb the ambition of the court; and the dread of rousing this spirit must be employed to

prevent that ambition. Nothing is so effectual to this purpose as the liberty of the press; by which all the learning, wit, and genius of the nation, may be employed on the side of freedom, and every one be animated to its defence. As long, therefore, as the republican part of our government can maintain itself against the monarchical, it will naturally be careful to keep the press open, as of importance to its own preservation.

It must however be allowed, that the unbounded liberty of the press, though it be difficult, perhaps impossible, to propose a suitable remedy for it, is one of the

evils attending those mixed forms of government.

### ESSAY III.

That Politics may be reduced to a Science.

effential difference between one form of government and another? and, Whether every form may not become good or bad, according as it is well or ill administered \*? Were it once admitted, that all governments are alike, and that the only difference consists in the character and conduct of the governors, most political disputes would be at an end, and all Zeal for one constitution above another must be esteemed mere bigotry and folly. But, shough a friend to moderation, I cannot forbear constemining this sentiment, and should be forry to think, that human affairs admit of no greater stability than what they receive from the casual humours and characters of particular men.

Essay on May, book 3.

<sup>\*</sup> For forms of government let fools contest, !! bate'er si best administer'd it best.

It is true, those who maintain that the goodness of all government confifts in the goodness of the administration, may cite many particular instances in history, where the very same government, in different hands, has varied fuddenly into the two opposite extremes of good and bad. Compare the French government under Henry III. and under HENRY IV. Oppression, levity, artifice, on the part of the rulers; faction, fedition, treachery, rebellion, disloyalty, on the part of the subjects: These compose the character of the former miserable æra. But when the patriot and heroic prince who fucceeded, was once firmly feated on the throne, the government, the people, every thing feemed to be totally changed; and all from the difference of the temper and conduct of these two sovereigns. Instances of this kind may be multiplied almost without number, from ancient as well as modern history, foreign as well as domestic.

But here it may be proper to make a distinction. All absolute governments must very much depend on the administration; and this is one of the great inconveniences attending that form of government. But a republican and free government would be an obvious absurdity, if the particular checks and controuls, provided by the constitution, had really no influence, and made it not the interest, even of bad men, to act for the public good. Such is the intention of these forms of government; and such is their real effect, where they are wisely constituted: As on the other hand, they are the source of all disorder, and of the blackest crimes, where either skill or honesty has been wanting in their original frame and institution.

So great is the force of laws, and of particular forms of government, and so little dependence have they on the humours and tempers of men, that consequences almost as general and certain may sometimes be deduced from them, as any which the mathematical sciences afford us.

The constitution of the ROMAN republic gave the whole legislative power to the people, without allowing a negative voice either to the nobility or confuls. This

unbounded power they possessed in a collective, not in a representative, body: The consequences were: When the people, by fuccels and conquest, had become very "numerous, and had spread themselves to a great distance from the capital, the city-tribes, though the most contemptible, carried almost every vote: They were, therefore, most cajoled by every one that affected popularity: They were supported in idlencis by the general distribution of corn, and by particular bribes, which they received from almost every candidate: By this means they became every day more licentious, and the CAMPUS MARTIUS was a perpetual scene of tumult and sedition: Armed flaves were introduced among these rascally citizens, fo that the whole government fell into anarchy; and the greatest happiness which the Romans could look for, was the despotic power of the CESARS. Such are the effects of democracy without a representative.

A Nobility may possess the whole, or any part of the legislative power of a state, in two different ways. Either every nobleman shares the power as part of the whole body; or the whole body enjoys the power as composed of parts, which have each a diffinct power and authority. The VENETIAN ariflocracy is an instance of the first kind of government: The Polish of the second. the VENETIAN government, the whole body of nobility possesses the whole power, and no nobleman has any authority which he receives not from the whole. In the Polish government, every nobleman, by means of his fiefs, has a distinct hereditary authority over his vassals: and the whole body has no authority but what it receives from the concurrence of its parts. The different operations and tendencies of these two species of government might be made apparent even à priori. A VENETIAN nobility is preferable to a Polish, let the humours and education of men be ever so much varied. A nobility, who possess their power in common, will preserve peace and order both among themselves and their subjects: and no member can have authority enough to controul the laws for a moment. The nobles will preserve their autho:

authority over the people; but without any grievous tyranny, or any breach of private property; because such a tyrannical government promotes not the interests of the whole body, however it may that of fome individuals. There will be a distinction of rank between the nobility and people, but this will be the only distinction in the The whole hobility will form one body, and the whole people another, without any of those private feuds and animolities which spread ruin and desolation every where. It is easy to see the disadvantages of a Polish nobility in every one of these particulars.

It is impossible to to constitute a free government, as that a fingle person, call him doge, prince, or king, shall possess a large share of power, and shall form a proper balance or counterpoile to the other parts of the legisla-This chief magistrate may be either elective or hereditary; and though the former inflitution may, to a fuperficial view, appear the most advantageous, yet a more accurate inspection will discover in it greater inconveniences than in the latter, and such as are founded on causes and principles eternal and immutable. The filling of the throne, in such a government, is a point of too great and too general interest, not to divide the whole people into factions: Whence a civil war, the greatest of ills, may be apprehended, almost with certainty, upon every vacancy. The prince elected must be either a Foreigner or a Native: The former will be ignorant of the people whom he is to govern; suspicious of his new subjects, and suspected by them; giving his confidence entirely to strangers, who will have no other care but of enriching themselves in the quickest manner while their master's favour and authority are able to support them. A native will carry into the throne all his private animofities and friendships, and will never be viewed in his elevation, without exciting the fentiment of envy in those who formerly considered him as their equal. Not to mention that a crown is too high a reward ever to be given to merit alone; and will always induce the candidates to employ force, or money, or intrigue,

intrigue, to procure the votes of the electors: So that such an election will give no better chance for superior merit in the prince, than if the state had trusted to birth

alone for determining their fovereign.

It may therefore be pronounced as an universal axiom in politics, That an hereditary prince, a nobility without vassals, and a people voting by their representatives, form the best MONARCHY, ARISTOCRACY, and DIMOCRACY. But in order to prove more fully, that politics admit of general truths, which are invariable by the humour or education either of subject or sovereign, it may not be amifs to observe some other principles of this science, which may feem to deserve that character.

It may easily be observed, that though free governments have been commonly the most happy for those who partake of their freedom; yet are they the most ruinous and oppressive to their provinces: And this obfervation may, I believe, be fixed as a maxim of the kind we are here speaking ot. When a monarch extends his dominions by conquest, he soon learns to consider his old and his new subjects as on the same footing; because, in reality, all his subjects are to him the same, except the few friends and favourites with whom he is personally acquainted. He does not, therefore, make any diffinction between them in his general laws; and, at the fame time, is careful to prevent all particular acts of oppression on the one as well as on the other. But a free state neceffarily makes a great distinction; and must always do so, till men learn to love their neighbours as well as themselves. The conquerors, in such a government, are all legislators; and will be fure to contrive matters, by restrictions on trade and by taxes, so as to draw some private as well as public advantage from their conquests. Provincial governors have also a better chance, in a republic, to escape with their plunder, by means of bribery or intrigue; and their fellow-citizens, who find their own state to be enriched by the spoils of the subject provinces, will be the more inclined to tolerate fuch abuses. Not to mention, that it is a necessary precaution

tion in a free state to change the governors frequently; which obliges these temporary tyrants to be more expeditious and rapacious, that they may accumulate fufficient wealth before they give place to their successors. What cruel tyrants were the Romans over the world during the time of the commonwealth! It is true, they had laws to prevent oppression in their provincial magistrates; but Cicero informs us, that the Romans could not better consult the interests of the provinces than by repealing these very laws. For in that case, says he, our magistrates, having entire impunity, would plunder no more than would fatisfy their own rapaciousness; whereas, at present, they must also satisfy that of their judges, and of all the great men in Rome, of whose protection they stand in need. Who can read of the cruelties and oppressions of VERRES without horror and assonishment? And who is not touched with indignation to hear, that after CICERO had exhausted on that abandoned criminal all the thunders of his eloquence, and had prevailed fo far as to get him condemned to the utmost extent of the laws; yet that cruel tyrant lived peaceably to old age, in opulence and ease; and, thirty years afterwards, was put into the profcription by MARK ANTHONY, on account of his exorbitant wealth, where he fell with C1-CERO himself and all the most virtuous men of ROMES? After the dissolution of the commonwealth, the Roman yoke became easier upon the provinces, as TACITUS informs us \*; and it may be observed, that many of the worst emperors, Domitian + for instance, were careful to prevent all oppression on the provinces. In ! TIBE-RIUS's time, GAUL was esteemed richer than ITALY itfolf; nor do I find, during the whole time of the ROMAN. monarchy, that the empire became less rich or populous in any of its provinces, though indeed its valour and military discipline were always upon the decline. The oppref-

<sup>\*</sup> Ann. lib. 1. cap. 2. + Suer. in vita Domir.

<sup>†</sup> Egregium resumendæ libertati tempus, si ipsi storentes, quam inopi FALIA, quam imbellis urbana plebs, nibil validum in exercitibus, mis und externum cogstarent. TACIT. Ann. lib. 3

oppression and tyranny. of the Carthaginians over their subject-states in Africa went so far, as we learn; from Polybius\*, that, not content with exacting the half of all the produce of the land, which of itself was a very high rent, they also loaded them with many other taxes. It we pass from ancient to modern times, we shall still find the observation to hold. The provinces of absolute monarchies are always better treated than those of free states. Compare the Pass conquis of France with Ireland, and you will be convinced of this truth; though this latter kingdom, being in a good measure peopled from England, possesses so many rights and privileges as should naturally make it challenge better treatment than that of a conquered province. Corsical is also an obvious instance to the same purpose.

There is an observation in MACHIAVEL with regard to the conquests of ALEXANDER the Great, which, I think, may be regarded as one of those eternal political truths which no time nor accidents can vary. It may feem strange, lays that politician, that such sudden conquests as those of Alexander should be possessed to peaceably by his fuccessors; and that the Persians, during all the confusions and civil wars among the GREEKS, never made the smallest effort towards the recovery of their former independent government. To fatisfy us concerning the cause of this remarkable event, we may confider, that a monarch may govern his subjects in two different ways. He may either follow the maxims of the eastern princes, and stretch his authority so far as to leave no diffinction of rank among his subjects but what proceeds immediately from himself; no advantages of birth; no hereditary honours and possessions; and, ir a word, no credit among the people, except from his commission alone. Or a monarch may exert his power after a milder manner, like other European princes, and leave other fources of honour beside his simile and favour; birth, titles, possessions, valour, integrity, knowledge, or great and fortunate atchievements. In the form\*

former species of government, after a conquest, it is imspossible ever to shake off the yoke, since no one possesles among the people so much personal credit and authority as to begin such an enterprise; whereas, in the
latter, the least misfortune or discord among the victors
will encourage the vanquished to take arms, who have
leaders ready to prompt and conduct them in every undertaking \*.

Such is the reasoning of MACHIAVEL, which seems folid and conclusive; though I wish he had not mixed falsehood with truth, in afferting, that monarchies, governed according to eastern policy, though more easily kept when once subdued, yet are the most difficult to fubdue; fince they cannot contain any powerful subject, whose discontent and saction may lacilitate the enterprifes of an enemy. For, befides that fuch a tyrannical government enervates the courage of men, and renders them indifferent towards the fortunes of their fovereign; besides this, I say, we find by experience, that even the temporary and delegated authority of the generals and magistrates, being always in such governments as absolute within its sphere as that of the prince himself, is able, with barbarians accustomed to a blind submission, to produce the most dangerous and fatal revolutions. So that, in every respect, a gentle government is preferable, and gives the greatest security to the sovereign as well as to the lubject.

Legislators, therefore, ought not to trust the future government of a state entirely to chance, but ought to provide a system of laws to regulate the administration of public affairs to the latest posterity. Essects will always correspond to causes; and wife regulations, in any commonwealth, are the most valuable legacy that can be left to suture ages. In the similable court or office, the stated forms and methods by which business must be conducted, are found to be a considerable check on the natural depravity of mankind. Why should not the case be the same in public affairs? Can we ascribe the stabi-

hity and wildom of the VENETIAN government, through fo many ages, to any thing but the form of government? And is it not easy to point out those defects in the original constitution which produced the tumultuous go vernments of ATHENS and ROME, and ended at last in the ruin of these two famous republics? And so little dependence has this affair on the humours and education of particular men, that one part of the same republic may be wifely conducted, and another weakly, by these very same men, merely on account of the difference of the forms and institutions by which these parts are regulated. Historians inform us that this was actually the case with GLNOA. For while the state was always full of sedition and tumult and disorder, the bank of St GEORGE, which had become a confiderable part of the people, was conducted for several ages with the utmost integrity and wildom \*.

The ages of greatest public spirit are not always most eminent for private virtue. Good laws may beget order and moderation in the government where the manners and customs have instilled little humanity or justice into the tempers of men. The most illustrious period of the ROMAN history, considered in a political view, is that between the beginning of the first and end of the last Punic war; the due balance between the nobility and people being then fixed by the contests of the tribunes, and not being yet lost by the extent of conquests. Yet at this very time the horrid practice of poisoning was so common, that, during part of a feason, a Præsor punished rapitally for this crime above three thousand + persons in a part of ITALY; and found informations of this nature

<sup>\*</sup> Essempio veramente raro, & da Filosofi intante loro imaginate & vedute Republiche mai non trovato, vedere dentro ad un medesimo cerchio. fra medesimi cittadini, la liberta, & la tirannide, la vita civile & la corotta, la giustitia & la licenza; perche quello ordine solo mantiere quelli citta piena di cossumi antichi & venerabili. E s'egli auvenisse (che co tempo in ogni medo auverrà) que SAN GIORGIO tutta quel la città ec oupasse, sarrebbe quella una Republica piu dalla. Venetiana memo rabble. Della Hift. Florentine, lib. 8. ‡ Id. lib. 8. cap. 18. † T. Livii, lib. 40. cap. 43.

still multiplying upon him. There is a similar, or rather (a worse instance \*, in the more early times of the commonwealth. So depraved in private life were that people, whom in their histories we so much admire. I doubt not but they were really more virtuous during the time of the two Triumvirates, when they were tearing their common country to pieces, and spreading slaughter and defolation over the face of the earth, merely for the

choice of tyrants +.

11ere, then, is a fufficient inducement to maintain with the utmost ZEAL, in every free state, those forms and institutions by which liberty is secured, the public good consulted, and the avarice or ambition of particular men restrained and punished. Nothing does more honour to human nature, than to fee it susceptible of so noble a passion; as nothing can be a greater indication of meannels of heart in any man, than to fee him destitute of it. A man who loves only himself, without regard to friendfhip and defert, merits the severest blame; and a man who is only susceptible of friendship, without public spirit or a regard to the community, is deficient in the most material part of virtue.

But this is a subject which needs not be longer insisted on at present. There are enow of zealots on both fides who kindle up the passions of their partisans, and, under pretence of public good, pursue the interests and ends of their particular faction. For my part, I shall always be more fond of promoting moderation than zeal; though perhaps the furest way of producing moderation in every party is to increase our zeal for the Let us therefore try, if it be possible, from the foregoing doctrine, to draw a lesson of moderation with regard to the parties into which our country is at prefent divided; at the same time, that we allow not this moderation to abate the industry and passion with which revery individual is bound to purfue the good of his country.

\* T. Liv! lib. 8. cap. 18. L'Aigle contre L'Aigle, Romains contre Romains, CORNEILLE.

Thole

Those who either attack or defend a minister in such a government as ours, where the utmost liberty is allowed, always carry matters to an extreme, and exag: gerate his merit or demerit with regard to the public. His enemies are fure to charge him with the greatest enormities both in domestic and foreign management; and there is no meanness or crime of which, in their account, he is not capable. Unnecessary wars, scandalous treaties, profusion of public treasure, oppressive taxes, every kind of mal-administration is ascribed to him. To aggravate the charge, his pernicious conduct, it is faid, will extend its baneful influence even to posterity, by undermining the best constitution in the world, and disordering that wife fystem of laws, institutions, and customs, by which our ancestors, during so many centuries, have been so happily governed. He is not only a wicked minister in himself, but has removed every security provided against wicked ministers for the future.

On the other hand, the partisans of the minister make his panegyric run as high as the accusation against him, and celebrate his wise, steady, and moderate conduct in every part of his administration. The honour and interest of the nation supported abroad, public credit maintained at home, persecution restrained, faction subdued; the merit of all these blessings is ascribed solely to the minister. At the same time, he crowns all his other merits by a religious care of the best constitution in the world, which he has preserved in all its parts, and has transmitted entire, to be the happiness and security of

the latest posterity.

When this accusation and panegyric are received by the partisans of each party, no wonder they beget an extraordinary ferment on both sides, and fill the nation with violent animosities. But I would fain persuade these party zealots, that there is a flat contradiction both in the accusation and panegyric; and that it were impossible for either of them to run so high, were it not for this contradiction. If our constitution be really that mobile fabric, the pride of BRITAIN, the envy of our neighbours.

highly

bours, raised by the labour of so many centuries, repaired at the expence of fo many millions, and cemented by fuch a profusion of blood \*; I say, if our constitution does in any degree deserve these culogies, it would never have suffered a wicked and weak minister to govern triumphantly for a course of twenty years, when opposed by the greatest geniuses in the nation, who exercised the utmost liberty of tongue and pen, in parliament, and in their frequent appeals to the people. But if the miniffer be wicked and weak to the degree fo strenuously infifted on, the constitution must be faulty in its original principles; and he cannot confistently be charged with undermining the best form of government in the world. A constitution is only fo far good, as it provides a remedy against mal-administration; and if the BRITISH, when in its greatest vigour, and repaired by two luch remarkable events as the Revolution and Accession, by which our ancient royal family was facrificed to it; it our constitution, I say, with so great advantages, does not, in fact, provide any fuch remedy, we are rather beholden to any minister who undermines it, and affords us an opportunity of erecting a better in its place.

I would employ the fame topics to moderate the zeal of those who defend the minister. Is our constitution so excellent? Then a change of ministry can be no such dreadful event; fince it is essential to such a constitution, in every ministry, both to preserve itself from violation, and to prevent all enormities in the administration. Is our constitution very bad? Then so extraordinary a jealoufy and apprehension on account of changes is ill placed; and a man should no more be anxious in this cafe, than a husband, who had married a woman from the stews, should be watchful to prevent her insidelity. Public affairs, in such a government, must necessarily go to confusion, by whatever hands, they are conducted; wand the zeal of patriots is in that case much less requifite than the patience and submission of philosophers. The virtue and good intentions of Caro and Brurus are

<sup>\*</sup> Discretation on Parties, Letter 10.

highly laudable; but to what purpose did their zeal ferve? Only to hasten the fatal period of the Roman government, and render its convulsions and dying ago-

nies more violent and painful.

I would not be understood to mean, that public affairs deserve no care and attention at all. Would men be moderate and consistent, their claims might be admitted, at least might be examined. The country-party might still affert, that our constitution, though excellent, will admit of mal-administration to a certain degree; and therefore, if the minister be bad, it is proper to oppose him with a suitable degree of zeal. And, on the other hand, the court-party may be allowed, upon the supposition that the minister were good, to desend, and with some zeal too, his administration. I would only persuade men not to contend as if they were sighting proparis & society, and change a good constitution into a bad one by the violence of their sactions.

I have not here considered any thing that is personal in the present controversy. In the best civil constitution, where every man is restrained by the most rigid laws, it is easy to discover either the good or bad intentions of a minister, and to judge whether his personal character deserve love or hatred. But such questions are of little importance to the public, and lay those who employ their pens upon them under a just suspicion either of maleve

lence or of flattery.

### ESSAY IV.

# Of the First Principles of Government.

OTHING appears more furprising to those who consider human affairs with a philosophical eye, than the cafiness with which the many are governed by the few, and the implicit submission with which men refign their own fentiments and passions to those of When we inquire by what means this wonder is effected, we shall find, that as Force is always on the fide of the governed, the governors have nothing to support them but opinion. It is therefore on opinion only that government is founded; and this maxim extends to the most despotic and most military governments, as well as to the most free and most popu-The foldan of EGYPT, or the emperor of Rome, might drive his harmless subjects, like brute beafts, against their sentiments and inclinations: But he must, at least, have led his mamelules or prætorian bands, like men, by their opinion.

\*Opinion is of two kinds, to wit, opinion of INTLERST, and opinion of RIGHT. By opinion of interest, I chiefly understand the tense of the general advantage which is reaped from government; together with the persuasion, that the particular government which is established, is equally advantageous with any other that could easily be settled. When this opinion prevails among the generality of a state, or among those who have the force in their hands, it gives great security to any government.

Right is of two kinds; right to Power and right to Property: What prevalence opinion of the first build has over mankind, may easily be understood, by observing the attachment which all nations have to their ancient government, and even to those names which have had the sanction of antiquity. Antiquity always be

C 3 gets

feets the opinion of right; and whatever disadvantageous sentiments we may entertain of mankind, they are always found to be prodigal both of blood and treasure in the maintenance of public justice. There is, indeed, no particular, in which, at first sight, there may appear a greater contradiction in the frame of the human mind than the present. When men act in a faction, they are apt, without shame or remorfe, to neglect all the ties of honour and morality, in order to serve their party; and yet when a faction is formed upon a point of right or principle, there is no occasion where men discover a greater obstinacy, and a more determined sense of justice and equity. The same social disposition of mankind is the cause of these contradictory appearances.

It is sufficiently understood, that the opinion of right to property is of moment in all matters of government. A noted author has made property the foundation of all government; and most of our political writers seem inclined to follow him in that particular. This is carrying the matter too far; but still it must be owned, that the opinion of right to property has a great influence in this

subject.

Upon these three opinions therefore, of public interest, of right to power, and of right to property, are all governments founded, and all authority of the sew over the many. There are indeed other principles, which add force to these, and determine, limit, or alter their opertion; such as self-interest, sear, and affection: But still we may affert, that these other principles can have no influence alone, but suppose the antecedent influence of those opinions above-mentioned. They are, therefore, to be esterned the secondary, not the original principles of government,

For, first, as to self-interest, by which I mean the expectation of particular rewards, distinct from the general protection which we receive from government, it is evident that the magistrate's authority must be antecedently established, at least be hoped for, in order to profuce this expectation. The prospect of reward may

aug-

augment his authority with regard to some particular persons; but can never give birtheto it with regard to the public. Men naturally look for the greatest favours from their friends and acquaintance; and therefore the hopes of say confiderable number of the state would pever centre in any particular fet of men, if these men had no other title to magistracy, and had no separate influence over the opinions of mankind. The same observation may be extended to the other two principles of fear and affection. No man would have any reason to fear the fury of a tyrant, if he had no authority over any but from fear; fince, as a fingle man, his bodily force can reach but a finall way, and all the farther power he possesses must be founded either on our own opinion, or on the prefumed opinion of others. And though affection to wisdom and virtue in a sovereign extends very far, and has great influence; yet he must antecedently be supposed invested with a public character, otherwise the public esteem will serve him in no stead, nor will his virtue have any influence beyond a narrow sphere.

A Government may endure for several ages, though the balance of power and the balance of property do not coincide. This chiefly happens where any rank or order of the state has acquired a large share in the property; but, from the original constitution of the Government, has no share in the power. Under what pretence would any individual of that order assume authority in public assairs? As men are commonly much attached to their ancient government, it is not to be expected that the public would ever favour such usurpations. But where the original constitution allows any share of power, though fmall, to an order of men who possess a large share of the property, it is easy for them gradually to stretch their authority, and bring the balance of power to coincide with that of property. This has been the case with the Liouse of commons in England.

Most writers, that have treated of the BRITISH government, have supposed, that as the lower house represents all the commons of GREAT BRITAIN, its weight

in the scale is proportioned to the property and power of all whom it represents. But this principle must not be received as absolutely true: For though the people are apt to attach themselves more to the house of common: than to any other/member of the conflictation, that house being chosen by them as their representatives, and as the public guardians of their liberty; yet are there instances where the house, even when in opposition to the crown, has not been followed by the people; as we may particularly observe of the Tory house of commons in the reign of King WILLIAM. Were the members obliged to receive instructions from their constituents, like the Durch deputies, this would entirely alter the cafe; and if such immense power and riches, as those of all the commons of GREAT BRITAIN, were brought into the scale, it is not easy to conceive, that the crown could either influence that multitude of people, or withstand that overbalance of property. It is true, the crown has great influence over the collective body in the elections of members: but were this influence, which at prefent is only exerted once in feven years, to be employed in bringing over the people to every vote, it would foon be wasted; and no skill, popularity, or revenue could support it. I must, therefore, be of opinion, that an alteration in this particular would introduce a total alteration in our government, and would foon reduce it to a pure republic; and, perhaps, to a republic of no inconvenient form. For though the people, collected in a body like the Roman tribes, be quite unfit for government, yet, where dispersed in small bodies, they are more fusceptible both reason and order; the force of popular currents and tides is, in a great measure, broken; and the public interest may be pursued with some method and constancy. But it is needless to reason any farther concerning a form of government which is never likely to have place in GREAT BRITAN, and which feems not to be the aim of any party amongst us. Let us cherish and imour ancient government as much as possible, withouraging a passion for such dangerous novelties. .

# E S S A Y V. Of the Origin of Government.

AN, born in a family, is compelled to maintain fociety, from necessity, from natural inclination, and from habit. The same creature, in his farther progress, is engaged to establish political society, in order to administer justice; without which there can be no peace among them, nor fafety, nor mutual intercourfe. We are, therefore, to look upon all the vast apparatus of our government, as having ultimately no other object or purpose but the distribution of justice, or, in other ! words, the support of the twelve judges. Kings and parliaments, fleets and armies, officers of the court and revenue, ambassadors, ministers, and privy-counsellors, are all subordinate in their end to this part of admini-Even the clergy, as their duty leads them to inculcate morality, may justly be thought, so far as regards this world, to have no other useful object of their institution.

All men are sensible of the necessity of justice to maintain peace and order; and all men are sensible of the necessity of peace and order for the maintenance of society. Yet, notwithstanding this strong and obvious necessity, such is the frailty or perversences of our nature! it is impossible to keep men, faithfully and unermally, in the paths of justice. Some extraordinary circumstances may happen, in which a man finds his interests to be more promoted by fraud or rapine, than hurt by the breach which his injustice makes in the social union. But much more frequently, he is seduced from his great and important, but distant interests, by the allurement of present, though often very frivolous,

temptations. This great weakness is incurable in human nature.

Men must, therefore, endeavour to palliate what they cannot cure. They must institute some persons, under the appellation of magistrates, whose peculiar office it is, to point out the decrees of equity; to punish transgreffors; to correct fraud and violence; and to oblige men, however reluctant, to confult their own real and permanent interests. In a word, OBEDIENCE is a new duty which must be invented to support that of JUSTICE; and the ties of equity must be corroborated by those of

allegiance.

But still, viewing matters in an abstract light, it may be thought that nothing is gained by this alliance; and that the factitious duty of obedience, from its very nature, lays as feeble a hold of the human mind as the primitive and natural duty of justice. Peculiar interests and present temptations may overcome the one as well as the other. They are equally exposed to the same inconvenience. And the man who is inclined to be a bad neighbour, must be led by the same motives, well or ill understood, to be a bad citizen and subject. Not to mention, that the magistrate himself may often be negligent, or partial, or unjust in his administration.

Experience, however, proves, that there is a great difference between the cases. Order in society, we find, is much better maintained by means of government; and our duty to the magistrate is more strictly guarded by the principles of human nature, than our duty to our fellow-citizens. The love of dominion is fo strong in the breast of man, that many not only submit to, but court all the dangers, and fatigues, and cares of government; and men, once raised to that station, though often led aftray by private pallions, find, in ordinary cases, a visible interest in the impartial administration of The persons who first attain this distinction. by the confent, tacit or express, of the people, must be endowed with superior personal qualities of valour, force, integrity, or prudence, which command respect and con-

confidence: and, after government is established, a regard to birth, rank, and station, has a mighty influence over men, and enforces the decrees of the magistrate. prince or leader exclaims against every disorder which disturbs his society. He summons all his partizans and all men or probity to aid him in correcting and redreffing it: and he is readily followed by all indifferent persons in the execution of his office. He soon acquires the power of rewarding these services; and in the progress of society, he establishes subordinate ministers, and often a military force, who find an immediate and a visible interest, in supporting his authority. Habit soon consolidates what other principles of human nature had imperfectly founded; and men, once accustomed to obedience, never think of departing from that path, in which they and their ancestors have constantly trod, and to which they are confined by fo many urgent and visible motives.

But though this progress of human affairs may appear certain and inevitable, and though the support which allegiance brings to justice be founded on obvious principles of human nature, it cannot be expected that men -should beforehand be able to discover them, or foresee their operation. Government commences more casually and more imperfectly. It is probable, that the first afcendant of one man over multitudes begun during a flate of war; where the superiority of courage and of genius discovers itself most visibly, where unanimity and concert are most requisite, and where the pernicious effects of diforder are most sensibly felt. The long continuance of that state, an incident common among favage tribes, enured the people to submission; and if the chieftain possessed as much equity as prudence and valour, he became, even during peace, the arbiter of all differences, and could gradually, by a mixture of force and confent, , establish his authority. The benefit sensibly felt from his influence made it be cherished by the people, at least by the peaceable and well-disposed among them: and if his fon enjoyed the same good qualities, government advanced the sooner to maturity and perfection; but was

still in a feeble state, till the farther progress of improvement procured the magistrate a revenue, and enabled him to bestow rewards on the several instruments of his administration, and to inslict punishments on the refractory and disobedient. Before that period, each exertion of his insluence must have been particular, and founded on the peculiar circumstances of the case. After it, submission was no longer a matter of choice in the bulk of the community, but was rigorously exacted by the authority of the supreme magistrate.

In all governments, there is a perpetual intestine struggle, open or secret, between AUTHORITY and Li-BERTY; and neither of them can ever able utely prevail in the contest. A great facrifice of liberty must necesfarily be made in every government; yet even the authority which confines liberty, can never, and perhaps ought never, in any constitution, to become quite entire and uncontroulable. The fultan is master of the life and fortune of any individual; but will not be permitted to impose new taxes on his subjects: a French monarch can impose taxes at pleasure; but would find it dangerous to attempt the lives and fortunes of individuals. Religion also, in most countries, is commonly found to be a very intractable principle; and other principles or prejudices frequently refult all the authority of the civil magistrate; whose power, being founded on opinion, can never subvert other opinions, equally rooted with that of his title to dominion. The government which, in common appellation, receives the appellation of Free, is that which admits of a partition of power among feveral members, whose united authority is no less, or is commonly greater, than that of any monarch; but who, in the usual course of administration, must act by general and qual laws, that are previously known to all the members and to all their subjects. In this sense, it must be owned, that liberty is the perfection of civil fociety; but still authority must be acknowledged et- ... fential to its very existence; and in those contests which fo often take place between the one and the other, the

latter &

latter may, on that account, challenge the preference. Unless perhaps one may say (and it may be said with some reason) that a circumstance, which is essential to the existence of civil society, must always support itself, and needs be guarded with less jealousy, than one that contributes only to its perfection, which the indolence of men is so apt to neglect, or their ignorance to overlook.

# ESSAY VI.

Of the Independency of Parliament.

POLITICAL writers have established it as a maxim, that, in contriving any system of government, and fixing the several checks and controuls of the constitution, every man ought to be supposed a knave, and to have no other end, in all his actions, than private interest. By this interest we must govern him, and by means of it make him, notwithstanding his insatiable avarice and ambition, co-operate to public good. Without this, say they, we shall in vain boast of the advantages of any constitution; and shall find, in the end, that we have no security for our liberties or possessions, except the good-will of our rulers; that is, we shall have no security at all.

It is, therefore, a just political maxim, that every man must be supposed a knave: Though, at the same time, it appears somewhat strange, that a maxim should be true in politics which is false in fact. But to satisfy us on this head, we may consider, that men are generally more honest in their private than in their public capacity, and will go greater lengths to serve a party than when their own private interest is alone concerned. Honour is a

great check upon mankind: But where a considerable body of men act together, this check is in a great measure removed; since a man is sure to be approved of by his own party for what promotes the common interest; and he soon learns to despise the clamours of adversaries. To which we may add, that every court or senate is determined by the greater number of voices; so that, if self-interest influences only the majority (as it will always do), the whole senate follows the allurements of this separate interest, and acts as if it contained not one member who had any regard to public interest and liberty.

When there offers, therefore, to our censure and examination, any plan of government, real or imaginary, where the power is distributed among several courts and several orders of men, we should always consider the separate interest of each court and each order; and if we find that, by the skilful division of power, this interest must necessarily in its operation concur with public, we may pronounce that government to be wise and happy. If, on the contrary, separate interest be not checked, and be not directed to the public, we ought to look for nothing but faction, disorder, and tyranny, from such a government. In this opinion I am justified by experience, as well as by the authority of all philosophers and politicians, both ancient and modern.

How much, therefore, would it have furprifed such a genius as Cicro or Tacitus, to have been told, that in a suture age, there should arise a very regular system of mixed government, where the authority was so distributed, that one rank, whenever it pleased, might swallow up all the rest, and engross the whole power of the constitution. Such a government, they would say, will not be a mixed government. For so great is the natural ambition of men, that they are never satisfied with power; and if one order of men, by pursuing its own interest, can usure upon every other order, it will certainly do so, and render itself, as sar as possible, absolute and uncontroulable.

But, in this opinion, experience shows they would have been mistaken. For this is actually the case with the British constitution. The share of power allotted by our constitution to the house of commons is so great. that it absolutely commands all the other parts of the government. The king's legislative power is plainly no proper check to it. For though the king has a negative in framing laws, yet this, in fact, is esteemed of so little moment, that whatever is voted by the two houses is always fure to pass into a law, and the royal affent is little. better than a form. The principal weight of the crown lies in the executive power. But besides that the executive power in every government is altogether subordinate to the legislative; besides this, I say, the exercise of this power requires an immense expence; and the commons have affumed to themselves the sole right of granting money. How eafy, therefore, would it be for that house to wrest from the crown all these powers, one after another; by making every grant conditional, and choosing their time so well, that their refusal of supply should only distress the government, without giving foreign powers any advantage over us? Did the house of commons depend in the fame manner on the king, and had none of the members any property but from his gift, would not he command all their resolutions, and be from that moment absolute? As to the house of lords, they are a very powerful support to the crown, so long as they are, in their turn, supported by it; but both experience and reason show, that they have no force or authority sufficient to maintain themselves alone without fuch support

How, therefore, shall we resolve this paradox? And by what means is this member of our constitution confined within the proper limits; since, from our very constitution, it must necessarily have as much power as it demands, and can only be confined by itself? How is this consistent with our experience of human nature? I answer, that the interest of the body is here restrained by that of the individuals; and that the house of commons

mons stretches not its power, because such an usurpation would be contrary to the interest of the majority of its members. The crown has so many offices at its dispofal, that, when affifted by the honest and disinterested part of the house, it will always command the resolutions of the whole, so far, at least, as to preserve the ancient constitution from danger. We may, therefore, give to this influence what name we please; we may call it by the invidious appellations of corruption and dependence: but some degree and some kind of it are inseparable from the very nature of the constitution, and necessary to the

preservation of our mixed government.

Instead then of afferting \* absolutely, that the dependence of parliament, in every degree, is an infringement of BRITISH liberty, the country-party should have made some concessions to their adversaries, and have only examined what was the proper degree of this dependence, beyond which it became dangerous to liberty. But such a moderation is not to be expected in party-men of any kind. After a concession of this nature, all declamation must be abandoned; and a calm inquiry into the proper degree of court-influence and parliamentary dependence would have been expected by the readers. And though the advantage, in such a controversy, might posfibly remain to the country-party, yet the victory would not be so complete as they wish for; nor would a true patriot have given an entire loofe to his zeal, for fear of running matters into a contrary extreme, by diminithing too far the influence of the crown. It was, therefore, thought best to deny, that this extreme could ever be dangerous to the conflitution, or that the crown could ever have too little influence over the members ofparliament.

All questions concerning the proper medium between extremes are difficult to be decided; both because it is not easy to find words proper to fix this medium, and . because the good and ill, in such cases, run so gradually inta

into each other, as even to render our fentiments doubtful and uncertain. But there is a peculiar difficulty in the present case, which would embarrass the most knowing and most impartial examiner. The power of the crown is always lodged in a fingle person, either king or minister; and as this person may have either a greater or less degree of ambition, capacity, courage, popularity, or fortune, the power, which is too great in one hand, may become too little in another. In pure republics, where the authority is distributed among several affemblies or fenates, the checks and controls are more regular in their operation; because the members of such numerous affemblies may be prefumed to be always nearly equal in capacity and virtue; and it is only their number, riches, or authority, which enter into confideration. But a limited monarchy admits not of any fuch figbility; nor is it possible to assign to the crown such a determinate degree of power, as will, in every hand, form a proper counterbalance to the other parts of the This is an unavoidable disadvantage, among the many advantages, attending that species of government.

# ESSAY VII.

Whether the British Government inclines more to Absolute Monarchy, or to a Republic?

To affords a violent prejudice against almost every feience, that no prudent man, however sure of his principles, dares prophecy concerning any event, or foretel the remote consequences of things. A physician will not venture to pronounce concerning the condition of his

his patient for a fortnight or a month after: And still less dares a politician foretel the situation of public affairs a few years hence. HARRINGTON thought himself so fare of his general principle, that the balance of power depends on that of property, that he ventured to pronounce it impossible ever to re-establish monarchy in England: But his book was scarcely published when the king was restored; and we see, that monarchy has ever fince subfifted upon the fame footing as before. Notwithstanding. this unlucky example, I will venture to examine an important question, to wit, Whether the BRITISH government inclines more to absolute monarchy, or to a republic; and in which of thefe two species of government it will most probably terminate? As there feems not to be any great danger of a fudden revolution either way, I shall at least escape the shame attending my temerity, if I should be found to have been millaken.

Those who affert, That the balance of our government inclines towards absolute monarchy, may support their opinion by the following reasons: That property has a great influence on power, cannot possibly be denied; but yet the general maxim, that the balance of one depends on the balance of the other, must be received with several limitations. It is evident, that much less property in a fingle hand will be able to counterbalance a greater property in feveral; not only because it is difficult to make many persons combine in the same views and meafures, but because property, when united, causes much greater dependence than the fame property when dilperfed. A hundred perfons, of 1000 / a year a-piece, can confume all their income, and nobody shall ever be the better for them, except their servants and tradesmen, who justly regard their profits as the product of their own labour. But a man pollefied of 100,000 l. a-year, if he has either any generolity, or any cunning, may create a great dependence by obligations, and still a greater Ly expectations. Hence we may observe, that, in all free governments, any lubicet exorbitantly rich, has always created jealoufy, even though his riches bore no propor-

tion

tion to those of the state. Crassus's fortune, if I remember well, amounted only to about two millions and a half of our money; yet we find, that though his genius was nothing extraordinary, he was able, by means of his riches alone, to counterbalance, during his lifetime, the power of Pompey as well as that of Casar, who afterwards became master of the world. The wealth of the Medici made them masters of Florence; though, it is probable, it was not considerable, compared to the united property of that opulent republic.

These considerations are apt to make one entertain a magnificent idea of the BRITISH spirit and love of liberty; fince we could maintain our free government, during fo many centuries, against our sovereigns, who, besides the power and dignity and majesty of the crown, have always been possessed of much more property than any subject has ever enjoyed in any commonwealth. But it may be faid, that this spirit, however great, will never be able to support itself against that immense property which is now lodged in the king, and which is still increafing. Upon a moderate computation, there are near three millions a-year at the disposal of the crown. civil list amounts to near a million; the collection of all taxes to another; and the employments in the army and navy, together with ecclefiastical preferments, to above a third million: An enormous fum, and what may fairly be computed to be more than a thirtieth part of the whole income and labour of the kingdom. When we add to this great property, the increasing luxury of the nation, our pronenels to corruption, together with the great power and prerogatives of the crown, and the command of military force, there is no one but must despair of being able, without extraordinary efforts, to support our free government much longer under these disadvantages.

On the other hand, those who maintain, That the bias of the British government leans towards a republic, may support their opinion by specious arguments. It

may be faid, that though this immense property in the crown be sined to the dignity of first magistrate, and guer legal powers and prerogatives, which should maturally give it greater influence; yet it really became less dangerous to liberty upon that very account. We're ExGLA D a republic, and were any private man possessible d of a revenue, a third, or even a tenth part as large as that of the crown, he would very justly excite jealouly; b-cause he would infallibly have great author. rity in the government. And tuch an irregular authority, not avowed by the laws, is always more dangerous than a much greater authority derived from them man, possessed of usurped power, can let no bounds to his pretentions: His partizans have liberty to hope for every thing in his favour: His enemies provoke his ambition, with his fears, by the violence of their opposition. And the government being thrown into a ferment, every corrupted humour in the flate naturally gathers to him. On the contrary, a legal authority, though great, has always fome bounds, which terminate both the hopes and pretentions of the person possessed of it: The laws must have provided a remedy against its excesses: Such an eminent magistrate has much to fear, and little to hope, from his ulurpations: And as his legal authority is quietly submitted to, he has small temptation and small opportunity of extending it farther. Befides, it bappens, with regard to ambinous aims and projects, what may be observed with regard to seeks of philotophy and religien. A new fect excites such a ferment, and is both opposed and defended with fuch vehemence, that it always spreads taster, and multiplies its partizans with greater rapidity, than any old established opinion, recommended by the fanction of the laws and of antiquity. Such is the nature of novelty, that, where any thing pleafes, it becomes doubly agreeable, if new; but it is displeases, it is doubly displeasing upon that very account. And, in most cases, the violence of enemies is favourable to ambitious projects, as well as the zeal of ... partizans.

It may farther be faid, that though men be much go. verned by interest; yet even interest atfelt, and all numan affairs, are entirely governed by opinion. Now, there has Been a fudden and fenfible change in the opin ons of mea within these last fifty years, by the progress of learning and of liberty. Most people, in this island, have diverted themselves of all superstitious reverence to names and authority: The clergy have much loft their cuidit: Their pretenfions and doctrines have been ridiculed; and even religion can fearcely support itself in the world. The mere name of king commands little reforct; and to talk of a king as GOD's vicegerent on earth, or to give him any of those magnificent titles which formerly dazzled mankind, would but excite laughter in every one. Though the crown, by means of its large revenue, may maintain its authority in times of tranquillity upon pri-Write interest and influence; yet, as the least shock or convulsion must break all these interests to pieces, the royal power, being no longer supported by the settled principles and opinions of men, will immediately diffolve. Had men been in the fame disposition at the Revolution as they are at prefent, monarchy would have run a great ritk of being entirely lost in this island.

Durit I venture to deliver my own fentiments amidft shefe opposite arguments, I would affert, that unless there happen some extraordinary convulsion, the power of the cown, by means of its large revenue, is rather upon the increase; though, at the same time, I own, that its progress feems very flow, and almost insensible. The tide has run long, and with some rapidity, to the side of popular government, and is just beginning to turn towards monarchy.

It is well known that every government must come to a period; and that death is unavoidable to the political as well as to the animal body. But, as one kind of death may be preferable to another, it may be inquired, Whether it be more defirable for the British confliution to terminate in a popular government, or in absolute monarchy? Here I would frankly declare, that, though Eberty be preferable to flavery, in almost every case; yet

 $\mathbf{D}_{3}$ 

I should rather wish to see an absolute monarch than a republic in this island. For, let us consider, what kind of republic we have reason to expect? The question is not concerning any fine imaginary republic, of which a man may form a plan in his closet. There is no doubt but a popular government may be imagined more perfect than absolute monarchy, or even than our present constitution: But what reason have we to expect that any such government will ever be established in GREAT BRITAIN, upon the diffolution of our monarchy? If any fingle person acquire power enough to take our constitution to pieces, and put it up a new, he is really an absolute monarch; and we have already had an instance of this kind, fufficient to convince us, that fuch a person will never refign his power, or establish any free government. Matters, therefore, must be trusted to their natural progress and operation; and the house of commons, according to its present constitution, must be the only legislature in fuch a popular government. The inconveniences attending fuch a fituation of affairs present themselves by thousands. If the house of commons, in such a case, ever diffolve itself, which is not to be expected, we may look for a civil war every election. If it continue itself, we shall suffer all the tyranny of a faction subdivided And as fuch a violent government into new factions. cannot long fubfift, we shall, at last, after many convulfions and civil wars, find repose in absolute monarchy, which it would have been happier for us to have established peaceably from the beginning. Absolute monarchy, therefore, is the easiest death, the true Euthanasia of the BRITISH constitution.

Thus, if we have reason to be more jealous of morarchy, because the danger is more imminent from that quarter; we have also reason to be more jealous of popular government, because that danger is more terrible. This may teach us a lesson of moderation in all our po-

litical controversies.

## ESSAY VIII.

#### Of PARTIES in GENERAL.

TF all men that distinguish themselves by memorable atchievements, the first place of honour seems due to LEGISLATORS and founders of states, who transmit a fystem of laws and institutions to secure the peace, happinels, and liberty of future generations. The influence of useful inventions in the arts and sciences may perhaps extend farther than that of wife laws, whose effects are limited both in time and place; but the benefit arifing From the former is not fo sensible as that which results from the latter. Speculative sciences do indeed improve the mind; but this advantage reaches only to a few pertions who have leifure to apply themselves to them. And as to practical arts, which increase the commodities and enjoyments of life, it is well known, that mens happiness confists not so much in an abundance of these, as in the peace and fecurity with which they possess them; and those blessings can only be derived from good govern-Not to mention, that general virtue and good morals in a state, which are so requisite to happiness, can never arise from the most refined precepts of philosophy, or even the severest injunctions of religion; but must proceed entirely from the virtuous education of youth, the effect of wife laws and inflitutions. I must therefore prefume to differ from LORD BACON in this particular; and must regard antiquity as somewhat unanft in its distribution of honours, when it made gods of all the inventors of uleful arts, fuch as CERES, BACCHUS, ÆSCULAPIUS; and dignify legislators, such as ROMU-Lus and Theseus, only with the appellation of demigods and heroes.

As much as legislators and founders of states ought

D 4 to

to be honoured and respected among men, as much ought the founders of fects and factions to be detelled and hated; because the influence of faction is directly contrary to that of laws. Factions subvert government, render laws impotent, and beget the fiercest animosities among men of the fame nation, who ought to give mutual assistance and protection to each other. And what should render the founders of parties more odious, is the difficulty of extirpating these weeds, when once they have taken root in any state. They naturally propagate themselves for many centuries, and seldom end but by the total diffolution of that government in which they They are, besides, plants which grow most are fown. plentifully in the richeft foil; and though abfolute gevernments be not wholly free from them, it must be contessed, that they rife more easily, and propagate. themselves tafter in free governments, where they aiways infect the legislature itself, which alone could be able, by the steady application of rewards and punishments, to eradicate them.

Factions may be divided into Personal and Real; that is, into factions founded on perfonal friendship or animofity among fuch as compose the contending parties, and into those sounded on some real difference of fentiment or interest. The reason of this distinction is obvious; though I must acknowledge, that parties are feldom found pure and unmixed, either of the one kind or the other. It is not often feen, that a government divides into factions, where there is no difference in the views of the constituent members, either real or apparent, trivial or material; and in those factions which are founded on the most real and most material difference, there is always observed a great deal of persons animofity or affection. But notwithstanding this mix ture, a party may be denominated either perfonal or real, according to that principle which is predominant... and is found to have the greatest influence.

Personal factions arise most easily in small republica. Every domestic quarrel there becomes an assair of state. tion and refentment, begets public division. The Nert and Bianchi of Florence, the Fregosi and Adorni of Genoa, the Colonesi and Orsini of modern Rome, were parties of this kind.

Men have such a propensity to divide into personal factions, that the smallest appearance of real difference will produce them. What can be imagined more trivial than the difference between one colour of livery and another in horse-races? Yet this difference begat two most inveterate factions in the Greek empire, the Prasini and Veneti, who never suspended their animostics

till they ruined that unhappy government.

We find in the Roman history a remarkable dissension between two tribes, the Pollia and Papiria, which continued for the space of near three hundred years, and discovered itself in their suffrages at every election of magistrates \*. This saction was the more remarkable, as it could continue for so long a tract of time; even though it did not spread itself, nor draw any of the other tribes into a share of the quarrel. If mankind had not a strong propensity to such divisions, the indifference of the rest of the community must have suppressed this toolist animosity, that had not any aliment of new benefits and injuries, of general sympathy and antipathy, which never fail to take place when the whole state is rent into two equal sactions.

Nothing is more usual than to see parties, which have begun upon a real difference, continue even after that

<sup>\*</sup> As this fact has not been much observed by antiquaries or po Indicians, I shall deliver it in the words of the Roman historian. Pepular Tusculanus cum conjugibus ac liberis Romam venit: Ea multitudo, veste mutata, & specie reorum, tribus circuit, genibus se omnum adresivers. Plus itaque misericordia ad pænæ vestiam impetrandam, quam cousa ad crimen purgandum, valuit. Tribus emnes, prater Polliam, antiquirunt legem. Polliam sententia suit, puberes verbeates necari: liberos conjugesque sub corona lege belli venire: Memorianque esus irie susculanis in pænæ tam atrocis austores mansisse ad paspum atutem constat; nec quemquam serme ex Pollia tribu candidatum: Papiriam serre solitum, T. Livii, lib. 8. The Castelani and Nicolloti are two mobbish factions in Venice, who frequently dox together, and then lay aside their quarrels presently.

difference is lost. When men are once enlisted on opposide sides, they contract an affection to the persons with whom they are united, and an animosity against their antagonists; and these passions they often transmit to their posterity. The real difference between Guelf and Ghibbeline was long lost in Italy before these factions were extinguished. The Guelfs adhered to the Pope, the Ghibbelines to the emperor; yet the family of Sforza, who were in alliance with the emperor, though they were Guelfs, being expelled Milan by the king \* of France, assisted by Jacomo Trivulzio and the Ghibbelines, the Pope concurred with the latter; and they formed leagues with the Pope against the Emperor.

The civil wars which arose some sew years ago in Mo-Rocco between the blacks and whites, merely on account of their complexion, are sounded on a pleasant difference. We laugh at them; but I believe, were things rightly examined, we afford much more occasion of ridicule to the Moors. For what are all the wars of religion which have prevailed in this polite and knowing part of the world? They are certainly more absurd than the Moorish civil wars. The difference of complexion is a sensible and a real difference: But the controversy about an article of faith, which is utterly absurd and unintelligible, is not a difference in sentiment, but in a sew phrases and expressions; which one party accepts of without understanding them, and the other refuses in the same manner.

Real factions may be divided into those from interest, from principle, and from affection. Of all factions, the first are the most reasonable and the most excusable. Where two orders of men, such as the nobles and people, have a distinct authority in a government not very accurately balanced and modelled, they naturally follow a distinct interest; nor can we reasonably expect a different conduct, considering that degree of telsishness implement in human nature. It requires great skill in a legislator

gislator to prevent such parties; and many philosophers are of opinion, that this secret, like the grand elixir or perpetual notion, may amuse men in theory, but can never possibly be reduced to practice. In despotic governments indeed, sactions do not often appear; but they are not whe less real, or rather they are more real and more pernicious upon that very account. The distinct orders of men, nobles and people, soldiers and merchants, have all a distinct interest; but the more powerful oppresses the weaker with impunity and without resistance, which begets a seeming tranquillity in such governments.

There has been an attempt in ENGLAND to divide the landed and trading part of the nation, but without success. The interests of these two bodies are not really distinct, and never will be so, till our public debts increase to such a degree as to become altogether oppres-

five and intolerable.

Parties from principle, especially abstract speculative principle, are known only to modern times, and are perhaps the most extraordinary and unaccountable phenomenon that has yet appeared in human affairs. Where different principles beget a contrariety of conduct, which is the case with all different political principles, the matter may be more cafily explained. A man who esteems the true right of government to lie in one man or one family, cannot eafily agree with his fellow-citizen who thinks that another man or family is possessed of this right. Each naturally wishes that right may take place, according to his own notions of it. But where the difference of principle is attended with no contrariety of action, but every one may follow his own way without interfering with his neighbour, as happens in all religious controversies; what madness, what fury, can beget fuch unhappy and fuch fatal divisions!

Two men travelling on the highway, the one east, the other west, can easily pass each other if the way be broad enough: but two men, reasoning upon opposite principles of religion, cannot so easily pass without shocking; though one should think that the way were also, in

that case, sufficiently broad, and that each might proceed without interruption in his own course. But such is the nature of the human mind, that it always lays hold on every mind that approaches it; and as it is wonderfully fortified by an unanimity of sentiments, so is it shocked and disturbed by any contrariety. Hence the eagerness which most people discover in a dispute; and hence their impatience of opposition, even in the most speculative and indifferent opinions.

This principle, however frivolous it may appear, feems to have been the origin of all religious wars and divisions. But as this principle is universal in human nature, its effects would not have been confined to one age and to one fect of religion, did it not there concur with other more accidental causes, which raise it to such a height, as to produce the greatest misery and devastation. Most religions of the ancient world arose in the unknown ages of government, when men were as yet barbarous and uninstructed, and the prince, as well as peafant, was disposed to receive, with implicit faith, every pious tale or fiction which was offered himmagistrate embraced the religion of the people, and entering cordially into the care of facred matters, naturally acquired an authority in them, and united the ecclefiaffical with the civil power. But the Christian religion arifing, while principles directly opposite to it were firmly established in the polite part of the world, who despised the nation that first broached this novelty; no wonder that; in fuch circumstances, it was but little counterfanced by the civil magistrate, and that the priesthood was allowed to engross all the authority in the new fect So bad a use did they make of this power, even in those early times, that the primitive persecutions may, perhaps in part\*, be ascribed to the violence instilled by them into their followers. And the fame principles of prieftly government continuing, after Christianity became the established religion, they have engendered a spirit of persecution, which has ever since been the poison of human society, and the source of the most inveterate factions in every government. Such divisions, therefore, on the part of the people, may justly be esteemed factions of principle; but, on the part of the priests, who are the prime movers, they are really factions of interest.

• There is another cause (besides the authority of the -priefts, and the feparation of the ecclefiaftical and civil powers) which has contributed to render Christendom the scene of religious wars and divisions. Religions, that arife in ages totally ignorant and barbarous, confift mostly of traditional tales and fictions, which may be different in every fect, without being contrary to each other; and even when they are contrary, every one adheres to the tradition of his own fect, without much reafoning or disputation. But as philosophy was widely ipread over the world at the time when Christianity arose, the teachers of the new sect were obliged to form a fystem of speculative opinions; to divide, with some accuracy, their articles of faith; and to explain, comment, -confute, and defend, with all the fubtilty of argument and Hence naturally arose keenness in dispute. when the Christian religion came to be split into new divisions and herefies: And this keenness affilted the priests in their policy, of begetting a mutual hatred and antipathy among their deluded followers. Sects of philosophy, in the ancient world, were more zealous than parties of religion; but in modern times, parties of religion are more furious and enraged than the most cruel factions that ever arose from interest and ambition.

I have mentioned parties from affection as a kind of real parties, befide those from interest and principle. By parties from affection, I understand those which are founded on the different attachments of men towards particular families and persons, whom they desire to rule over them. These factions are often very violent; though, I must own, it may seem unaccountable, that men should attach themselves so strongly to persons with whom they are no wise acquainted, whom perhaps they never saw, and from whom they never received, nor can ever hope tor,

for, any favour. Yet this we often find to be the case, and even with men who, on other occasions, discover no great generosity of spirit, nor are found to be easily transported by friendship beyond their own interest. We are apt to think the relation between us and our sovereign very close and intimate. The splendour of majesty arripower bestows an importance on the fortunes even of a single person: And when a man's good-nature does not give him this imaginary interest, his ill-nature will, from spire and opposition to persons whose sentiments are different from his own.

# ESSAY IX.

Of the PARTIES of GREAT BRITAIN.

TERE the BRITISH government proposed as a subject of speculation, one would immediately perceive in it a fource of division and party, which it would be almost impossible for it, under any administration, to avoid. The just balance between the republican and monarchical part of our conflitution is really, in itself, so extremely delicate and uncertain, that, when joined to mens passions and prejudices, it is impossible but different opinions must arise concerning it, even among persons of the best understanding. mild tempers, who love peace and order, and detest sedition and civil wars, will always entertain more favourable fentiments of monarchy, than men of bold and generous spirits, who are passionate lovers of liberty, and think no evil comparable to subjection and slavery. And though all affonable men agree in general to preferve our mixed nment; yet, when they come to particulars, some incline to trust greater powers to the crown, to bestory

on it more influence, and to guard against its encroachments with less caution, than others who are terrified at the most distant approaches of tyranny and desposic Thus are there parties of PRINCIPLE involved In the very nature of our constitution, which may properla enough be denominated those of Court and Coun-TRY. The strength and violence of each of these parties will much depend upon the particular administration. An administration may be so bad as to throw a great majority into the opposition, as a good administration will reconcile to the court many of the most passionate lovers of liberty. But however the nation may fluctuate between them, the parties themselves will always subsist, fo long as we are governed by a limited monarchy.

But, besides this difference of Principle, those parties are very much fomented by a difference of INTEREST. without which they could fearcely ever be dangerous or The crown will naturally bestow all trust and power upon those whose principles, real or pretended, are most favourable to monarchical government; and this temptation will naturally engage them to go greater lengths than their principles would otherwise carry them. Their antagonists, who are disappointed in their ambitious aims, throw themselves into the party whole sentiments incline them to be most jealous of royal power, and naturally carry those fentiments to a greater height than found politics will justify. Thus Court and Country, which are the genuine offspring of the BRITISH government, are a kind of mixed parties, and are influenced both by principle and by interest. The heads of the factions are commonly most governed by the latter motive; the inferior members of them by the former.

As to ceclefialtical parties, we may observe, that, in all ages of the world, priefts have been enemies to liberty; and it is certain, that this steady conduct of theirs mult have been founded on fixed reasons of inte-Fest and ambition. Liberty of thinking and of expresfing our thoughts, is always fatal to priestly power, and to those pious trauds on which it is commonly founded;

and, by an infallible connection, which prevails among all kinds of liberty, this privilege can never be enjoyed, at least has never yet been enjoyed, but in a free government. Hence it must happen, in such a constitution as that of GREAT BRITAIN, that the established clergy, while things are in their natural fituation, will always be of the Court party; as, on the contrary, dif-c fenters of all kinds will be of the Country-party; fince they can never hope for that toleration which they, stand in need of, but by means of our free government. All princes that have aimed at despotic power, have known of what importance it was to gain the established. clergy: As the clergy, on their part, have shown a great facility in entering into the views of fuch princes \*. GUSTAVUS VAZA was, perhaps, the only ambitions monarch that ever depressed the church, at the same time that he discouraged liberty. But the exorbitant power of the bishops in Swaden, who, at that time, overtopped the crown itself, together with their attachment to a foreign family, was the reason of his embracing fuch an unusual system of politics.

This observation, concerning the propensity of priests to the government of a single person, is not true with regard to one sectionly. The Presbyterian and Calvinistic cleagy in Holland were protested strends to the samily of Orange; as the Arminians, who were esteemed heretics, were of the Louves rein faction, and zealous for liberty. But if a prince have the choice of both, it is easy to see, that he will prefer the episcopal to the presbyterian form of government; both because of the greater assimity between monarchy and episcopacy, and because of the facility, which he will find, in such a government, of ruling the clergy, by means of their ecclesiastical superiors.

† Populi imperium juxta libertatem: paucorum dominatio regize. (
libidini proprior est. Tacir. Ann. lib. vi.

<sup>\*</sup> Judzi fibi ipfi reges imposuere; qui mobilitate vulgi expulsi, refumpta, per arma dominatione; sugas civium, urbium eversionis, fratrum, conjugum, parentum neces, aliaque solita regibus ausi, superstitionem sovebant; quia honor sacerdotii sirmamentum potentize affunebatur Pacit. bist. v.

If we confider the first rise of parties in ENGLAND, during the great rebellion, we shall observe, that it was conformable to this general theory, and that the species of government gave birth to them, by a regular and infal-Mible operation. The ENGLISH constitution, before that period, had lain in a kind of confusion; yet so as that the fubjects possessed many noble priviliges, which, though not exactly bounded and secured by law, were univerfally deemed, from long possession, to belong to them as their birth-right. An ambitious, or rather a misguided, prince arose, who deemed all these privileges to be concessions of his predecessors, revocable at pleasure; and, in profecution of this principal, he openly acted in violation of liberty during the course of several years. ceffity at last constrained him to call a parliament: The spirit of liberty arose and spread itself: The prince being without any support, was obliged to grant every thing required of him: And his enemies, jealous and implacable, set no bounds to their pretensions. Here then began those contests, in which it was no wonder that men of that age were divided into different parties; fince, even at this day, the impartial are at a loss to decide concerning the justice of the quarrel. The pretentions of the parliament, it yielded to, broke the balance of the confliction, by rendering the government almost entirely republican. If not yielded to, the nation was, perhaps, still in danger of absolute power, from the settled principles and inveterate habits of the king, which had plainly appeared in every concession that he had been constrained to make to his people. In this question, so delicate and uncertain, men naturally fell to the fide which was most conformable to their usual principles; and the more pailionate layourers of monarchy declared for the king, as the zealous friends of liberty sided with the payliament. The hopes of fuccess being nearly equal on both fides, interest had no general influence in this Contest: So that ROUND HEAD and CAVALIER were merely parties of principle; neither of which disowned either monarchy or liberty; but the former party inclined Vol. 1. most

most to the republican part of our government, the latter to the monarchical. In this respect, they may be confidered as court and country-party, enslamed into a civilwar, by an unhappy concurrence of circumstances, and by the turbulent spirit of the age. The commonwealth's men, and the partizans of absolute power, lay concealed in both parties, and formed but an inconsiderable part of them.

The clergy had concurred with the king's arbitrary defigns; and, in return, were allowed to perfecute their adversaries, whom they called heretics and schissnatics. The established clergy were episcopal; the non-contormists presbyterian: So that all things concurred to throw the former, without reserve, into the king's party;

and the latter into that of the parliament.

Every one knows the event of this quartel; fatal to the king first, to the parliament afterwards. After many confusions and revolutions, the royal family was at last restored, and the ancient government re-established. CHARLES II, was not made wifer by the example of his father; but profecuted the fame measures, though, at first, with more secrecy and caution. New parties arose, under the appellation of Whig and Tory, which have continued ever fince to confound and distract our government. To determine the nature of these parties is, perhaps, one of the most difficult problems that can be me: with, and is a proof that history may contain questions, as uncertain as any to be found in the most abstract fciences. We have feen the conduct of the two parties, during the course of seventy years, in a vast variety of circumstances, possessed of power, and deprived of it, during peace and during war: Perfons, who profess themselves of one side or other, we meet with every hour. in company, in our pleafures, in our ferious occupations: We ourselves are constrained, in a manner, to take party; and living in a country of the highest liberty, every one may openly declare all his lentiments and opinions: Yet are we at a loss to tell the nature, pretenfions, and principles of the different factions.

. When

When we compare the parties of While and Torry with those of ROUND HEAD and LAVALIER, the most obvious difference that appears between them, confitts in the principles of passive obedience and indefeasible right; which were but little heard of among the CAVALIERS, but became the universal doctrine, and were efficiented the strue characteristic, of a Tory. Were these principles. pashed into their most obvious consequences, they imply a formal renunciation of all our liberties, and an avowal of absolute monarchy; since nothing can be a greater absurdity than a limited power, which must not be re-Lastled, even when it exceeds its limitations. But as the most rational principles are often but a weak counterpoife to paffion; it is no wonder that thele-abfurd principles were found too weak for that effect, The To-KILS, as mel were enemies to oppression; and also as - MAGLISHMEN, they were enemies to arbitrary power. Their zeal for liberty was, perhaps, less fervent than I that of their antagonists; but was sufficient to make them forget all their general principles, when they faw rehemselves openly threatened with a subvertion of the rancient government, From these sentiments arose the Revolution; an event of mighty consequence, and the firmest foundation of BRITISH liberty. The conduct of the Tories, during that event, and after it, will afford us a true infight into the nature of that party.

In the first place, they appear to have had the genuine fentiments of Britons in their affection for hierarty, and in their determined resolution not to facrifice it to any abstract principle whatsoever, or to any imaginary rights of princes. This part of their character right justly have been doubted of before the Revolution, from the obvious tendency of their avowed principles, and hom their compliances with a court, which seemed to make little secret of its arbitrary designs. The Revolution showed them to have been, in this respect, nothing but a genuine court party, such as might be expected in a British government: That is, Lovers of liberty, but greater lovers of monarchy. It must, however, be contessed.

that they carried their monarchical principles farther. even in practice, but more to in theory, than was, in any

degree, confiftent with a limited government.

Secondly, Neither their principles nor affections concurred, entirely or heartily, with the fettlement made at the Revolution, or with that which has fince taken place. This part of their character may feen opposite to the former; fince any other settlement, in those circumflances of the nation, must probably have been dingerous, if not fatal to liberty. But the heart of man is made to reconcile contradictions; and this contradict on is not greater than that between passive obedience and the refishance employed at the Revolution. A TORY, therefore, fince the Revolution, may be at fined in a tew works. to be a lover of monarchy, though without abandoning liberry; and a partizan of the family of STUNRT JAS a While may be defined to be a lover of liberty, though with it renouncing monarchy; and a friend to the settlement is the PROLESTANT line.

These different views, with regard to the settle of the crown, were accidental, bu initural dditie the principles of the court and country parties, which are the genuine divisions in the British government. A passionate lover of monarchy is apt to be displement any change of the fuccession, as layouring too mu a commonwealth: A passionate lover of aborty is an think that every part of the government ought to subordinate to the interests of liberty.

Some, who will not venture to affert, that the - al difference between Whig and Tory was lost at me Revolution, icen incomed to think, that the difference is now abolished, and that affairs are so far returned to their natural flate, that there are at prefent no other parties among us but court and country; that is, men who, by interest or principle, are attached either to more oby or linerty. The Tortes have been to long oblamed to alk in the republican thyle, that they feem 1. ode converts or themselves by their hypocrify,

wembraced the fentiments, as well as language

their adverfaries. There are, however, very considerable remains of that party in Engrand, with all their old prejudices; and a proof that court and country are not our only parties, is, that almost all the differences side with the court, and the lower clergy, at least of the church of England, with the opposition. This may convince us, that some bias still hangs upon our constitution, some extrinsic weight, which turns it from its natural course, and causes a consusion in our parties\*.

## ESSAY X.

Of Superstition and Enthusiasm.

is grown into a maxim, and is commonly proved, among other instances, by the pernicious effects of fuperstition and enthusiasm, the corruptions of true religion.

These two species of false religion, though both pernicious, are yet of a very different, and even of a congrary nature. The mind of man is subject to certain unaccountable terrors and apprehensions, proceeding either from the unhappy situation of private or public affairs, from ill health, from a gloomy and melancholy E 3

<sup>\*</sup> Some of the opinions delivered in these Essays, with regard to the public transactions in the last century, the Author, on more accurate examination, found reason to retract in his History of GREAT BRITAIN. And as he would not enslave himself to the systems of either party, neither would he fetter his judgment by his own preconceived opinions and principles; nor he ashamed to acknowledge his mistakes. These mistakes were indeed, at that time, almost universal in this kingdom.

disposition, or from the concurrence of all these circumflances. In such a state of mind, infinite unknown evilvare dreaded from unknown agents; and where real objects of terror are wanting, the soul, active to its own projudice, and softering its predominant inclination, tinds imaginary ones, to whose power and malevolence it fets no limits. As these enemies are entirely invisible and unknown, the methods taken to appease them are equally unaccountable, and consist in ceremonies, observances, mortifications, facrifices, presents, or in any practice, however absurd or frivolous, which either folly or knavery recommends to a blind and terrified credulity. Weakness, fear, melancholy, together with ignorance, are, therefore, the true sources of Superstition.

But the mind of man is also subject to an unaccountable elevation and presumption, arising from prosperous success, from luxuriant health, from strong spirite; or from a bold and consident disposition. In such a state of mind, the imagination swells with great, but consused conceptions, to which no sublunary beauties or enjoyements can correspond. Every thing mortal and perishable vanishes as unworthy of attention. And a full range is given to the fancy in the invisible regions or world of

if, were the foul is at liberty to indulge itself in v imagination which may belf fuit its prefert taffofition. (Hence arife raptures, transports, and of flort is of fancy; and confidence and prefumpan encuesting, these raptures, being altogether er accountable, and feeming quite beyond the reach of that ordinary faculties, are attributed to the immediate inspiration of that Divine Being, who is the object of devotion I le a little time, the infpired person comes to record himself as a diffinguished favourite of the Divin v; and when this frenzy once takes place, which is the fuminit of enthufiafia, every whimfy is confecrated, Human reason, and even morality, are rejected as talla cions guides: And the fanatic madman delivers bimfeli over, blindly and without referve, to the supposed illaples of the spirit, and to inspiration from above. Hopk, pride, prefumption, a warm inagination, together with ignorance, are therefore the true fources of En-THUSIASM.

• These two species of false religion might afford occafion to many speculations; but I shall confine myself, at present, to a few reflections concerning their different

Minfluence on government and fociety.

My first reflection is, That superstition is savourable to \* priestly power, and enthusiasm not less or rather more contrary to it than found reason and philosophy. As supersi-, tion is founded on fear, forrow, and a depression of spirits, it represents the man to himself in such despicable colours, that he appears unworthy, in his own eyes, of approaching the Divine presence, and naturally has recourse to any other person, whose fanctity of life, or perhaps impudence and cunning, have made him be suppoll I more favoured by the Divinity. To him the fuperflitious intrust their devotions: To his care they recommend their prayers, petitions, and facrifices: And by his means they hope to render their addresses acceptable to their incented Deity. Hence the origin of Priests, who may justly be regarded as an invention of a timorous and abject superflition, which, ever diffident of itself, dares not offer up its own devotions, but ignorantly thinks to recommend itself to the Divinity by the mediation of his supposed friends and servants. As superstition is a considerable ingredient in almost all religions, even the most fanatical, there being nothing but philosophy able entirely to conquer these unaccountable terrors; hence it proceeds, that in almost every fect of religion there are priefts to be found: But the Paronger mixture there is of superstition, the higher is the authority of the priesthood.

On the other hand, it may be observed, that all enshufialts have been free from the yoke of ecclefiaftics, and have expressed great independence in their devotion; with a contempt of forms, ceremonies, and traditions. . The Quakers are the most egregious, though, at the same time, the most innocent enthusiasts that have yet been known;

known; and are, perhaps, the only sect that he admitted priefts amongst them The Indeper the English fectaries, approach nearest to in fanaticism, and in their freedom from priest: Londage. The Presbyterians follow after, at an equal distance in both particulars. In fhort, this observation is four '. in experience; and will also appear to be for reason, if we consider, that, as enthusiasm ari prefumptuous pride and confidence, it thinks ciently qualified to approach the Divinity we nout any human mediator. Its rapturous devotions are fo fervent, that it even imagines itself actually to approve ' ' the way of contemplation and inwa makes it neglect all those outward c... fervances, to which the affiftance of the priests appefo requifite in the eyes of their superstitious vot The fanatic confecrates himself, and beltows on h. person a sacred character, much superior to what ioring and ceremonious institutions can confer on any other

My fecond reflection with regard to these species falf religion is, That religions, which partake of enthufia are, on their first rise, more furious and violent than to which partuke of superstition; but in a little time become more gentle and moderate. The violence of this species of religion, when excited by novely, and animated by oppofillion, appears from numberless instances; of the Anabaptists in Germany, the Camifars in France, the Levellers and other fanatics in ENGLAND, and the Covenant ters in Scotland. Enthusiasm being founded on strong fairits, and a prefumptuous boldness of character, it naturally begets the most extreme resolutions; especially after it rifes to that height as to inspire the deluded fanatic with the opinion of divine illuminations, and with a contempt for the common rules of reason, morality, and prudence.

It is thus enthusiasm produces the most gruel disorders in human society; but its sury is like that of thusder and tempest, which exhaust themselves in a little time, and leave the air more calm and serene than before.

When

When the first fire of enthusiasm is spent, men naturally. al fects, fink into the greatest remissiness and icred matters; there being no body of men among them, endowed with fufficient authority, whole interest is concerned to support the religious spirit: No sites, no ceremonies, no holy observances, which may i for into the common train of life, and preserve the ciples from oblivion. Superstition, on the reals in gradually and infenfibly; renders men me and submissive; is acceptable to the magistrate, and ems inosfensive to the people: Till at last the priest, g firmly established his authority, becomes the tyhuman fociety, by his endless con-3, and religious wars. How fmooththe moment caurch advance in her acquisition of Fr? But into what dismal convulsions did she throw OPE, in order to maintain it? On the other hand, staries, who were formerly fuch dangerous bigots, are now become very free reasoners; and the Quakers feem to approach nearly the only regular body of Deifts in the universe, the Literati, or the disciples of Confucius in China\*.

My third observation on this head is, That superstition is an enemy to civil liberty, and enthufiasm a friend to it. As supersition grouns under the dominion of priests, and enthusiasm is destructive of all ecclesiastical power, this sufficiently accounts for the present observation. Not to mention, that enthufialm, being the infirmity of bold, and ambitious tempers, is naturally accompanied with a spirit of liberty; as superstition, on the contrary, restders men taine and abject, and fits them for flavery. "We learn from ENGLISH hiltory, that during the civil wars, the Independents and Deists, though the most opposite in their religious principles; yet were united in their spolitical ones, and were alike passionate for a commonwealth. And fince the origin of Whig and Tory, the leaders of the Whigs have either been Deists or profest La-\*itudinarians in their principles; that is, friends to tole-

The Chinese Literati have no priests or ecclesiastical establishment-

ration, and indifferent to any particular feet of Christiens: While the fectaries, who have all a strong tincture of enthufiasm, have always, without exception, concurred with that party in defence of civil liberty. The refemblance in their fuperstitions long united the high-church Tories and the Roman Catholics, in support of prerogative and kingly power; though experience of the tolerating fpirit of the Whigs feems of late to have reconciled the Catholics to that party.

The Molinists and Fansenists in France have a thoufand unintelligible disputes, which are not worthy the reflection of a man of fense: But what principally dithinguishes these two sects, and alone merits attention. is the different spirit of their religion. The Molinists conducted by the Jesuits, are great friends to superstition, rigid observers of external forms and ceremonies, and devoted to the authority of the priests, and to tradition. The Jansenists are enthusiasts, and zealous promoters of the passionate devotion, and of the inward life; little influenced by authority; and, in a word, but half Catholics. The confequences are exactly conformable to the foregoing reasoning. The Jesuits are the tyrants of the people, and the flaves of the court: And the Jansenists preserve alive the small sparks of the love of liberty, which are to be found in the FRENCH nation.

### ESSAY XI.

by Of the Dignity or Meanness of Human Nature.

THE RE are certain fects which secretly form themfelves in the learned world, as well as factions in political; and though fometimes they come not to open rupture, they give a different turn to the ways of thinking of those who have taken part on either side. The most remarkable of this kind are the sects founded on the different fentiments with regard to the dignity of buman nature; which is a point that feems to have divided philosophers and poets, as well as divines, from the beginning of the world to this day. Some exalt our species to the skies, and represent man as a kind of human demigod, who derives his origin from heaven, and retains evident marks of his lineage and descent. Others infift upon the blind fides of human nature, and can difcover nothing, except vanity, in which man furpaffes the other animals, whom he affects so much to despile. If an author possess the talent of rhetoric and declamation, he commonly takes part with the former: If his turn lie towards irony and ridicule, he naturally throws himself into the other extreme.

- I am far from thinking that all those who have depreciated our species have been enemies to virtue, and have exposed the frailties of their fellow-creatures with any bad intention. On the contrary, I am sensible that a delicate sense of morals, especially when attended with a splenetic temper, is apt to give a man a disgust of the world, and to make him consider the common course of the number of the common course of the number of the common course of the number of the number of the number of the common course of the number of

ever, be of opinion, that the fentiments of those who are inclined to think favourably of mankind, are more advantageous to virtue than the contrary principles, which give us a mean opinion of our nature. When a man is prepossessed with a high notion of his rank and character in the creation, he will naturally endeavour to act up to it, and will scorn to do a base or vicious action, which might sink him below that sigure which he makes in his own imagination. Accordingly we find, that all our polite and sashionable moralists insist upon this topic, and endeavour to represent vice as unworthy of man, as well as odious in itself.

We find few disputes that are not founded on some ambiguity in the expression; and I am persuaded, that the present dispute, concerning the dignity or meanness of human nature, is not more exempt from it than any other. It may therefore be worth while to consider, what is real, and what is only verbal, in this contro-

verfy.

That there is a natural difference between merit and demerit, virtue and vice, wisdom and folly, no reasonable man will deny: Yet it is evident, that in affixing the term which denotes either our approbation or blame, we are commonly more influenced by comparison than by any fixed unalterable standard in the nature of things. In like manner, quantity, and extension, and bulk, are by every one acknowledged to be real things: But when we call any animal great or little, we always form a fecret comparison between that animal and others of the fame species; and it is that comparison which regulates our judgment concerning its greatness. A dog and a horse may be of the very same size, while the one is admired for the greatness of its bulk, and the other for the smallness. When I am present, therefore, at any dispute, I always confider with myself, whether it be a queftion of comparison or not that is the subject of the controversy; and if it be, whether the disputants compare the same objects together, or talk of things that are widely different.

DIGNITY OF MEANNESS OF HUMAN NATURE. Informing our notions of human nature, we are apt torniake a comparison between men and animals, the only creatures endowed with thought that fall under our fenses. Certainly this comparison is favourable to man-On the one hand, we fee a creature, whose thoughts are not limited by any narrow bounds, either of place or time; who carries his researches into the most distant regions of this globe, and beyond this globe, to the planets and heavenly bodies; looks backward to confider the first origin, at least, the history of human race; casts his eye forward to see the influence of his actions upon posterity, and the judgments which will be formed of his character a thousand years hence; a creature, who traces causes and effects to a great length and intricacy; extracts general principles from particular appearances; improves upon his discoveries; corrects his militakes; and makes his very errors profitable. On the other hand, we are prefented with a creature, the very reverse of this; limited in its observations and reasonings to a few sensible objects which surround it; without curiofity, without forefight; blindly conducted by influct, and attaining, in a short time, its utmost perfection, beyond which it is never able to advance a fingle step. What a wide difference is there between these creatures! And how exalted a notion must we entertain of the former, in comparison of the latter!

There are two means commonly employed to destroy this conculsion: First, By making an unfair representation of the case, and insisting only upon the weaknesses of human nature. And secondly, By forming a new and secret comparison between man and beings of the most perfect wisdom. Among the other excellencies of man, this is one, that he can form an idea of perfections much beyond what he has experience of in himself; and is not limited in his conception of wisdom and virtue. He can easily exalt his notions, and conceive a degree of know-lease, which, when compared to his own, will make the latter appear very contemptible, and will cause the

difference between that and the fagacity of animals, in a manner, to disappear and vanish. Now this being a point in which all the world is agreed, that human understanding falls infinitely short of perfect wisdom, it is proper we should know when this comparison take's place, that we may not dispute where there is no real difference in our fentiments. Man falls much more short of perfect wisdom, and even of his own ideas of perfect wildom, than animals do of man; yet the latterdifference is so considerable, that nothing but a comparison with the former can make it appear of little mo-

It is also usual to compare one man with another; and finding very few whom we can call wife of virtuous, we are apt to entertain a contemptible notion of our species in general. That we may be fensible of the fallacy of this way of reasoning, we may observe, that the honourable appellations of wife and virtuous, are not annexed to any particular degree of those qualities of wisdom and virtue; but arise altogether from the comparison we make between one man and another. When we find a man, who arrives at such a pitch of wisdom as is very uncommon, we pronounce him a wife man: So that to fay, there are few wife men in the world, is really to fay nothing; fince it is only by their scarcity that they merit that appellation. Were the lowest of our species as wise as TULLY or lord BACON, we should still have reason to sav that there are few wife men. For in that case we should exalt our notions of wisdom, and should not pay a singular honour to any one who was not fingularly diffinguished by his talents. In like manner, I have heard it obferved by thoughtless people, that there are few women possessed of beauty, in comparison of those who want it: not confidering, that we bestow the epithet of beautiful only on such as possess a degree of beauty that is common to them with a few. The same degree of beauty in a woman is called deformity, which is treated as real a beauty in one of our fex.

As it is usual, in forming a notion our species, to .

DIGNITY or MEANNESS of HUMAN NATURE. 79 compared it with the other species above or below it, or to compare the individuals of the species among themselves; so we often compare together the different motives or actuating principles of human nature, in order to regulate our judgment concerning it. And, indeed, this is the only kind of comparison which is worth our attention, or decides any thing in the present question. Were our felsish and victous principles so much predominant above our social and virtuous as is afferted by some phistosophers, we ought undoubtedly to entertain a contemptible notion of human nature.

There is much of a dispute of words in all this controverfy. When a man denies the fincerity of all public spirit or affection to a country and community, I am at a loss what to think of him. Perhaps he never telt this passion in so clear and distinct a manner as to remove all his doubts concerning its force and reality. But when he proceeds afterwards to reject all private friendship, if no interest or self-love intermix itself, I am then confident that he abuses terms, and confounds the ideas of things; fince it is impossible for any one to be so felfish, or rather fo stupid, as to make no difference between one man and another, and give no preference to qualities, which engages his approbation and esteem. Is he also, fay I, as infenfible to anger as he pretends to be to friendship? And does injury and wrong no more assect him than kindness or benefits? Impossible: He does not know himself: He has forgotten the movements of his heart; or rather he makes use of a different language from the rest of his countrymen, and calls not things by their pro-What fay you of natural affection? (I fubper names. join) Is that also a species of self-love? Yes: All is selfieve. Your children are loved only because they are yours: Your friend for a like reason: And your country sengages you only fo far as it has a connection with yourfelf: Were the idea of felf removed, nothing would affect you: You would be altogether unactive and infentible: Or, it you ever gave yourfelf any movement, it , would only be from vanity, and a defire of same and

reputation to this fame felf. I am willing, reply I, to receive your interpretation of human actions, provided you admit the facts. That species of self-love, which displays itself in kindness to others, you must allow to have great influence over human actions, and even greater, on many occasions, than that which remains in its original shape and form. For how few are there, who, having a family, children, and relations, do not fpend more on the maintenance and education of these than on their own pleasures? This indeed, you justing observe, may proceed from their felf-love, since the prosperity of their family and friends is one, or the chief, of their pleasures, as well as their chief honour. you also one of these selfish men, and you are sure of every one's good opinion and good will; or, not to shock vour ears with the'e expressions, the felt love of every one, and mine among the rest, will then incline us to ferve you, and tpeak well of you.

In my opinion, there are two things which have led aftray those philosophers that have insisted so much on the solfishness of man. In the first place, they found, that every act of virtue or friendship was attended with a secret pleasure; whence they concluded, that friendship and virtue could not be disinterested. But the fallacy of this is obvious. The virtuous sentiment or passion produces the pleasure, and does not arise from it. I seel a pleasure in doing good to my friend, because I love him; but do not love him for the sake of that pleasure.

In the second place, it has always been found, that the virtuous are far from being indifferent to praise; and therefore they have been represented as a set of vain-glorious men, who had nothing in view but the applauses of others. But this also is a fallacy. It is very unjust in the world, when they find any tincture of vanity in as laudable action, to depreciate it upon that account, or ascribe it entirely to that motive. The case is not the same with vanity as with other passions. Where avarice or revenge enters into any seemingly virtuous action,

it is difficult for us to determine how far it enters, and it is natural to suppose it the sole actuating principle. But vanity is so closely allied to virtue, and to love the same of laudable actions approaches so near the love of laudable actions for their own sake, that these passions we more capable of mixture than any other kinds of affection; and it is almost impossible to have the latter without some degree of the former. Accordingly we find, that this passion for glorly is always warped and varied according to the particular taste or disposition of the mind on which it falls. Nero had the same vanity in driving a chariot that Trajan had in governing the empire with justice and ability. To love the glory of virtuous deeds is a sure proof of the love of virtue.

### E S S A Y XII.

#### Of CIVEL LIBERTY.

THOSE who employ their pens on political subjects, free from party-rage and party-prejudices, cultivate a science, which, of all others, contributes most to public utility, and even to the private fatisfaction of those who addict themselves to the study of it. I am apt, however, to entertain a suspicion, that the world is still too young to fix many general truths in politics, which will remain true to the latest posterity. We have not as yet had experience of three thousand years; so that not only the art of reasoning is still impersect in this science, as in all others, but we even want sufficient materials upon which we can reason. It is not fully known, what degree of refinement, either in virtue or vice, human nature is susceptible of; nor what may be expected of inankind from any great revolution in their education, cultoms. Vous k F

customs, or principles. MACHIAVEL was certainly a great genius; but having confined his study to the furious and tyrannical governments of ancient times, or to the little disorderly principalities of lTALY; his reafonings, especially upon monarchical government, have been found extremely defective; and there fearcely-var any maxim in his Prince, which subsequent experience 3 has not entirely refuted. A weak prince, fays he, is inapable of receiving good counfel; for if he confult with feveral, he will not be able to choose among their different counsels. If he abandon himself to one, that minister may, perhaps, have capacity; but he will not long be a minister: He will be fure to disposses his master, and place himself and his family upon the throne. I mention this among many instances of the errors of that politician, proceeding in a great measure from his having lived in too early an age of the world to be a good judge of political truth. Almost a all the princes of EUROPE are at present governed by their ministers, and have been so for near two centuries; and yet no fuch event has ever happened, or can possibly happen. SEJANUS might protect dethroning the C.E. SARS; but FLEURY, though ever so vicious, could not, while in his fenses, entertain the least hopes of disposfeffing the Bourbons.

Trade was never esteemed an affair of state till the last century; and there scarcely is any ancient writer on politics who has made mention of it \*. Even the ITALIANS have kept a profound silence with regard to it, though it has now engaged the chief attention, as well of ministers of state as of speculative reasoners. The great opulence, grandeur, and military atchievements of the two maritime powers, seem first to have instructed mankind in the importance of an extensive commerce.

Having, therefore, intended in this essay to make a full comparison of civil liberty and absolute government, and to show the great advantages of the former above the

<sup>\*</sup> Χενορμον mentionsit; but with a doubt if it be of any advantage to a state. Είδι και εμπορία ορίλει τι πόλιν, &c. Χεν. Ητεκο. Ρυλτο τη τηταίλη excludes it from his imaginary republic. De legibus, lib. 1v.

latter, I began to entertain a suspicion, that no man in this age was sufficiently qualified for such an undertaking; and that whatever any one should advance on that head, would, in all probability, be resuted by further experience, and be rejected by posterity. Such mighty evolutions have happened in human affairs, and so many events have arisen contrary to the expectation of the ancients, that they are sufficient to beget the suspicion of st. If such expectation of

It had been observed by the ancients, that all the arts and sciences arose among free nations; and that the Persians and Ecyptians, notwithstanding their case, opulence, and luxury, made but faint efforts towards a relish in those finer pleasures, which were carried to such perfection by the GREERS, amidst continual wars, attended with poverty, and the greatest simplicity of life and manners. It had also been observed, that when the GREEKS lost their liberty. though they increased mightily in riches, by means of the conquelts of ALEXANDER; yet the arts, from that moment, declined among them, and have never fince been able to raise their head in that climate. Learning was transplanted to Rome, the only free nation at that time in the universe; and having met with fo favourable a foil, it made prodigious shoots for above a century; till the decay of liberty produced also the decay of letters, and spread a total barbarism over the world. From these two experiments, of which each was double in its kind, and showed the fall of learning in absolute governments, as well as its rife in papular ones, Longinus thought himself sufficiently justified in afferting, that the arts and sciences could never flourish but in a free government: And in this opinion he has been followed by feveral eminent writers \* in our own country, who either confined their view merely to ancient facts, or entertained too great a partiality in the favour of that form of government established amongst us. But

But what would these writers have said to the instances of modern Rome and of FLORENCE? Of which the former carried to perfection all the finer arts of sculpture, painting, and music, as well as poetry, though it groan-. ed under tyranny, and under the tyranny of priests: while the latter made its chief progress in the arts are sciences after it began to lose its liberty by the us. tion of the family of Midici. Ariosro, Tasso, LILFO, more than RAPHAEL and MICHAEL Augus were not born in republics. And though the LOMBARD fchool was famous as well as the ROMAN, yet the Vr-NETIANS have had the finallest share in its honours, and feem rather inferior to the other ITALIANS in their genius for the arts and sciences. Rubens established his school at Antwerp, not at Amsterdam: Dres-DEN, not HAMBURG, is the centre of politenels in GIR-MANY.

But the most eminent instance of the slouishing of learning in absolute governments is that of Franci, which scarcely ever enjoyed any established liberty, and yet has carried the arts and sciences as near perfection as any other nation. The English are perhaps greater philosophers; the Italians better painters and musicians; the Romans were greater orators: But the Frinch are the only people, except the Grleks, who have been at once philosophers, poets, orators, historians, painters, architects, sculptors, and musicians. With regard to the stage, they have excelled even the Greeks, who far excelled the English; and, in common lite; they have in a great measure perfected that art, the most useful and agreeable of any, Part de Vivre, the art of society and conversation.

If we consider the state of the sciences and polite arts in our own country, Horace's observation with regard to the Romans may in a great measure be applied to the British.

<sup>——</sup>Sed in longum tamen ævum Manserunt, hodieque manent vestigia rusis.

"The elegance and propriety of style have been very much negli cted among us. We have no dictionary of our language, and scarcely a tolerable grammar. first polite profe we have was writ by a man who is still 'alive \*. As to Sprat, Locke, and even Temple, they knew too little of the rules of art to be esteemed elegant writers. The profe of Bacon, Harkington, and Mil-TON, is altogether stiff and pedantic, though their sense Spe excellent. Men in this country have been fo much occupied in the great disputes of Religion, Politics, and Philosophy, that they had no relish for the seemingly minute observations of grammar and criticism. this turn of thinking must have considerably improved our sense and our talent of reasoning, it must be confessed, that, even in those sciences above mentioned, we have not any standard book which we can transmit to potterity: and the utmost we have to boast of are a few effays towards a more just philosophy; which indeed promife well, but have not as yet reached any degree of perfection.

It has become an established opinion, that commerce . can never flourish but in a free government; and this opinion feems to be founded on a longer and larger experience than the foregoing with regard to the arts and sciences. If we trace commerce in its progress through Tyre, Athens, Syracuse, Carthage, Vinice, FLORENCE, GENOA, ANTWERP, HOLLAND, ENGLAND, &c. we shall always find it to have fixed its leat in frie governments. The three greatest trading towns now in Europe are London, Amsterdam, and Hamburgh; all free cities, and protestant cities, that is, enjoying a double liberty. It must, however, be observed, that the 'great jealoufy entertained of late with regard to the commerce of FRANCE, seems to prove, that this maxim is no more certain and infallible than the foregoing, and that the subjects of an absolute prince may become our rivals in commerce as well as in learning.

Durft 1 deliver my opinion in an affair of fo much

<sup>&</sup>quot; Dr Swift.

uncertainty, I would affert, that, notwithstanding the efforts of the FRENCH, there is fomething hurtful to commerce inherent in the very nature of absolute government, and inseparable from it; though the reason I should assign for this opinion is somewhat different from that which is commonly infilted on. Private property feems to me almost as secure in a civilized Europes monarchy as in a republic; nor is danger much ay hended in such a government from the violence of fovereign, more than we commonly dread harm from thunder or earthquakes, or any accident the most unufual and extraordinary. Avarice, the spur of industry, is fo obstinate a passion, and works its way through so many real dangers and difficulties, that it is not likely to be scared by any imaginary danger, which is so small, that it scarcely admits of calculation. Commerce, therefore, in my opinion, is apt to decay in absolute governments, not because it is there less secure, but because it is less honourable. A subordination of ranks is absolutely necessary to the support of monarchy. Birth, titles, and place, must be honoured above industry and riches. And while these notions prevail, all the considerable traders will be tempted to throw up their commerce, in order to purchase some of those employments to which privileges and honours are annexed.

Since I am upon this head, of the alterations which time has produced or may produce in politics, I must observe, that all kinds of government, free and absolute, seem to have undergone, in modern times, a great change for the better, with regard both to foreign and domestic management. The balance of power is a secret in politics fully known only to the present age; and I must add, that the internal Police of states has also received great improvements within the last century. We are informed by Sallust, that Catiline's army was much augmented by the accession of the highwaymen about Rome; though I believe, that all of that prosettion, who are at present dispersed over Europe, would not amount to a regiment. In Cicero's pleadings to Millo.

MILO, I find this argument, among others, made use of to prove that his client had not affassinated CLODIUS. Had MILO, said he, intended to have killed CLODIUS, he had not attacked him in the day-time, and at such a elstance from the city: He had waylaid him at night near the suburbs, where it might have been pretended that he was killed by robbers; and the frequency of the accident would have favoured the deceit. This is a surprising proof of the loose policy of Rome, and of the number and force of these robbers; since CLODIUS was at that time attended by thirty slaves, who were completely armed, and sufficiently accustomed to blood and danger in the frequent tumults excited by that seditious tribune.

But though all kinds of government be improved in modern times, yet monarchical government feems to have made the greatest advances towards persection. It may now be affirmed of civilized monarchies, what was formerly faid in praise of republics alone, that they are a government of Laws, not of Men. They are found susceptible of order, method, and constancy, to a surprising degree. Property is there fecure; industry encouraged; the arts flourish; and the prince lives secure among his subjects, like a father among his children. There are perhaps, and have been for two centuries, near two hundred absolute princes, great and small, in EUROPE; and, allowing twenty years to each reign, we may suppose, that there have been in the whole two thousand monarchs or tyrants, as the Greeks would have called them: Yet of these there has not been one, not even PHILIP II. of Spain, fo bad as Tiberius, Galigula, . NERO, or DOMITIAN, who were four in twelve amongst the Roman emperors. It must, however, be confessed, that, though monarchical governments have approached nearer to popular ones in gentleness and stability, they are still inferior. Our modern education and customs instil more humanity and moderation than the ancient;

but have not as yet been able to overcome entirely the!

disadvantages of that form of government.

But here I must beg leave to advance a conjecture, which feems probable, but which posterity alone can fully judge of. I am apt to think, that in monarchical governments there is a fource of improvement, and in popular governments a fource of degeneracy, which in time will bring these species of civil polity still nearer an equality. The greatest abuses which arise in France, the most perfect model of pure monarchy, proceed not. from the number or weight of the taxes beyond what are to be met with in free countries; but from the expenfive, unequal, arbitrary, and intricate method of levying them, by which the industry of the poor, especially of the pealants and farmers, is in a great measure discouraged, and agriculture rendered a beggarly and flavish employment. But to whose advantage do these abuses tend? If to that of the nobility, they might be esteemed inherent in that form of government, fince the nobility are the true supports of monarchy; and it is natural their interest should be more consulted, in such a constitution, than that of the people. But the nobility are in reality the chief lofers by this oppression, since it ruins their estates and beggars their tenants. The only gainers by it are the Financiers, a race of men rather odious to the nobility and the whole kingdom. If a prince or minister, therefore, should arise, endowed with sufficient discernment to know his own and the public interest, and with sufficient force of mind to break through ancient customs, we might expect to see these abules remedied; in which case, the difference between that abfolute government and our free one would not appear for confiderable as at present.

The fource of degeneracy which may be remarked in free governments, confifts in the practice of contracting debt and mortgaging the public revenues, by which taxes may in time become altogether intolerable, and all the property of the state be brought into the hands of the ablic. This practice is of modern date. The

ATHF-

ATHINIANS, though governed by a republic, paid near two hundred per cent. for those sums of money which any emergence made it necessary for them to borrow, as we learn from XENOPHON \*. Among the moderns, the DUTCH first introduced the practice of borrowing great funs at low interest, and have well nigh ruined themselves by it. Absolute princes have also contracted debt: but as an absolute prince may make a bankruptcy when he pleases, his people can never be oppressed by his debts. In popular governments, the people, and chiefly those who have the highest offices, being commonly the public creditors, it is difficult for the state to make use of this remedy, which, however it may fometimes be necessary, is always cruel and barbarous. This therefore feems to be an inconvenience, which nearly threatens all free governments, especially our own, at the present juncture of affairs. And what a strong motive is this to increase our frugality of public money; lest, for want of it, we be reduced, by the multiplicity of taxes, or, what is worfe, by our public impotence and inability for defence, to curse our very liberty, and wish ourselves in the same state of servitude with all the nations that furround us?

<sup>&</sup>quot;" \* Κτησιν δε απ' ουδενος αν ουτω καλην κτησαιντο ωσπερ αφ ου ανπροδιλεσωσιν εες την αγορμαν—οι δε νε πλεισοι Αθηναίων πλειονα ληφονίαι κατ' ινιαυθού η οσα ον εισενεγκοσιν, οι γαρ μναν προδιλεσαντις, εγγυς δυοίν μναιν προσοδού εξνοι—ο δοκει των α. Θεοπινών ασφαλεσατον τε και πολυχεονιωίατον είναι. ΕΙΝ. ΠΟΡΟΙ.

# ESSAY XIII.

## Of ELOQUENCE.

HOSE who confider the periods and revolutions of human kind, as represented in history, are entertained with a spectacle full of pleasure and variety, and fee with furprise the manners, customs, and opinions of the fame species susceptible of such prodigious changes in different periods of time. It may, however, be obferved, that in civil history there is found a much greater uniformity than in the history of learning and science, and that the wars, negociations, and politics of one age, resemble more those of another, than the taste, wir, and and speculative principles. Interest and ambition, honour and shame, friendship and enmity, gratitude and revenge, are the prime movers in all public transactions; and these passions are of a very stubborn and intractable nature, in comparison of the fentiments and understanding, which are easily varied by education and example. The Goths were much more inferior to the ROMANS in talte and science than in courage and virtue.

But, not to compare together nations so widely different, it may be observed, that even this later period of human learning is in many respects of an opposite character to the ancient; and that if we be superior in philosophy, we are still, notwithstanding all our resinements, much inferior in eloquence.

In ancient times, no work of genius was thought to require so great parts and capacity, as the speaking in public; and some eminent writers have pronounced the talents, even of a great poet or philosopher, to be of an interior nature to those which are requisite for such an undertaking. Greece and Rome produced, each of

them.

them; but one accomplished orator; and whatever praises the other celebrated speakers might merit, they were still -esteemed much inferior to these great models of eloquence. It is observable, that the ancient critics could fearcely find two orators in any age, who deferved to be placed precifely in the fame rank, and possessed the same degree of merit. Calvus, Callus, Curio, Horten-SIUS, CASAR, role one above another: But the greatest of that age was inferior to CICERO, the most eloquent speaker that had ever appeared in Rome. Those of fine tafte, however, pronounced this judgment of the Roman orator, as well as of the GRECIAN, that both of them furpassed in eloquence all that had ever appeared, but that they were far from reaching the perfection of their art, which was infinite, and not only exceeded human force to attain, but human imagination to conceive. CI-CERO declares himself diffatisfied with his own performances; nay, even with those of Demosthenes. funt avida & capaces mea aures, fays he, & semper aliquid immensum, infinitumque desiderant.

Of all the polite and learned nations, England alone possesses a popular government, or admits into the legiflature fuch numerous affemblies as can be supposed to · lie under the dominion of eloquence. But what has \* ENGLAND to boast of in this particular? In enumerating the great men who have done honour to our country, we exult in our poets and philosophers; but what orators are ever mentioned? Or where are the monuments of their gonius to be met with? There are found, indeed, in our histories, the names of several who directed the resolutions of our parliament: But neither themselves · nor others have taken the pains to preferve their speeches; and the authority which they possessed feems to have been owing to their experience, wildom, or power, more than to their talents for oratory. At present there are above half a dozen speakers in the two houses, who, in the judgment of the public, have reached very near the fame pitch of eloquence; and no man pretends to give any one the preference above the rest. This seems to

me a certain proof, that none of them have attained much beyond a mediocrity in their art, and that the species of eloquence which they aspire to, gives no exercise to the sublimer faculties of the mind, but may be reached by ordinary talents and a slight application. A hundred cabinet-makers in London can work a table or a chair equally well; but no one poet can write verses with such spirit and elegance as Mr Pore.

We are told, that when Demosthenes was to pleader all ingenious men flocked to Athens from the most remote parts of Greece, as to the most celebrated spectacle of the world\*. At London you may see men sauntering in the court of requests, while the most important debate is carrying on in the two houses; and many do not think themselves sufficiently compensated, for the losing of their dinners, by all the eloquence of our most celebrated speakers. When old Cibber is to act, the curiosity of several is more excited than when our prime minister is to defend himself from a motion for his removal or impeachment.

Even a person unacquainted with the noble remains of ancient orators, may judge, from a few strokes, that the style or species of their eloquence was infinitely more Sublime than that which modern orators aspire to. How abfurd would it appear, in our temperate and calm speakers, to make use of an Apostrophe, like that noble one of Demosthenes, fo much celebrated by Quin-TILIAN and LONGINUS, when justifying the unsuccessful battle of CHIZRONEA, he breaks out, No; my Fellow-Citizens, No: You have not erred. I fwear by the manes of those heroes who fought for the same cause in the plains of MARATHON and PLATEA. Who could now endure fuch a bold and poetical figure as that which CICERO employs, after describing in the most tragical terms the crucifixion of a Roman citizen: Should I paint the hor-705°

<sup>\*</sup> Ne illud quidem intelligunt, non modo ita messoriæ proditum esse, sed ita necesse fuisse, cum Demosthenes dicturus esset, ut concursus, audiendi causa, ex tota Grecia sierent. At cum isti Atricia dicunt, non modo a corona (quod est ipsum miserabile) sed etiam ab advocatis relinquuntur.

Cicero de Claris Oratoribus.

Fors of this scene, not to ROMAN citizens, not to the allies of our state, not to those who have ever heard of the ROMAN Name , not even to men, but to brute-creatures; or, to go Forther, should I lift up my voice in the most desolate solitude to the rocks and mountains yet should I surely see those rude and inanimate parts of nature moved with horror and indignation at the recital of so enormons an action \*. With what a blaze of eloquence must such a sentence be surrounded to give it grace, or cause it to make any im-'pression on the hearers? And what noble art and sublime talents are requisite to arrive, by just degrees, at a sentiment so bold and excessive: To inflame the audience, fo as to make them accompany the speaker in such violent passions, and such elevated conceptions: And to conceal, under a torrent of eloquence, the artifice by which all this is effectuated! Should this fentiment even appear to us excessive, as perhaps it justly may, it will at least serve to give an idea of the style of ancient eloquence, where fuch swelling expressions were not rejected as wholly monstrous and gigantic.

Suitable to this vehemence of thought and expression, was the vehemence of action observed in the ancient orators. The fupplosio pedis, or stamping with the foot, was one of the most usual and moderate gestures which they made use of †; though that is now esteemed too violent, either for the senate, bar, or pulpit, and is only admitted into the theatre, to accompany the most vio-

lent passions, which are there represented.

3 One

<sup>\*</sup> The original is; Quod si hæc non ad cives Romanos, non ad aliquos amicos nostræ civitatis, non ad eos qui populi Romani nomen audissent; denique, si non ad homines, verum ad bestias; aut etiam, ut longins progrediar, si in aliqua desertissima solitudine, ad saxa & ad scopulos hæc conqueri & deplorare vellem, tamen omnia muta atque inanima, tanta & tam indigna rerum atrocitate commoverentur.

Cic. in Ver

This dolor? Ubi ardor animi, qui etiam ex infantium ingeniis elicere voces & querelas folet? nulla perturbatio animi, nulla corporis; frons non percussa, non femur; pedis (quod minimum est) nulla impossibile. Itaque tantum absuit ut inflammare nostros animos; fomnum isto logo vix tenebamus.

CICERO de Claris Oratoribus.

One is somewhat at a loss to what cause we may ascribed so sensible a decline of eloquence in later ages. The genius of mankind, at all times, is perhaps equal: The moderns have applied themselves, with great industry and success, to all the other arts and sciences: And a learned nation possesses a popular government; a circumstance which seems requisite for the sull display of these noble talents: But notwithstanding all these advantages, our progress in eloquence is very inconsiderable, in comparison of the advances which we have made in all other parts of learning.

Shall we affert, that the strains of ancient eloquence are unsuitable to our age, and ought not to be imitated by modern orators? Whatever reasons may be made use of to prove this, I am persuaded they will be found, upon examination, to be unsound and unsatisfactory.

First, it may be faid, that in ancient times, during the flourishing period of GREEK and ROMAN learning, the municipal laws, in every state, were but few and fimule, and the decision of causes was, in a great meafure, left to the equity and common sense of the judges. The study of the laws was not then a laborious occupation, requiring the drudgery of a whole life to finish it, and incompatible with every other study or profession. The great statesmen and generals among the ROMANS were all lawyers; and Cicero, to show the facility of acquiring this fcience, declares, that in the midst of all his occupations, he would undertake, in a few days, to make himself a complete civilian. Now, where a pleader addresses himself to the equity of his judges, he has much more room to display his eloquence, than where he must draw his arguments from strict laws, statutes, and precedents. In the former-case, many circumstances must be taken in; many personal considerations regarded; and even favour and inclination, which it belongs to the orator, by his art and eloquence, to conciliate, may be disguised under the appearance of equity. But how shall a modern lawyer have leisure to quit his toilsome occupations, in order to gather the flowers of

PARNASSUS? Or what opportunity shall he have of displaying them, amidst the rigid and subtle arguments, objections, and replies, which he is obliged to make use the greatest genius, and greatest orator, who should pretend to plead before the Chancellor, after a month's study of the laws, would only labour to make himself ridiculous.

I am ready to own, that this circumstance of the multiplicity and intricacy of laws, is a discouragement to eloquence in modern times: But I affert, that it will not entirely account for the decline of that noble art. may banish oratory from Westminster-Hall, but not from either house of parliament. Among the ATHE-NIANS, the Areopagites expressly forbad all allurements of eloquence; and some have pretended that in the GREEK orations, written in the judiciary form, there is not fo bold and rhetorical a style as appears in the ROMAN. But to what a pitch did the ATHENIANS carry their eloquence in the deliberative kind, when affairs of state were canvassed, and the liberty, happiness, and honour of the republic were the subject of debate? Disputes of this nature elevate the genius above all others, and give the fullest scope to eloquence; and such disputes are very frequent in this nation.

Secondly, It may be pretended that the decline of eloquence is owing to the superior good sense of the moderns, who reject with disdain all those rhetorical tricks employed to feduce the judges, and will admit of nothing but folid argument in any debate of deliberation. If a man be accused of murder, the fact must be proved by witnesses and evidence; and the laws will afterwards determine the punishment of the criminal. It would be ridiculous to describe, in strong colours, the horror and cruelty of the action: To introduce the relations of the dead; and, at a fignal, make them throw themselves at the feet of the judges, imploring justice with tears and lamentations: And still more ridiculous would it be, to . employ a picture representing the bloody deed, in order To move the judges by the display of so tragical a specvacle:

tacle; though we know that this artifice was fometimes practifed by the pleaders of old. Now, banish the pathetic from public discourses, and you reduce the speakers merely to modern eloquence; that is, to good fanta

delivered in propér expression.

Perhaps it may be acknowledged, that our modern customs, or our superior good sense, if you will, should make our orators more cautious and referved than the ancient, in attempting to inflame the passions, or elevate the imagination of their audience; but I fee no reason why it should make them despair absolutely of succeeding in that attempt. It should make them redouble their art, not abandon it entirely. The ancient orators feem also to have been on their guard against this jealousy of their audience; but they took a different way of eluding it +. They hurried away with fuch a torrent of fublime and pathetic, that they left their hearers no leifure to perceive the artifice by which they were deceived. Nay, to confider the matter aright, they were not deceived by any arrifice. The orator, by the force of his own genius and eloquence, first inflamed himself with anger, indignation, pity, forrow; and then communicated those imperuous movements to his audience.

Does any man pretend to have more good sense than JULIUS CHESAR'S yet that haughty conqueror, we know, was so subdued by the charges of Cicero's eloquence, that he was in a manner constrained to change his settled purpose and resolution, and to absolve a criminal, whom, before that orator pleaded, he was determined to

condema.

Some objections, I own, notwithstanding his vast success, may lie against some passages of the Roman orator. He is too stored and rhetorical: His sigures are too striking and passage. The divisions of his discourse are drawn chiefly from the rules of the schools: And his wit distains not always the artisce even of a pun, rhyme, or jingle of words. The Grecian addressed himself to an audience much less refined than the Roman senate or judges.

QUINTIL. lib. vi. cap. 1.

reigns, and the arbiters of his eloquence. Yet is his manner more chaste and austere than that of the other. Could it be copied, its success would be infallible over a modern assembly. It is rapid harmony, exactly adjusted to the sense: It is vehement reasoning, without any appearance of art: It is distain, anger, boldness, freedom, involved in a continued stream of argument: And of all human productions, the orations of Demostrhenes present to us the models which approach the nearest to persection.

Thirdly, It may be pretended, that the disorders of the ancient governments, and the enormous crimes of which the citizens were often guilty, afforded much ampler matter for eloquence than can be met with among the moderns. Were there no VERRES or CATILINE, there would be no CICERO. But that this reason can have no great influence, is evident. It would be easy to find a PHILIP in modern times; but where shall we find a

Demosthenes?

What remains, then, but that we lay the blame on the want of genius or of judgment in our speakers, who either found themselves incapable of reaching the heights of ancient eloquence, or rejected all fuch endeavours as unsuitable to the spirit of modern assemblies? A few fuccessful attempts of this nature might rouse the genius of the nation, excite the emulation of the youth, and accustom our ears to a more sublime and more pathetic elocution, than what we have been hitherto entertained with. There is certainly fomething accidental in the first rise and the progress of the arts in any nation. doubt whether a very fatisfactory reason can be given, why ancient Rome, though it received all its refinements from GREECE, could attain only to a relish for flatuary, painting, and architecture, without reaching the practice of these arts: While modern Rome has been excited by a few remains found among the ruins of antiquity, and has produced artists of the greatest eminence and distinction.

distinction. Had such a cultivated genius for oratory, as Waller's for poetry, arisen, during the civil wars, when liberty began to be fully established, and popular assemblies to enter into all the most material points of government; I am persuaded so illustrious an example would have given a quite different turn to British sloquence, and made us reach the perfection of the ancient model. Our orators would then have done honour to their country, as well as our poets, geometers, and prilosophers, and British Cicros have appeared, as well-as British Archimedeses and Virgils.

It is feldom or never found, when a falfatalte in poetry or eloquence prevails among any people, that it has been preferred to a true, upon comparison and reflection. It commonly prevails merely from ignorance of the true, and from the want of perfect models, to lead men into a juster apprehension, and more refined relish of those productions of genius. When these appear, they soon unite all suffrages in their favour, and, by their natural and powerful charms, gain over, even the most prejudiced, to the love and admiration of them. The principles of every passion, and of every sentiment, is in every man; and when touched properly, they rife to life, and warm the heart, and convey that fatisfaction by which a work of genius is distinguished from the adulterate beauties of a capricious wit and fancy. And if this observation be true with regard to all the liberal arts, it must be peculiarly so with regard to eloquence; which, being merely calculated for the public, and for men of the world, cannot, with any pretence of reason, appeal from the people to more refined judges; but must fubmit to the public verdict, without referve or limitation. Whoever, upon comparison, is deemed by a common audience the greatest orator, ought most certainly to be pronounced such by men of science and erudition. And though an indifferent speaker may triumph for a long time, and be esteemed altogether perfect by the vulgar, who are fatisfied with his accomplishments, and know not in what he is defective; yet, whenever the true

true genius arifes, he draws to him the attention of every one, and immediately appears superior to his rival.

Now to judge by this rule, ancient eloquence, that is the fublime and passionate, is of a much juster taste than the modern, or the argumentative and rational; and, if properly executed, will always have more command and authority over mankind. We are fatisfied with our mediocrity, because we have had no experience of any thing better: But the ancients had experience of both, and upon comparison, gave the presence to that kind of which they have left us fuch applauded models. For, if I milluke not, our modern eloquence is of the fame style or species with that which ancient critics denominated ATTIC eloquence, that is, calm, elegant, and fubtile, which instructed the reason more than affected the passions, and never raised its tone above argument or common discourse. Such was the eloquence of Lysias among the ATHENIANS, and of CALVUS among the ROMANS. These were esteemed in their time; but when compared with Demosthenes and Cicero, were eclipsed like a taper when set in the rays of a meridian. 'fun. Those latter orators possessed the same elegance and fubtilty, and force of argument, with the former; but what rendered them chiefly admirable, was that pathetic and fubline, which, on proper occasions, they threw into their discourse, and by which they commanded the resolution of their audience.

Of this species of eloquence we have scarcely had any instance in England, at least in our public speakers. In our writers we have had some instances, which have met with great applause, and might affure our ambition youth of equal or superior glory in attempts for the revival of ancient eloquence. Lord Bolingbroke's preductions, with all their defects in argument, method, and precision, contain a force and energy which our orators scarcley ever aim at; though, it is evident, that such an elevated style has much better grace in a speaker than in writer, and is assured of more prompt and more allogations functions. It is there seconded by the graces of

voice and action: The movements are mutually communicated between the orator and the audience: And the very aspect of a large assembly, attentive to the discourse of one man, must inspire him with a peculiar elevation. fufficient to give a propriety to the strongest figures and expressions. It is true, there is a great prejudice against fet speeches; and a man cannot escape ridicule, who repeats a discourse as a school-boy does his lesson, and takes no notice of any thing that has been advanced in the course of the debate. But where is the necessity of falling into this abfurdity? A public speaker must know beforehand the question under debate. He may compose all the arguments, objections, and answers, such as he thinks will be most proper for his discourse \*. If any thing new occur, he may supply it from his invention; nor will the difference be very apparent between his elaborate and his extemporary compositions. The mind naturally continues with the same impetus or force which it has acquired by its motion; as a vessel, once impelled by the oars, carries on its course for some time when the original impulse is suspended.

I shall conclude this subject with observing, that even though our modern orators should not elevate their style, or aspire to a rivalship with the ancient; yet is there, in most of their speeches, a material defect, which they might correct, without departing from that composed air of argument and reasoning to which they limit their ambition. Their great affectation of extemporary discourses has made them reject all order and method, which seems so requisite to argument, and without which it is scarcely possible to produce an entire conviction on the mind. It is not that one would recommend many divisions in a public discourse, unless the subject very evidently offer them; but it is easy, without this formality, to observe a method, and make that method conspicuous

<sup>\*</sup> The first of the Athenians who composed and wrote his speeches was Pericles, a man of business and a man of sense, if ever there was one, nearly pearles royer in direct must, two were out a xid, coston, g. Suidas in negation.

to the hearers, who will be infinitely pleased to see the arguments rise naturally from one another, and will retain a more thorough persuasion than can arise from the strangest reasons which are thrown together in consusion.

### ESSAY XIV.

Of the Rise and Progress of the Arts and Sciences.

OTHING requires greater nicety in our inquiries concerning human affairs, than to distinguish exactly what is owing to chance, and what proceeds from causes; nor is there any subject in which an author is more liable to deceive himself by false subtleties and refinements. To say that any event is derived from chance, cuts short all farther inquiry concerning it, and leaves the writer in the same state of ignorance with the rest of mankind. But when the event is supposed to proceed from certain and stable causes, he may then display his ingenuity in assigning these causes; and as a man of any subtlety can never be at a loss in this particular, he has thereby an opportunity of swelling his volumes, and discovering his prosound knowledge in observing what escapes the vulgar and ignorant.

The diffinguishing between chance and causes must depend upon every particular man's sagacity in considering every particular incident. But if I were to assign any general rule to help us in applying this distinction, it would be the following: What depends upon a few perfons is in a great measure to be ascribed to chance, or secret and unknown causes: What arises from a great number, may often be accounted for by determinate and known causes.

Two

Two natural reasons may be assigned for this rule, First, If you suppose a dye to have any bias, however small, to a particular side, this bias, though perhaps it may not appear in a few throws, will certainly prevail in a great number, and will cast the balance entities to that side. In like manner, when any causes beget a particular inclination or passion, at a certain time and among a certain people, though many individuals may escape the contagion, and be ruled by passions peculiar to the common affection, and be governed by it in all their actions.

Secondly, Those principles or causes which are fitted to operate on a multitude, are always of a grosser and more stubborn nature, less subject to accidents, and less influenced by whim and private fancy, than those which operate on a few only. The latter are commonly so delicate and refined, that the smallest incident in the health, education, or fortune of a particular person, is sufficient to divert their course and retard their operation; nor is it possible to reduce them to any general maxims or observations. Their influence at one time will never assure us concerning their influence at another, even though all the general circumstances should be the same in both cases.

To judge by this rule, the domestic and the gradual revolutions of a state must be a more proper subject of reasoning and observation than the foreign and the violent, which are commonly produced by single persons, and are more influenced by whim, folly, or caprice, than by general passions and interests. The depression of the lords, and rise of the commons in England, after the statutes of alienation, and the increase of trade and industry, are more easily accounted for by general principles, than the depression of the Spanish and rise of the French monarchy after the death of Charles Quint. Had Harry IV. Cardinal Richlieu, and Louis XIV, been Spaniards, and Philip II, III. and

The Rise of Arts and Sciences. 103

IV. and Charles II. been Frenchmen, the history of

these two nations had been entirely reversed.

For the same reason, it is more easy to account for the wife, and progress of commerce in any kingdom than for that of learning; and a state which should apply itself to the encouragement of the one, would be more affured of success than one which should cultivate the other. Avarice, or the defire of gain, is an universal passion, which operates at all times, in all places, and upon all persons: but curiofity, or the love of knowledge, has a very limited influence, and requires youth, leifure, education, genius, and example, to make it govern any perfon. You will never want bookfellers while there are buyers of books; but there may frequently be readers where there are no authors. Multitudes of people, neceffity and liberty, have begotten commerce in HoL-LAND; but study and application have scarcely produced any eminent writers.

We may therefore conclude, that there is no subject in which we must proceed with more caution, than in tracing the history of the arts and sciences; lest we assign causes which never existed, and reduce what is merely contingent to stable and universal principles. Those who cultivate the sciences in any state are always few in number; the passion which governs them limited; their taste and judgment delicate, and easily perverted; and their application disturbed with the smallest accident. Chance therefore, or secret and unknown causes, must have a great influence on the rise and progress of all the refined arts.

But there is a reason which induces me not to ascribe the matter altogether to chance. Though the persons who cultivate the sciences, with such astonishing success as to attract the admiration of posterity, be always sew, in all nations and all ages, it is impossible but a share of the same spirit and genius must be antecedently diffused throughout the people among whom they arise, in order to produce, form, and cultivate, from their earliest infancy, the taste and judgment of those eminent writers.

G 4 The

The mass cannot be altogether insipid from which such refined spirits are extracted. There is a God within us, fays Ovid, who breathes that divine fire by which we are animated \*. Poets, in all ages, have advanced this claim to inspiration. There is not, however, any thing supecnatural in the case. Their fire is not kindled from heaven: It only runs along the earth; is caugh; from one breast to another; and burns brightest where the materials are best prepared and most happily disposed. The question, therefore, concerning the rise and progress of the arts and sciences is not altogether a question concerning the tafte, genius, and spirit of a few, but concerning those of a whole people; and may therefore be accounted for in some measure by general causes and principles. I grant that a man, who should inquire why fuch a particular poet, as Homer for instance, existed at fuch a place, in fuch a time, would throw himfelf headlong into chimæra, and could never treat of fuch a fubject without a multitude of false subtleties and refine-He might as well pretend to give a reason why fuch particular generals as FABIUS and SCIPIO lived in Rome at fuch a time, and why Fabius came into the world before Scipio. For fuch incidents as these, no other reason can be given than that of Ho-RACE:

Scit genius, natale comes, qui temperat astrum, Naturæ Deus humanæ, mortalis in unum-

Quodque caput, vultu mutabilis, albus & ater.

It I am perfuaded, that in many cases good reasons

But I am perfuaded, that in many cases good reasons might be given why such a nation is more polite and learned, at a particular time, than any of its neighbours. At least this is so curious a subject, that it were a pity to abandon it entirely, before we have found whether it be susceptible of reasoning, and can be reduced to any general principles.

My first observation on this head is, That it is impos-

<sup>\*</sup> Est Deus in nobis; agitante calescimus illo: Impetus hic, sacræ semina mentis habet.

fible for the arts and sciences to arise at first among any people, unless that people enjoy the blessing of a free government.

In the first ages of the world, when men are as yet by varous and ignorant, they feek no farther fecurity against mutual violence and injustice than the choice of fome ruless, few or many, in whom they place an implicit confidence, without providing any fecurity, by laws or political institutions, against the violence and injustice of these rulers. If the authority be centered in a fingle person, and if the people, either by conquest or by the ordinary course of propagation, increase to a great multitude, the monarch, finding it impossible in his own person to execute every office of sovereignty in every place, must delegate his authority to inferior magistrates. who preserve peace and order in their respective districts. As experience and education have not yet refined the judgments of men to any confiderable degree, the prince, who is himself unrestrained, never dreams of restraining his ministers, but delegates his full authority to every one whom he fets over any portion of the people. All general laws are attended with inconveniences when applied to particular cases; and it requires great penetration and experience, both to perceive that these inconveniences are fewer than what result from full discretionary powers in every magistrate, and also to discern what general laws are upon the whole attended with fewest inconveniences. This is a matter of fo great difficulty, that men may have made some advances, even in the fublime arts of poetry and eloquence, where a rapidity of genius and imagination affills their progress, before they have arrived at any great refinement in their municipal laws, where frequent trials and diligent observation can alone direct their improvements. It is not therefore to be supposed, that a barbarous monarch, unrestrained and uninstructed, will ever become a legislator, or think of restraining his Bashaws in every province, or even his Cadis in every village. We are told, that the late Czar, though actuated with a noble

noble genius, and fmit with the love and admiration of EUROPEAN arts; yet professed an esteem for the TURK-ISH policy in this particular, and approved of such fummary decisions of causes as are practifed in that barbarous monarchy, where the judges are not restrained by any methods, forms, or iaw. He did not perceive how contrary such a practice would have then to all his other endeavours for refining his people. Arhitrary power, in all cases, is somewhat oppressive and thebasing; but it is altogether ruinous and intolerable when contracted into a small compass; and becomes still worse, when the person who possesses is known that the time of his authority is limited and u Habet subjector tanquam suos; viles, a lieros governs the subjects with full authority, as if they were his own; and with negligenes in tyranny, as believe our to another. A people, governed after such a manner, are flaves in the full and proper tonie of the wards and it is impossible they can ever afore to any remainments They dare not fo neigh as proof tafte or reason. to enjoy the necessaries of life in plenty or security

To expect, therefore, that the arts and idence the side take their first rise in a monarchy, is to expect to diction. Before these refinements have taken pure, the monarch is ignorant and uninstructed; and not having knowledge fulficient to make him femable of the procesfity of balancing his government upon general to the delegates his full power to all inferior magistrate. This barbarous policy debafes the people, and for ever success. all improvements. Were it possible that, before fee as a were known in the world, a monarch could put to much wisdom as to become a legislator, and more than people by law, not by the arbitrary will of their felt two Jubjects, it might be possible for that species of no conment to be the first morfery of arts and science that supposition seems scarcely to be consistent or retional.

It may happen, that a republic, in its infant state,

may be supported by as few laws as a barbarous monarchy, and may entrult as unlimited an authority to its magilliates or judges. But, befides that the frequent lections by the people are a confiderable check upon manority, it is a special but in time the necessity of coloraining the magnificate, in order to preferve liberty. shuft at her speak, and save rife to general laws and flatuite to Boy Contals, for fome time, decided afficiency flowed lamp confined by any polyise itarutes. till the proper towning this yoke with impatience, created the thermal vito monulgated the two-kertables; a body of law a which, though perhaps the mere not equal in and a second act of parliament, were almost the starty with collabolistic regulated property and punish-They be such a sea to that famous republic. They mark it was a restrict any other with the forms of a and properties of the ren, the analysis of the form of of state or, one against the violence or wellivens. In such a fituation the way, it luch a scene of oppression and and the mults from barbarous men rchies. where it is note there are reftrained by the authority of the medical end is the magistrates are not reformed by an has a flotter. An unlimited despetile, of this naif the critical effectually puts a hop to all imand the eps men from attaining that knowthe provided requifite to inflruct them in the advaning from a better police and more moderate

are the advantages of free states. Though build be barbarous, it necessarily, by an intended and considerable advances in the other sciences.

angles security; from security curiosity; and considerable dental; but the former are altographic more accidental; but the former are altographic meeessary. A republic without laws can never have

have any duration. On the contrary, in a monarchical government, law arises not necessarily from the forms of government. Monarchy, when absolute, contains even something repugnant to law. Great wisdom and reflection can alone reconcile them. But such a degree of wisdom can never be expected before the greater refinements and improvements of human reasons. These refinements require curiosity, security, and law. The first growth, therefore, of the arts and sciences can never be expected in despotic governments.

There are other causes which discourage the rise of the resided arts in despotic governments; though I take the want of laws, and the delegation of full powers to every petty magistrate, to be the principal. Eloquence certainly springs up more naturally in popular governments: Emulation too, in every accomplishment, must there be more animated and enlivened; and genius and capacity have a fuller scope and career. All these causes render free governments the only proper nursery for the arts and sciences.

The next observation which I shall make on this head is, That nothing is more favourable to the rise of politeness and learning, than a number of neighbouring and independent states, connected together by commerce and policy. The emulation which naturally arises among those neighbouring states, is an obvious source of improvement: But what I would chiefly insist on is the stop which such limited territories give both to power and to authority.

Extended governments, where a fingle person has great influence, soon become absolute; but small ones change naturally into commonwealths. A large government is accussioned by degrees to tyranny; because each act of violence is at first performed upon a part, which, being distant from the majority, is not taken notice of, nor excites any violent ferment. Besides, a large government, though the whole be discontented, may by a little art be kept in obedience; while each part, ignorant of the resolutions of the rest, is afraid to begin any commo-

tion or insurrection. Not to mention, that there is a superstitious reverence for princes, which mankind naturally contract when they do not often see the sovereign, and when many of them become not acquainted with him so as to perceive his weaknesses. And as large states can afford a great expence, in order to support the pomp of majesty, this is a kind of fascination on men, and

naturally contributes to the enflaving of them.

In a finall government, any act of oppression is immediately known thoughout the whole: The murmurs and discontents proceeding from it are easily communicated: And the indignation arises the higher, because the subjects are not apt to apprehend, in such states, that the distance is very wide between themselves and their sovereign. "No man," said the prince of Conde, "is a hero to "his Valet de Chambre." It is certain that admiration and acquaintance are altogether incompatible towards any mortal creature. Sleep and love convinced even Alexander himself that he was not a God: But I supspose that such as daily attended him could easily, from the numberless weaknesses to which he was subject, have given him many still more convincing proofs of his humanity.

But the divisions into small states are favourable to learning, by stopping the progress of authority as well as that of power. Reputation is often as great a fascination upon men as sovereignty, and is equally destructive to the freedom of thought and examination. But where a number of neighbouring states have a great intercourse of arts and commerce, their mutual jealously keeps them from receiving soo lightly the law from each other, in matters of taste and of reasoning, and makes them examine every work of art with the greatest care and accuracy. The contagion of popular opinion spreads not so easily from one place to another. It readily receives a check in some state or other, where it concurs not with the prevailing prejudices. And nothing but nature and reason, or at least what bears them a strong resemblance,

can force its way through all obstacles, and unite the most rival nations into an esteem and admiration of it.

GREECE was a cluster of little principalities which foon became republics; and being united, both by their near neighbourhood and by the ties of the same language and interest, they entered into the closed intercourse of commerce and learning. There concurred a happy climate, a foil not unfertile, and a most harmonious and comprehensive language; so that every circumstance among that people feemed to favour the rife of the arts and sciences. Each city produced it several artists and philosophers, who refused to yield the preference to those of the neighbouring republics: Their contention and debates sharpered the wits of men: riety of objects was presented to the judgment, while each challenged the preference to the rest: and the sciences, not being dwarfed by the restraint of authority, were enabled to make fuch confiderable shoots, as are, even at this time, the objects of our admiration. the Roman Christian or Catholic church had spread itself over the civilized world, and had engroffed all the learning of the times, being really one large state within itfelf, and united under one head; this variety of fects immediately disappeared, and the Peripatetic philofophy was alone admitted into all the schools, to the utter depravation of every kind of learning. But mankind having at length thrown off this yoke, affairs are now returned nearly to the same situation as before, and EUROPE is at present a copy at large of what GREECE was formerly a pattern in miniature. We have feen the advantage of this fituation in feveral instances. checked the progress of the Cartesian philosophy, to which the French nation showed such a strong propenfity towards the end of the last century, but the opposition made to it by the other nations of EUROPE, who foon discovered the weak-sides of that philosophy? The feverest scruting which NEW TON's theory has undergone, proceeded not from his own countrymen, but from foreigners; and if it can overcome the obstacles which it meets with at present in all parts of Europe, it will probably go down triumphant to the latest posterity. The English are become sensible of the scandalous licentiousness of their stage, from the example of the French decency and morals. The French are conviilced, that their theatre has become fomewhat effeminate by too much love and gallantry; and begin to approve of the more malculine tafte of some neighbouring nations.

In China, there seems to be a pretty considerable stock of politeness and science; which, in the course of fo many centuries, might naturally be expected to ripen into something more perfect and finished than what has yet arisen from them. But CHINA is one vast empire, speaking one language, governed by one law, and sympathifing in the same manners. The authority of any teacher, fuch as Confucius, was propagated eafily one corner of the empire to the other. None had ige to refult the torrent of popular opinion. erity was not bold enough to dispute what had been univerfally received by their ancestors. This seems to be one natural reason why the sciences have made so slow a progress in that mighty-empire\*.

If we confider the face of the globe, EUROPE, of all the four parts of the world, is the most broken by seas, rivers, and mountains; and GREECE of all countries of EUROPE. Hence these regions were naturally divided into feveral diffinct governments. And hence the fciences arose in GREECE; and EUROPE has been

hitherto the most constant habitation of them.

I have fometimes been inclined to think, that interruptions in the periods of learning, were they not attended with fuch a destruction of ancient books and the records of history, would be rather favourable to the arts and sciences, by breaking the progress of authority, and dethroning the tyrannical usurpers over human reason. In this particular, they have the same influence as interruptions in political governments and focieties. Confider

the blind submission of the ancient philosophers to the feveral masters in each school, and you will be convinced, that little good could be expected from a hundred centuries of fuch a fervile philosophy. Even the ECLECTICS; who arose about the age of Augustus, notwithstanding their professing to choose freely what plansed them from every different fect, were yet, in the main, as flavish and dependant as any of their brethern; fince they fought for truth, not in nature, but in the feveral schools; where they supposed the must necessarily be found, though not united in a body, yet dispersed in parts. Upon the revival of learning, thole fects of STOICS and EPICUREANS. PLATONISTS and PYTHAGORICIANS, could never regain any credit or authority; and, at the same time, by the example of their fall, kept men from submitting, with such blind descrence, to those new sects which have attempted to gain an afcendant over them.

The third observation which I shall form on this head, of the rise and progress of the arts and sciences, is, That though the only proper Nursery of these noble plants be a free state, yet may they be transplanted into any government; and that a republic is most favourable to the growth of the sciences; a civilized monarchy to that of the polite

arts.

To balance a large state or society, whether monarchical or republican, on general laws, is a work of so great difficulty, that no suman genius, however comprehensive. is able, by the meres dint of reason and reflection, to effect it. The judgments of many must unite in this work: Experience must guide their labour; time must bring it to perfection; and the feeling of inconveniences must correct the mistakes which they inevitably fall into in their first trials and experiments. Hence appears the impossibility, that this undertaking should be begun and carried on in any monarchy; fince such a form of government, ere civilized, knows no other fecret or policy, than that of entrulling unlimited powers to every severnor or magistrate, and subdividing the people into. many classes and orders of slavery. From such a situation.

ation, no improvement can ever be expected in the fciences, in the liberal arts, in laws, and fcarcely in the manual arts and manufactures. The fame barbarifm and ignorance, with which the government commences, is propagated to all posterity, and can never come to a period by the efforts or ingenuity of such un-

happy flaves!

But though law, the source of all security and happiucis, arises late in any government, and is the slow, product of order and of liberty, it is not preserved with the same difficulty with which it is produced; but when it has once taken root, is a hardy plant, which will fearcely ever periff through the ill culture of men, or the rigour of the scasons. The arts of luxury, and much more the liberal arts, which depend on a refined taste of sentiment, are easily lost; because they are always relished by a few only, whose leisure, fortune, and genius fit them for fuch amusements. But what is r profitable to every mortal, and in common life, when once discovered, can scarcely fall into oblivion, but by the total fubversion of society, and by such furious inundations of barbarous invaders, as obliterate all memory of former arts and civility. Imitation also is apt to transport these coarser and more useful arts from one climate to another, and make them precede the refined arts in their progress; though perhaps they sprang after them in their first rise and propagation. From these causes proceed civilized monarchies; where the arts of government, first invented in free states, are preserved to the mutual advantage and security of sovereign and subject.

However perfect, therefore, the monarchical form may appear to some politicians, it owes all its perfection to the republican; nor is it possible, that a pure despotism, established among a barbarous people, can ever, by its native force and energy, refine and polish itself. It must borrow its laws, and methods, and institutions, and confequently its stability and order, from free governments.

These advantages are the sole growth of republics. The

extensive despotism of a barbarous monarchy, by entering into the detail of the government, as well as into the principal points of administration, for ever prevents all

fuch improvements.

In a civilized monarchy, the prince alone is usrestrained in the exercise of his authority, and posselles alone a power, which is not bounded by any thing but custom, example, and the sense of his own interest. Every minister or magistrate, however eminent, must submit to the general laws which govern the whole fociety, and must exert the authority delegated to him after the manner which is prescribed. The people depend on none but their fovereign for the security of their property. He is so far removed from them, and is so much exempt from private jealousies or interests, that this dependence is scarcely felt. And thus a species of government arises, to which, in a high political rant, we may give the name of Tyranny; but which, by a just and prudent administration, may afford tolerable fecurity to the people, and may answer most of the ends of political fociety.

But though in a civilized monarchy, as well as in a republic, the people have fecurity for the enjoyment of their property; yet in both these forms of government, those who possess the supreme authority have the disposal of many honours and advantages, which excite the ambition and avarice of mankind. The only difference is, that in a republic, the candidates for office must look downwards, to gain the suffrages of the people; in a monarchy, they must turn their attention upwards, to court the good graces and favour of the great. To be successful in the former way, it is necessary for a man to make himself useful, by his industry, capacity, or knowledge: To be prosperous in the latter way, it is requisite for him to render himself agreeable, by his wit, complaisance, or civility. A strong genius succeeds best in

blics: A refined taffe in monarchies. And, conselently, the sciences are the more natural growth of the

one, and the polite arts of the other.

Not to mention, that monarchies, receiving their chief stability from a superstitious reverence to priests and princes, have commonly abridged the liberty of regioning, with regard to religion and politics, and consequently metaphysics and morals. All these form the most consequence branches of science. Mathematics and natural philosophy, which only remain, are not half so valuable.

Among the arts of conversation, no one pleases more than mutual deference or civility, which leads us to refign our own inclinations to those of our companion, and to curb and conceal that prefumption and arrogance. to natural to the human mind. A good-natured man, who is well educated, practifes this civility to every mortal, without premeditation or interest. But in order to render that valuable quality general among any people. it feems necessary to assist the natural disposition by some general motive. Where power rifes upwards from the people to the great, as in all republics, such refinements of civility are apt to be little practifed; fince the whole state is, by that means, brought near to a level, and every member of it is rendered, in a great measure, independent of another. The people have the advantage, by the authority of their sufferages: The great, by the superiority of their station. But in a civilized monarchy, there is a long train of dependence from the prince to the peafant; which is not great enough to render property precarious, or depress the minds of the people, but is fufficient to beget in every one an inclination to pleafe his superiors, and to form himself upon those models which are most acceptable to people of condition and education. Politeness of manners, therefore, arises most naturally in monarchies and courts; and where that flourishes, none of the liberal arts will be altogether neglected or despised.

The republics in EUROPE are at present noted for want of politeness. The good-manners of a Swiss civilized in Holland, is an expression for rusticity among the French.

<sup>\*</sup> C'est la politesse d'un Suisse En Hollande civilisé.

FRENCH. The ENGLISH, in some degree, fall under the same censure, notwithstanding their learning and genius. And if the VENETIANS be an exception to the rule, they owe it, perhaps, to their communication with the other ITALIANS, most of whose governments beget a dependence more than sufficient for civilizing their manners.

It is difficult to pronounce any judgment concerning the refinements of the ancient republics in this particular. But I am apt to suspect, that the arts of conversation were not brought so near to perfection among them as the arts of writing and composition. The scurrility of the ancient orators, in many instances, is quite shocking, and exceeds all belief. Vanity too is often not a little offensive in authors of those ages \*; as well as the common licentiousness and immodelty of their style, Quicunque impudicus, adulter, ganeo, manu, ventre, pene, bona patria laceraverat, fays SALLUST in one of the gravest and most moral passages of his history. Nam fuit ante Helenam Cunnus, teterrima belli caufa, is an expression of HORACE. in tracing the origin of moral good and evil. Ovid and LUCRETIUS+ are almost as licentious in their style as Lord Rochester; though the former were fine gentlemen and delicate writers, and the latter, from the corruptions of that court in which he lived, feems to have thrown off all regard to shame and decency. JUVENAL inculcates modely with great zeal; but fets a very bad example of it, if we confider the impudence of his expressions.

I shall also be bold to affirm, that among the ancients, there was not much delicacy of breeding, or that politic

\* It is needless to give Cicero or Pliny on this head; they are too much noted: But one is a little surprised to find Arrian, a very grave, judicious writer, interrupt the thread of his narration all of a studen, to tell his readers, that he himself is as eminent among the Greeks for eloquence as Alexander was for arks. Lib. i.

This poet (See lib. iv. 1165.) recommends a very extraordinary trave for love, and what one expects not to meet with in so elegant and philosophical a poem. It seems to have been the original of some of Dr Swift's images. The elegant CATULLUS and PHEDRUS

deference and respect, which civility obliges us either to express or counterfeit towards the persons with whom we converse. Cicero was certainly one of the finest gentlemen of his age; yet I must confess I have frequently been shocked with the poor figure under which he represents his friend ATTICUS, in those dialogues, where he himself is introduced as a speaker. learned and virtuous ROMAN, whose dignity, though he was only a private gentleman, was inferior to that of no one in Rome, is there shown in rather a more pitiful Hight than PHILALETHES'S friend in our modern dialogues. He is a humble admirer of the orator, pays him frequent compliments, and receives his instructions, with all the deference which a scholar owes to his master \*. Even CATO is treated in somewhat of a cavalier manner in the dialogues De Finibus.

One of the most particular details of a real dialogue which we meet with in antiquity, is related by PolyBius +; when PHILIP king of MACEDON, a prince of wit and parts, met with TITUS FLAMININUS, one of the politelt of the Romans, as we learn from Plutarcht, accompanied with ambassadors from almost all the GRIEK cities. The ÆTOLIAN ambassador very abruptly tells the king, that he talked like a fool or a madman (AMPEN). That's evident, fays his majesty, even to a blind man; which was a raillery on the blindness of his excellency. Yet all this did not pass the usual bounds; For the conference was not diffurbed; and FLAMININUS was very well diverted with these strokes of humour. At the end, when PHILIP craved a little time to consult with his friends, of whom he had none present, the Roman general, being defirous also to show his wit, as the historian says, tells him, That perhaps the reason why he had none of his friends with him, was because he had murdered them all; which was actually the case. This unprovoked piece of H 2.

† Lib. xvii. ‡ In vita Flamin.

<sup>\*</sup> ATT. Non mihi videtur ad beate vivendum fatis esse virtutem. Mar. At hercule Bruto meo videtur; cujus ego judicium, pace tua dixerim, longo antepono tuo. Tusc. Quæit. lib. v.\_

rusticity is not condemned by the historian; caused no farther refentment in PHILIP, than to excite a SARDO-NIAN smile, or what we call a grin; and hindered him not from renewing the conference next day. PLUTARCH\* too mentions this raillery amongst the witty and agree-

able fayings of FLAMININUS.

Cardinal Wolsey apologized for his famous piece of infolence, in faying, Ego ET REX MEUS, I and my king, by observing, that this expression was conformable to the Latin idiom, and that a ROMAN always named himself before the person to whom, or of whom, he spake. Yet this feems to have been an instance of want of civility among that people. The ancients made it a rule, that the person of the greatest dignity should be mentioned first in the discourse; insomuch, that we find the spring of a quarrel and jealousy between the Romans and ÆTOLIANS to have been a poet's naming the Æ10-LIANS before the ROMANS, in celebrating a victory gained by their united arms over the MACEDONIANS +. Thus Livia difgusted Tiberius by placing her own name before his in an infcription t.

No advantages in this world are pure and unmixed, In like manner, as modern politeness, which is naturally fo ornamental, runs often into affectation and foppery, disguise and infincerity; so the ancient simplicity, which is naturally to amiable and affecting, often degenerates into rutticity and abuse, scurrility and obscenity.

If the superiority in politeness should be allowed to modern times, the modern notions of gallantry, the natural produce of courts and monarchies, will probably be affigued as the causes of this refinement. No one denies this invention to be modern §: But some of the more zealous partizans of the ancients have afferted it. to be foppish and ridiculous, and a reproach rather than

> † Ibid. \* Plut. in vita Flamin. TACIT. Ann. lib. iii. cap. 64.

<sup>§</sup> In the Self-Tormentor of 1 FRENCE, CLINIAS, whenever he comes to town, instead of waiting on his mistress, sends for her to come to ALTE.

a credit to the present age \*. ' It may here be proper to examine this question.

Nature has implanted in all living creatures an affection between the fexes, which, even in the fiercest and most rapacious animals, is not merely confined to the fatisfaction of the bodily appetite, but begets a friendship and mutual sympathy, which runs through the whole tenor of their lives. Nay, even in those species where nature limits the indulgence of this appetite to one seafon and to one object, and forms a kind of marriage or - affociation between a fingle male and female, there is yet a visible complacency and benevolence which extends farther, and mutually foftens the affections of the fexes towards each other. How much more must this have place in man, where the confinement of the appetite is not natural; but either is derived accidentally from fome strong charm of love, or arises from reflections on duty and convenience? Nothing, therefore, can proceed less from affectation than the passion of gallantry. natural in the highest degree. Art and education, in the most elegant courts, make no more alteration on it than on all the other laudable pathons. They only turn the mind more towards it; they refine it; they polish it; and give it a proper grace and expression.

But gallantry is as generous as it is natural. To correct fuch gross vices as lead us to commit real injury on others, is the part of morals, and the object of the most ordinary education. Where that is not attended to in fome degree, no human society can subsist. But in order to render conversation, and the intercourse of minds, more eafy and agreeable, good-manners have been invented, and have carried the matter somewhat farther. Whereever nature has given the mind a propenfity to any vice, or to any passion disagreeable to others, refined breeding has taught men to throw the bias on the opposite side, and to preferve, in all their behaviour, the appearance of fentiments different from those to which they naturally Thus, as we are commonly proud and felifih, incline. H 4

<sup>\*</sup> Lord Shartesbury, fee his Moralifts.

and apt to assume the preference above others, a polite man learns to behave with deference towards his companions, and to yield the superiority to them in all the common incidents of fociety. In like manner, wherever a person's situation may naturally beget any disagreeable suspicion in him, it is the part of good-manners to prevent it, by a studied display of sentiments directly contrary to those of which he is ant to be jealous. old men know their infirmities, and naturally dread contempt from the youth: Hence, well-educated youth redouble the instances of respect and deference to their elders. Strangers and foreigners are without protection: Hence, in all polite countries, they receive the highest civilities, and are intitled to the first place in every company. A man is lord in his own family, and his guests are, in a manner, subject to his authority: Hence he is always the lowest person in the company; attentive to the wants of every one; and giving himself all the trouble, in order to pleafe, which may not betray too visible an affectation, or impose too much constraint on his guests \*. Gallantry is nothing but an instance of the same generous attention. As nature has given man the superiority ' above waman, by endowing him with greater strength both of mind and body; it is his part to alleviate that superiority as much as possible, by the generosity of his behaviour, and by a studied descrence and complaisance for all her inclinations and opinions. Barbarous nations display this superiority, by reducing their females to the most abject slavery; by confining them, by beating them, by felling them, by killing them. But the male fex, among a polite people, discover their authority in a more generous, though not a less evident manner; by civility, by respect, by complaisance, and, in a word, by gallantry.

The frequent mention in ancient authors of that ill-bred custom of the family's eating better bread or drinking better wine at table than he afforded his guests, is but in indifferent mark of the civility of those ages. See Juvenal, sat. 5. Plinii lib. xiv. cap. 14. Also Plinii Epift. Lucian de mercede conductis, Saturna-hages. There is scarcely any part of Europe at present so uncivilized as to admit of such a custom.

In good company, you need not ask, Who is the master of the featt? The man who fits in the lowest place, and who is always industrious in helping every one, is cersainly the person. We must either condemn all such instances of generosity as soppish and affected, or admit of gallantry among the rest. The ancient Muscovites wedded their wives with a whip instead of a ring. The fame people, in their own houses, took always the precedency above foreigners, even \* foreign ambassadors. These two instances of their generosity and politeness are much of a piece.

Gallantry is not less compatible with wisdom and prudence than with nature and generofity; and when under proper regulations, contributes more than any other invention to the entertainment and improvement of the youth of both fexes. Among every species of animals, nature has founded on the love between the sexes their sweetest: and best enjoyment. But the satisfaction of the bodily appetite is not alone sufficient to gratify the mind; and even among brute-creatures, we find, that their play and dalliance, and other expressions of fondness, form the greatest part of the entertainment. In rational beings, we must certainly admit the mind for a considerable share. Were we to rob the feast of all its garniture of reason, discourse, sympathy, friendship, and gaiety, what remains would fearcely be worth acceptance, in the judgement of the truly elegant and luxurious.

What better school for manners than the company of virtuous women; where the mutual endeavour to please must insensibly polish the mind, where the example of the • female foftness and modelty must communicate itself to their admirers, and where the delicacy of that fex puts every one on his guard, left he give offence by any breach

of decency?

Among the ancients, the character of the fair-fex was confidered as altogether domestic; nor were they regarded as part of the polite world, or of good company. This, perhaps, is the true reason why the ancients have not left us one piece of pleasantry that is excellent (unless one may except the banquet of Xenophon and the Dialogues of Lucian), though many of their ferious compositions are altogether inimitable. Horace condemps the coarse railleries and cold jests of Plautus: But, though the most easy, agreeable, and judicious writer in the world, is his own talent for ridicule very striking or refined! This, therefore, is one considerable improvement which the polite arts have received from gallantry and from courts, where it first arose.

But, to return from this digression, I shall advance it as a fourth observation on this subject, of the rise and progress of the arts and sciences, That when the arts and sciences come to perfection in any state, from that moment they naturally or rather necessarily decline, and seldom or never revive in that nation where they formerly sourished.

It must be confessed, that this maxim, though conformable to experience, may at first fight be esteemed contrary to reason. If the natural genius of mankind be the fame in all ages, and in almost all countries (as feems to be the truth), it must very much forward and cultivate this genius, to be possessed of patterns in every. art, which may regulate the tafte and fix the objects of imitation. The models left us by the ancients gave birth to all the arts about 200 years ago, and have mightily advanced their progress in every country of EUROPE: Why had they not a like effect during the reign of Trajan and his fuccessors, when they were much more entire, and were still admired and studied by the whole world? So late as the emperor Justinian, the Poet, by way of distinction, was understood, among the GREEKS, to be Homer; among the ROMANS, VIR-Such admiration still remained for these diving geniuses, though no poet had appeared for many centuries, who could justly pretend to have imitated them.

A man's genius is always, in the beginning of life, as much unknown to himself as to others; and it is only after frequent trials, attended with success, that he dares think himself equal to those undertakings, in

which

which those who have succeeded have fixed the admiration of mankind. If his own nation be already possessed of many models of eloquence, he naturally compares his own juvenile exercises with these; and being sensible of the great disproportion, is discouraged from any farther attempts, and never aims at a rivalship with those authors whom he so much admires. A noble emulation is the source of every excellence. Admiration and modelty naturally extinguish this emulation. And no one is so liable to an excess of admiration and modely as a truly great genius.

Next to emulation, the greatest encourager of the noble arts is praife and glory. A writer is animated with new force when he hears the applauses of the world for his former productions; and being rouled by fuch a motive, he often reaches a pitch of perfection which is equally furprifing to himself and to his readers. But when the posts of honour are all occupied, his first attempts are but coldly received by the public; being compared to productions which are both in themselves more excellent, and have already the advantage of an . established reputation. Were MOLIERE and CORNEILLE to bring upon the stage at present their early productions, which were formerly fo well received, it would discourage the young poets to see the indifference and disdain of the public. The ignorance of the age alone could have given admission to the Prince of Tyre; but it is to that we owe the Moor: Had Every man in his Humour been rejected, we had never feen VOLPONE.

Perhaps it may not be for the advantage of any nation to have the arts imported from their neighbours in too great perfection. This extinguishes emulation, and finks the ardour of the generous youth. So many models of ITALIAN painting brought into ENGLAND, instead of exciting our artists, is the cause of their small progress in that noble art. The same perhaps was the case of Rome when it received the arts from GREECE. That multitude of polite productions in the French language, dispersed all over GERMANY and the NORTH, hinder these

these nations from cultivating their own language, and keep them still dependent on their neighbours for those

elegant entertainments.

It is true, the ancients had left us models in every kind of writing, which are highly worthy of admiration. But befides that they were written in languages known only to the learned; befides this, I fay, the comparison is not so perfect or entire between modern wits and those who lived in so remote an age. Had Waller been born in Rome during the reign of Tiberius, his sirst productions had been despised, when compared to the sinished odes of Horace. But, in this island, the superiority of the Roman poet diminished nothing from the fame of the English. We esteemed ourselves sufficiently happy that our climate and language could produce but a faint copy of so excellent an original.

in short, the arts and sciences, like some plants, require a fresh soil; and however rich the land may be, and however you may recruit it by art or care, it will never, when once exhausted, produce any thing that is

perfect or finished in the kind.

## ESSAY XV.

The EPICUREAN \*.

IT is a great mortification to the vanity of man, that his utmost art and industry can never equal the meanest

<sup>\*</sup> Or, The man of elegance and pleasure. The intention of this and the three following Essays is not so much to explain accurately the sentiments of the ancient sects of philosophy, as to deliver the sentiments of sects that naturally form themselves in the world, and entertain different ideas of human life and of happiness. I have given each of them the name of the philosophical sect to which it bears the greatest affinity.

meanest of nature's productions, either for beauty or value. Art is only the under-workman, and is employed to give a few strokes of embellishment to those pieces which come from the hand of the master. Some of the drapery may be of his drawing, but he is not allowed to touch the principal figure. Art may make a suit of clothes; but nature must produce a man.

Even in those productions, commonly denominated works of art, we find that the noblest of the kind are beholden for their chief beauty to the force and happy influence of nature. To the native enthusiasm of the poets, we owe whatever is admirable in their productions. The greatest genius, where nature at any time fails him (for the is not equal), throws aside the lyre, and hopes not, from the rules of art, to reach that divine harmony which must proceed from her inspiration alone. How poor are those songs, where a happy flow of fancy has not furnished materials for art to embellish and refine!

But of all the fruitless attempts of art, no one is so ridiculous as that which the severe philosophers have undertaken, the producing of an artificial happiness, and making us be pleafed by rules of reason and by reflec-Why did none of them claim the reward which XERXES promifed to him who should invent a new pleafure? Unless, perhaps, they invented so many pleasures for their own use, that they despised riches, and stood in no need of any enjoyments which the rewards of that monarch could procure them. I am apt, indeed, to think, that they were not willing to furnish the PER-SIAN court with a new pleasure, by presenting it with so new and unufual an object of ridicule. Their speculations, when confined to theory, and gravely delivered in the schools of GREECE, might excite admiration in their ignorant pupils: But the attempting to reduce such principles to practice would soon have betrayed their absurdity.

You pretend to make me happy by reason, and by rules of art. You must, then, create me anew by rules of art. For on my original frame and structure does

my happiness depend. But you want power to effect this; and skill too, I am afraid: Nor can I entertain a less opinion of nature's wisdom than of yours. And let her conduct the machine which she has so wisely framed. I find, that I should only spoil it by my tam-

pering.

To what purpose should I pretend to regulate, refine, or invigorate any of those springs or principles which nature has implanted in me? Is this the road by which I must reach happiness? But happiness implies ease, contentment, repose, and pleasure; not watchfulness, care, The health of my body confifts in the faand fatigue. cility with which all its operations are performed. The stomach digests the aliments; the heart circulates the blood; the brain separates and refines the spirits; and all this without my concerning myself in the matter. When by my will alone I can stop the blood as it runs with impetuofity along its canals, then may I hope to change the course of my sentiments and passions. In vain should I strain my faculties, and endeavour to receive pleasure from an object which is not fitted by nature to affect my organs with delight. I may give myself pain by my fruitless endeavours, but shall never reach any pleasure.

Away, then, with all those vain pretences of making ourselves happy within ourselves, of feasting on our own thoughts, of being fatisfied with the consciousness of well-doing, and of despising all assistance and all supplies from external objects. This is the voice of PRIDE, not of NATURE. And it were well, if even this pride could support itself, and communicate a real inward pleasure, however melancholy or severe. But this impotent pride can do no more than regulate the outside; and with infinite pains and attention compose the language and countenance to a philosophical dignity, in order to deceive the ignorant vulgar. The heart, mean while, is empty of all enjoyment; and the mind, unsupported by its proper objects, finks into the deepest forrow and dejection. Miserable, but vain mortal! Thy mind be happy within itself! With what rescources is it endowed to fill

so immense a void, and supply the place of all thy hodily senses and faculties? Can thy head subsist without thy other members? In such a situation,

What foolish figure must it make?

Do nothing else but sleep and ake.

Into such a lethargy or such a melancholy must thy mind be plunged when deprived of foreign occupations and enjoyments.

Keep me therefore no longer in this violent constraint. Consine me not within myself; but point out to me those objects and pleasures which afford the chief enjoyment. But why do I apply to you, proud and ignorant sages, to show me the road to happiness? Let me confult my own passions and inclinations. In them must I read the dictates of nature, not in your frivolous discourses.

But see, propitious to my wishes, the divine, the amiable PLEASURE \*, the supreme love of GODS and men, advances towards me. At her approach, my heart beats with genial heat, and every fense and every faculty is dissolved in joy; while she pours around me all the embellishments of the spring and all the treasures of the autumn. The melody of her voice charms my ears with the fostest music, as she invites me to partake of those delicious fruits which, with a fmile that diffuses a glory on the heavens and the earth, the prefents to me. The sportive Cupids who attend her, or fan me with their odoriferous wings, or pour on my head the most fragrant oils, or offer me their fparkling nectar in golden goblets. O! for ever let me spread my limbs on this bed of roles, and thus, thus feel the delicious moments, with foft and downy steps, glide along. But, cruel chance! whither do you fly fo fast? Why do my ardent wishes, and that load of pleasures under which you labour, rather hasten than retard your unrelenting pace? Suffer me to enjoy this soft repose, after all my fatigues in search of happiness. Suffer me to satiate myself with these

these delicacies, after the pains of so long and so foolists an abstinence.

But it will not do. The roses have lost their hue: the fruit its flavour: And that delicious wine, whose fumes, fo late, intoxicated all my fenses with such delight, now folicits in vain the fated palate. Pleasure fmiles at my languor. She beckons her fifter, Virtue, to come to her assistance. The gay, the frolic Virtue obferves the call, and brings along the whole troop of my jovial friends. Welcome, thrice welcome, my ever dear companions, to these shady bowers, and to this luxuious repast. Your presence has restored to the rose its hue, and to the fruit its flavour. The vapours of this sprightly nactar now again play around my heart; while you partake of my delights, and discover, in your cheerful looks, the pleasure which you receive from my happiness and satisfaction. The like do I receive from yours; and encouraged by your joyous presence, shall again renew the feast, with which, from too much enjoyment, my semes were well nigh sated; while the mind kept not pace with the body, nor afforded relief to her o'er burthened partner.

In our cheerful discourses, better than in the formal reasonings of the schools, is true wisdom to be found. In our friendly endearments, better than in the hollow debates of statesmen and pretended patriots, does true virtue display itself. Forgetsul of the past, secure of the source, let us here enjoy the present; and while we yet possess a being, let us fix some good, beyond the power of sate or fortune. To-mortow will bring its own pleasures along with it: Or should it disappoint our fond wishes, we shall at least enjoy the pleasure of restecting on the pleasures of to-day.

Fear not, my friends, that the barbarous dissonance of BACCHUS, and of his revellers, should break in upon this entertainment, and confound us with their turbulent and clamorous pleasures. The sprightly muses wait around; and with their charming symphony, sufficient to often the wolves and tygers of the savage desert, inspire

a lost joy into every bosom. Peace, harmony, and concord reign in this retreat; nor is the silence ever broken but by the music of our songs, or the cheerful accents of

our friendly voices.

But hark! the favourite of the muses, the gentle DA-MON, strikes the lyre; and while he accompanies its harmonious notes with his more harmonious fong, he infpires us with the same happy debauch of fancy by which he is himself transported. "ye happy youth," he fings, " ye favoured of heaven , while the wanton fpring pours upon you all her blooming honours, let " not glory seduce you, with her delusive blaze, to pass " in perils and dangers this delicious feafon, this prime " of life. Wisdom points out to you the road to plea-" fure: Nature too beckons you to follow her in that fmooth and flowery path. Will you shut your ears to " their commanding voice? Will you harden your heart 66 to their foft aliurements? Oh, deluded mortals! thus 66 to lose your youth, thus to throw away so invaluable a " present, to trifle with so parishing a blessing. Contemplate well your recompence. Confider that glory which so allures your proud hearts, and seduces you " with your own praises. It is an echo, a dream, nay "the shadow of a dream, dissipated by every wind, and " loft by every contrary breath of the ignorant and ill-" judging multitude. You fear not that even death itse felf shall ravish it from you. But behold! while you " are yet alive, calumny bereaves you of it; ignorance " neglects it; nature enjoys it not; fancy alone, re-" nouncing every pleasure, receives this airy recom-" pence, empty and unstable as herself."

Thus the hours pass unperceived along, and lead in their wanton train all the pleasures of sense, and all the joys of harmony and friendship. Smiling innocence closes the procession; and while she presents herself to our Vol.

An imitation of the Syrens fong in Tasso:

6 O Giovinetti, mentre Aprile & Maggio
6 Vimmantan di fiorité & verde spoglie," &c.
6 Gierusalemme liberata, Canto 14.

ravished eyes, she embellishes the whole scene, and renders the view of these pleasures as transporting, after they have past us, as when, with laughing countenances, they were yet advancing towards us.

But the sun has sunk below the horizon; and darkness, stealing silently upon us, has now buried all nature in an universal shade. "Rejoice, my triends; continue your repast, or change it for soft repose. Though absent, your joy or your tranquillity shall still be mine." But whither do you go? Or what new pleasures call you from our society? Is their aught agreeable with your friends? And can aught please, in which we parnot? "Yes, my triends; the joy which I now seek admits not of your participation. Here alone I wish your absence; and here alone can I find a sufficient compensation for the loss or

But I have not advanced far thick wood, which spreads a mc. ere, methinks, I perceive through the gloom the charming Cælia, the mistress of my wishes, who wanders impatient through the grove, and, preventing the appointed hour, filently chides my tardy steps. But the joy which the receives from my prefence best pleads my excuse; and, diffipating every auxious and every angry thought, leaves room for nought but mutual joy and rap-With what words, my fair one, shall I express my tenderness, or describe the emotions which now warm my transported bosom! Words are too faint to describe my love; and if, alas! you feel not the same slame within you, in vain shall I endeavour to convey to you a just conception of it. But your every word and every motion suffice to remove this doubt; and, while they express your passion, serve also to enslame mine. How amiable this folitude, this filence, this darknos! No objects now importune the ravified foul. "thought. the fense, all full of nothing but our much why piness, wholly possess the mind, and convey a plear. deluded mortals vainly scek for in every other enjo. nent,-

But way does your bosom heave with these sighs, while tears bathe your glowing cheeks? Why distract your heart with such vain anxieties? Why so often ask The, How long my love shall yet endure? Alas! my CELIA. can I resolve this question? Do I know how long my life Thall yet endure? But does this also disturb your tender breast? And is the image of our frail mortality for ever -present with you, to throw a damp on your gayest hours, and poison even those joys which love inspires? Consider rather, that if life be frail, if youth be transi my, we should well employ the present moment, and lose no part of fo perishable an existence. Yet a little moment, and these shall be no more; we shall be as if we had never been; not a memory of us be left upon earth; and even the fabulous shades below will not afford us a habitation. Our fruitless anxieties, our vain projects, our ur cortain speculations, shall all be swallowed up and lost. Our prefent doubts, concerning the original cause of all things, must never, alas! be resolved. This alone we may be certain of, that if any governing mind prefide, he must be pleased to see us fulfil the ends of our being, and enjoy that pleafure for which alone we were created. Let this reflection give ease to your anxious thoughts; but render not your joys too ferious by dwelling for ever upon it. It is fufficient, once, to be acquainted with this philosophy, in order to give an unbounded loose to love and jollity, and remove all the fcruples of a vain fuperthition: But while youth and pattion, my fair one, prompt our eager desires, we must find gayer subjects of discourse to intermix with these amorous caresses.

## ESSAY XVI.

#### The Stoic \*.

THERE is this obvious and material difference in the conduct of nature, with regard to man and other animals, that, having endowed the former with a fublime celeftial spirit, and having given him an affinity with superior beings, she allows not such noble faculties to lie lethargic or idle; but urges him, by necessity, to employ, on every emergence, his utmost art and industry. Brute-creatures have many of their necessities supplied by nature, being cloathed and parent of all things: And where the conduction is the parent of all things: And where the conduction is the conduction of the conduction of

requisite on any occasion, nature, by implanting infinitis, still supplies them with the art, and guides them to their good, by her unerring precepts. But man, exposed naked and indigent to the rude elements, rises slowly from that helpless state, by the care and vigilance of his parents; and having attained his utmost growth and perfection, reaches only a capacity of subsisting by his own care and vigilance. Every thing is fold to skill and labour; and where nature furnishes the materials, they are still rude and unfinished, till industry, ever active and intelligent, refines them from their brute state, and sits them for human use and convenience.

Acknowledge, therefore, O man! the beneficence of nature; for she has given thee that intelligence which supplies all thy necessities. But let not indolence, under the false appearance of gratitude, persuade hee to rest contented with her presents. Wouldest turn to the raw herbage for thy food, to the covering, and to stones and clubs for thy deter.

rayenous animals of the defert? Then return

<sup>\*</sup> Or the man of action and virtue. .

thy favage manners, to thy timorous superstition, to thy brutal ignorance; and fink thyself below those animals, whose condition thou admirest, and wouldest so fondly imitate.

Thy kind parent, nature, having given thee art and intelligence, has filled the whole globe with materials to employ these talents: Hearken to her voice, which so plainly tells thee, that thou thyself shouldest also be the object of thy industry, and that by art and attention alone thou canst acquire that ability which will raise thee to tny proper station in the universe. Behold this artizan, who converts a rude and shapeless stone into a noble metal; and moulding that metal by his cunning hands, creates, as it were by magic, every weapon for his defence, and every utensil for his convenience. He has not this skill from nature: Use and practice have taught it him: And if thou wouldest emulate his success, thou must follow his laboratous foot-steps.

But while thou ambitiously aspirest to perfecting thy bodily powers and faculties, wouldest thou meanly neglect thy mind, and, from a preposterous sloth, leave it still rude and uncultivated, as it came from the hands of nature? Far be such folly and negligence from every rational being. If nature has been frugal in her gifts and endowments, there is the more need of art to supply her defects. If she has been generous and liberal, know that she still expects industry and application on our part, and rovenges herself in proportion to our negligent ingratitude. The richest genius, like the most fertile soil, when uncultivated, shoots up into the rankest weeds; and instead of vines and olives for the pleasure and use of man, produces, to its slothful owner, the most abundant crop of poisons.

The great end of all human industry is the attainment of piness. For this were arts invented, sciences cultivary from sordained, and societies modelled, by the most prosonal wisdom of patriots and legislators. Even the ionely savage, who lies exposed to the inclemency of the elements, and the sury of wild beasts, forgets not

13

for a moment this grand object of his being of forant as he is of every art of life, he still keeps in view the end of all those arts, and eagerly seeks for felicity amidst that darkness with which he is environed. But as much as the wildest savage is inserior to the polished citizen, who, under the protection of laws, enjoys every convenience which industry has invented; so much is this citizen himself inserior to the man of virtue and the true philosopher, who governs his appetites, subdues his passions, and has learned, from reason, to set a just a value on every pursuit and enjoyment. For is there an art and apprenticeship necessary for every other attainment? And is there no art of life, no rule, no precepts to direct us in this principal concern? Can no particular preasure be attained without skill; and can the whole be regulated, without reflection or intelligence, by the blind guidance of appetite and

mistakes are ever committed 1

man, however diffolute and negligent, proceeds in the pursait of happiness with as unerring a motion, as that which the celestial bodies observe, when, conducted by the hand of the Almighty, they roll along the ethereal . plains. But if militakes be often, be inevitably cominitted, let us register these mistakes; let us consider their causes; let us weigh their importance; let us enquire for their remedies. When from this we have fixed all the rules of conduct, we are philosophers; when we have reduced these rules to practice, we are

Like many subordinate artists, employed to form the feveral wheels and fprings of a machine; fuch are those who excel in all the particular arts of life. He is the master workman who puts those several parts together; moves them according to just harmony and proportion; and produces true felicity as the Quot their conspiring order.

While thou half such an alluring object i. that labour and attention, requilite to the attain a thy end, ever feein burdensome and intolerable 7.1.

that this abour itself is the chief ingredient of the felicity to which thou aspirest, and that every enjoyment foon becomes infipid and diftafteful, when not acquired be fatigue and industry. See the hardy hunters rife from their downy couches, shake off the slumbers which fall weigh down their heavy eye-lids, and, ere Aurora has yet covered the heavens with her flaming mantle. traften to the forest. They leave behind, in their own houses, and in the neighbouring plains, animals of every kind, whose fleth furnishes the most delicious fare, and which offer themselves to the fatal stroke. Laborious man discains to easy a purchase. He seeks for a preywhich hides itself from his fearch, or slies from his purfuit, or defends itself from his violence. Having exerted in the chase every passion of the mind, and every member of the body, he then finds the charms of repose, and with joy compares its pleafures to those of his engaging labours.

And can vigorous industry give pleasure to the pursuit even of the most worthless prey which frequently escapes our toils? And cannot the fame industry render the cultivating of our mind, the moderating of our passions, the enlightening of our reason, an agreeable occupation; while we are every day fenfible of our progress, and behold our inward features and countenance brightening inceffintly with new charms? Begin by curing yourfelf of this lethargic indolence; the task is not difficult: You need but talke the sweets of honest labour. reed to learn the just value of every pursuit; long study s not requifite; Compare, though but for once, the nind to the body, virtue to fortune, and glory to pleaure. You will then perceive the advantages of industry: You will then be sensible what are the proper objects of our industry.

In wines you feek repose from beds of roses: In ain dog windope for enjoyment from the most delicious vines and fruits. Your indolence itself becomes a faigue: Your pleasure itself creates disgust. The mind, mexercised, finds every delight insipid and loathsome;

14

and ere yet the body, full of noxious humours, feels the torment of its multiplied diseases, your nobler part is fensible of the invading poison, and seeks in vain to relieve its anxiety by new pleasures, which still augment the fatal malady.

I need not tell you, that, by this eager pursuit of pleafure, you more and more expose yourself to fortune and accidents, and rivet your affections on external objects, which chance may, in a moment, ravish from you. I shall suppose, that your indulgent stars favour you still with the enjoyment of your riches and possession prove to you, that even in the midst of your luxurous pleasures you are unhappy; and that by too much indulgence, you are incapable of enjoying what prosperous fortune still allow you to possess.

But furely the instability of fortune is a consideration not to be overlooked a cannot possibly exist where there are fortune has any domission. Though that unstable deity should not exert her rage against you, the dread of it would still torment you; would disturb your slumbers, haunt your dreams, and throw a damp on the solity of your most delicious

banquets.

The temple of wisdom is seated on a rock, above the rage of the fighting elements, and inaccessible to all the malice of man. The rolling thunder breaks below; and those more terrible instruments of human survereach not to so sublime a height. The sage, while he breathes that serene air, looks down with pleasure, mixed with compassion, on the errors of mistaken mortals, who blindly seek for the true path of life, and pursue riches, nobility, honour, or power, for genuine felicity. The greater part he beholds disappointed of their fond wishes: Some lament, that have once possessed the object of their defires, it and them by envious fortune: And all that even their own views, though granted, cannot the server their own views, though granted, cannot have the server their own views, though granted, cannot be server the server their own views, though granted, cannot be server the ser

them happiness, or relieve the anxiety of their distracted minds.

But does the fage always preserve himself in this phibiophical indifference, and rest contented with lamenting the miseries of mankind, without ever employing himfelf for their relief? Does he constantly indulge this severe wisdom, which, by pretending to elevate him above human accidents, does in reality harden his heart, and render him careless of the interests of mankind and of society? No; he knows that in this sullen Apathy, neither true wisdom nor true happiness can be found. He feels too strongly the charm of the social affections ever to counteract so sweet, so natural, so virtuous a propensity. Even when, bathed in tears, he laments the miscries of human race, of his country, of his friends, and unable to give success, can only relieve them by s in the generous disposition,

s in the generous disposition, erior to that of the most in-

manity, that they brighten up the very face of fortow, and operate like the sun, which, shining on a dusky cloud or falling rain, paints on them the most glorious colours which are to be found in the whole circle of nature.

But it is not here alone that the focial virtues display their energy. With whatever ingredient you mix them they are still predominant. As forrow cannot overcome them, so neither can sensual pleasure obscure them. The joys of love, however tumultuous, banish not the tender sentiments of sympathy and affection. They even derive their chief influence from that generous passion; and when presented alone, afford nothing to the unhappy mind but lassitude and disgust. Behold this sprightly debauchee, who professes a contempt of all other pleasures but those of wine and jollity. Separate him from his companions, like a spark from a fire, where

contributed to the general blaze, his alacrity to extinguishes; and, though surrounded with yery other means of delight, he lothes the sumptuous

banquet, and prefers even the most abstracted study and

speculation as more agreeable and entertaining.

But the focial passions never afford such transporting pleasures, or make so glorious an appearance in the eyes both of GOD and man, as when, shaking off every earthly mixture, they affociate themselves with the sentiments of virtue, and prompt us to laudable and worthy actions. As harmonious colours mutually give and receive a lastre by their friendly union; so do these ennobling sentiments of the human mind. See the triumph of nature in patental affection! What selfish passion; what sensual delight is a match for it! whether a man exults in the prosperity and virtue of his offspring, or slies to their succour, through the most threatening and tremendous dangers?

Proceed still in purifying the generous passion, you will still the more admire its strining glories. When charms are there in the harmony or minds, and in a friendship found don mutual esteem and gratitude! What satisfaction in relieving the distressed, in comforting the asslicted, in raising the fallen, and in stopping the career of cruel fortune, or of more cruel man, in their insults over the good and virtuous! But what suppreme joy in the victories over vice as well as misery, when, by virtuous example or wite exhortation, our sellow creatures are taught to govern their passions, reform their vices, and subdue their worst enemies, which inha-

bit within their own hofoms?

But these objects are still too limited for the human mind, which, being of celestial origin, swells with the divinest and most enlarged affections, and, carrying its attention beyond kindred and acquaintance, extends its benevolent wishes to the most dislant posterity. It views liberty and laws as the source of human happiness, and devotes itself, with the utmost alacrity, to their guardianship and protection. Toils, dangers, death inself, carry their charms, when we brave them for the public good, and ennoble that being which we generally sagrifice for the interests of our country. Happy the

an whom indulgent fortune allows to pay to virtue hat he owes to nature, and to make a generous gift what must otherwise be ravished from him by cruel fity!

In the true fage and patriot are united whatever can flinguish human nature, or elevate mortal man to a femblance with the divinity. The foftest benevolence, e most undaunted resolution, the tenderest sentiments, is most sublime love of viour, all these animate successively his transported boson. What satisfaction, has he looks within, to find the most turbulent pasons tuned to just harmony and concord, and every roing sound banished from this enchanting music! If e contemplation, even of inanimate beauty, is so deaphtful; if it ravishes the sense, even when the fair to be to return to us: what must be the effects of moral accessive to the enchanting music in the result of our own re-

CHOR did mounty.

But where is the resua dof virtue? And what recompence s nature provided for such important sacrifices as those of · and fortune, which we must often make to u? Oh, sons earth! are ye ignorant of the value of this celestial trefs? And do ye meanly inquire for her portion. en ye observe her genuine charms? But know, that ure has been indulgent to human weakness, and has left this favourite child naked and unendowed. She provided wirtue with the richest dowry; but being careful left the allurements of interest should engage such fuitors as were is canfible of the native worth of fo divine a beauty, the has wifely provided, that this dowry can have no charms but in the eyes of those who are already transported with the love of virtue. GLORY is the portion of virtue, the fweet reward of honourable triumphant crown which covers the thoughthead of the difinterested patriot, or the dutty brow

in of virtue looks down with contempt on all the irements of pleature, and all the menaces of danger.

Death itself loses its terrors, when he considers, that its dominion extends only over a part of him; and that, in spite of death and time, the rage of the elements, and the endless vicissitude of human affairs, he is affurcted an immortal fame among all the sons of men.

There furely is a Being who presides over the universe; and who, with infinite wifdom and power, has reduced the jarring elements into just order and proportion. Let speculative reasoners dispute how far this beneficent Being extends his care, and whether he prolongs our existence beyond the grave, in order to bestow on virtue its just reward, and render it fully triumphant. The man of morals, without deciding any thing on fo dubious a subject, is satisfied with the portion marked out to him by the Supreme Disposer of all things. Gratefully he accepts of that farther reward prepared for him; but if disappointed, he thinks not virtue an empty name: ber, justly esteeming it its own reward, no gratefully acknowledges the bounty of his Creator, who, by calling him into existence, has thereby afforded him an opportunity of once acquiring so invaluable a possession.

## E S S A Y XVII.

# The PLATONIS (\*

To some philosophers it appears matter of surprize, that all mankind, possessing the same nature, and being endowed with the same faculties, should yet differ so widely in their pursuits and inclinations, and that one should utterly condemn what is fondly sought after by another. To some it appears matter of still more surprise, that a man should differ so widely from himself, at

ifferent times; and, after possession, reject with disdain I hat before was the object of all his yows and withes. To me, this feverish uncertainty and irresolution in humer ronduct feems altogether unavoidable; nor can a ational foul, made for the contemplation of the Supreme Being, and of his works, ever enjoy tranquillity or fawhile detained in the ignoble purfuits of fenor popular applause. The divinity is a îral poundic of blis and glory: Human minds are which, arifing at first from this ocean, smaller sti ieek itill, an. and their wanderings, to return to it, and to lose themselves in that immensity of perfection. When checked in this natural course by vice or folly, they become furious and enraged; and, swelling to a torrent, do then spread horror and devastation on the neighbouring plains

rafe and passionate expression,

n pursuit, and invites the creductors nearers to an unitation of his life and manners.

The heart belies the countenance, and sensibly seels,
even amid the highest success, the unsatisfactory nature
of all those pleasures which detain it from its true object. I examine the voluptuous man before enjoyment;
easure the vehemence of his desire and the import-

of his object; I find that all his happiness proceeds only from that hurry of thought which takes him from himself, and turns his view from his guilt and misery. I consider him a moment after; he has now enjoyed the pleasure which he fondly sought after. The sense of his guilt and misery returns upon him with double anguish: His mind tormented with fear and remorse; his body depressed with disgust and satiety.

But a more august, at least a more haughty personpresents himself boldly to our censure; and, assuming the title of a philosopher and man of morals, offers to submit to the most rigid examination. He challenges with a visible, though concealed impatience, our approbation and applause; and seems offended that we should

4

hesitate a moment before we break out into admiration of his virtue. Seeing this impatience, I hesitate slink more: I begin to examine the motives of his seeming virtue: But behold! ere I can enter upon this increase. he slings himself from me; and, addressing his discourse to that crowd of heedless auditors, fondly abuses them by his magnificent pretensions.

O philosopher! thy wisdom is vain and thy virtue unprofitable. Thou feekest the ignorant applauses of men, not the folid reflections of thy own conscience, or the more folid approbation of that Being, who, with one regard of his all feeing eye, penetrates the universe. Thou furely art confcious of the hollowness of thy pretended protity, whilst calling thyself a citizen, a son, a friend, thou forgettest thy higher sovereign, thy true father, thy greatest benefactor. Where is the adoration due to infinite perfection, whence every thing good and valuable is derived? Where is the gratitude owing to thy Creator, who called thee forth from nothing, who placed thee in all these relations to thy fellow-creatures, and requiring thee to fulfil the duty of each relation, forbids thee to neglect what thou owest to himself, the most perfect being, to whom thou art connected by the closest tve?

But thou art thyself thy own idol: Thou worshippest thy imaginary perfections: Or rather, sensible of thy real imperfections, thou seekest only to deceive the world, and to please thy fancy, by multiplying thy ignorant admirers. Thus, not content with neglecting what is most excellent in the universe, thou desirest to substitute in his place what is most vile and contemptible.

Consider all the works of mens hands; all the inventions of human wit, in which thou affectest so nice a discernment: Thou wilt sind, that the most perfect production still proceeds from the most perfect thought, and that it is MIND alone which we admire, while we be stow our applause on the graces of a well-proportioned, the proportion of a noble pile. The statuary,

the architect comes still in view, and makes us restect in the beauty of his art and contrivance, which, from a leap of unformed matter, could extract such expressions and proportions. This superior beauty of thought and imelligence thou thyfelf acknowledgeft, while thou inpit to contemplate, in thy conduct, the harmony e, the dignity of fentiments, and all those ind, which chiefly merit our attention. gracos ou short? Seeft thou nothing farther But why 1. that is valuable. mid thy rapturous applauses of beauty and order, art thou still ignorant where is to be found the most consummate beauty, the most perfect order? Compare the works of art with those of nature. one are but imitations of the other. The nearer art approaches to nature, the more perfect is it esteemed. But still, how wide are is nearest approaches, and what ; be observed between them?

and more admirable springs and principles; as exceeding her imitation; as beyond her comprehension. Art copies only the minute productions of nature, despairing so reach that grandeur and magnificence, which are so associated in the masterly works of her original. Can we then be so blind as not to discover an intelligence and a design in the exquisite and most stupendous contrivance of the universe? Can we be so stupid as not to feel the warmest raptures of worship and adoration, upon the contemplation of that intelligent being, so infinitely good and wise?

The most persect happiness, surely, must arise from the contemplation of the most persect object. But what more persect than beauty and virtue? And where is beauty to be found equal to that of the universe? Or virtue, which can be compared to the benevolence and justice of the Deity? If aught can diminish the pleasure of this contemplation, it must be either the narrowness of our faculties, which conceals from us the greatest part of these beauties and persections; or the shortness of our lives, which allows not time sufficient to instruct us

#### 142 ESSAY XVII.

in them. But it is our comfort, that, if we employ worthily the faculties here assigned us, they will be enlarged in another state of existence, so as to render us more suitable worshippers of our Maker: And that he task, which can never be finished in time, will be the business of an eternity.

# ESSAY XVIII.

## The SCEPTIC.

Thave long entertained a suspicion with regard to the decisions of philosophers upon all subjects, and sound in myself a greater inclination to dispute, than affent to their conclusions. There is one mistake to which they seem liable, almost without exception; they confine too much their principles, and make no account of that vast variety which nature has so much affected in all her operations. When a philosopher has once laid hold of a favourite principle, which perhaps accounts for many natural effects, he extends the same principle over the whole creation, and reduces to it every phenomenon, though by the most violent and absurd reasoning. Our own mind being narrow and contracted, we cannot extend our conception to the variety and extent of nature; but imagine, that she is as much bounded in her operations as we are in our speculation.

But if ever this infirmity of philosophers is to be suspected on any occasion, it is in their reasonings concerning human life, and the methods of attaining happiness. In that case they are led astray, not only by the narrowness of their understandings, but by that also of their passions. Almost every one has a predominant inclination, to which his other desires and affections submit,

and which governs him, though perhaps with some intervals, through the whole course of his life. It, is difficult for him to apprehend, that any thing which appears totally indifferent to him, can ever give enjoyment to any person, or can possess charms which altogether escape his observation. His own pursuits are always, in his account, the most engaging; the objects of his passion, the most valuable; and the road which he pursues, the only one that leads to happiness.

But would these prejudiced reasoners resect a moment. there are many obvious instances and arguments sufficient to undeceive them, and make them enlarge their maxims and principles. Do they not fee the vast variety of inclinations and pursuits among our species; where each man feems fully fatisfied with his own course of life. and would esteem it the greatest unhappiness to be confined to that of his neighbour? Do they not feel in themtelves, that what pleases at one time displeases at another, by the change of inclination; and that it is not in their power, by their utmost efforts, to recal that taste or appetite which formerly bestowed charms on what now appears indifferent or disagrecable? What is the meaning therefore of those general preferences of the town or country life, of a life of action or one of pleasure, of retirement or fociety; when, besides the different inclinations of different men, every one's experience may convince him, that each of these kinds of life is agreeable in its turn, and that their variety or their judicious mixture chiefly contributes to the rendering all of them agreeable? we have

But shall this business be allowed to go altogether at adventures? And must a man consult only his humour and inclination, in order to determine his course of life, without employing his reason to inform him what road is preferable, and leads most surely to happiness? Is there no difference then between one man's conduct and another?

I answer, there is a great difference. One man, following his inclination, in choosing his course of life, K may may employ much surer means for succeeding than another, who is led by his inclination into the same course of life, and pursues the same object. Are riches the chief object of your desires? Acquire skill in your profession; be diligent in the exercise of it; enlarge the circle of your friends and acquaintance; avoid pleasure and expence; and never be generous but with a view of gaining more than you could save by frugality, Would you acquire the public esteem? Guard equally against the extremes of arrogance and sawning. Let it appear that you set a value upon yourself, but without despising others. If you sail into either of the extremes, you either provoke mens pride by your insolence, or teach them to despise you by your timorous submission, and by the mean opinion which you seem to entertain of yourself.

These, you say, are the maxims of common prudence and discretion; what every parent inculcates on his child, and what every man of sense pursues in the course of life which he has chosen.—What is it then you desire more? Do you come to a philosopher as to a cunning man, to learn something by magic or witchcrast, beyond what can be known by common prudence and discretion?—Yes; we come to a philosopher to be instructed, how we shall choose our ends, more than the means for attaining these ends: We want to know what desire we shall gratify, what passion we shall comply with, what appetite we shall indulge. As to the rest, we trust to common sense, and the general maxims of the world for our instruction.

I am forry then I have pretended to be a philosopher:
For I find your questions very perplexing; and am in danger, if my answer be too rigid and severe, of passing for a pedant and scholastic; if it be too easy and free, of being taken for a preacher of vice and immorality.

However, to satisfy you, I shall deliver my opinion upon the matter, and shall only desire you to esteem it of little consequence as I do myself. By that means you

Will neither think it worthy of your ridicule nor your

If we can depend upon any principle which we learn from philosophy, this, I think, may be considered as certain and undoubted, that there is nothing in itself valuable or despicable, desirable or hateful, beautiful or deformed; but that these attributes arise from the particular constitution and fabric of human sentiment and affection. What seems the most delicious food to one animal, appears loathsome to another: What affects the feeling of one with delight, produces uneasiness in another. This is confessedly the case with regard to all the bodily senses: But if we examine the matter more accurately, we shall find, that the same observation holds even where the mind concurs with the body, and mingles its sentiment with the exterior appetite.

Desire this passionate lover to give you a character of his mistress: He will tell you, that he is at a loss for words to describe her charms, and will ask you very feriously, if ever you were acquainted with a godders or an angel? If you anwer that you never were: He will then fay, that it is impossible for you to form a conception of such divine beauties as those which his charmer possesses; so complete a shape; such well-proportioned features; so engaging an air; such sweetness of disposition; such gaiety of humour. You can infer nothing, however, from all this discourse, but that the poor man is in love; and that the general appetite between the fexes, which nature has infused into all animals, is in him determined to a particular object by some qualities, which give him pleasure. The same divine creature, not only to a different animal, but also to a different man, appears a mere mortal being, and is beheld with the utmost indifference.

Nature has given all animals a like prejudice in favour of their offspring. As foon as the helpless infant sees the light, though in every other eye it appears a despicable and a miserable creature, it is regarded by its fond parent with the utmost affection, and is preferred to every

every other object, however perfect and accomplished. The passion alone, arising from the original structure and formation of human nature, bestows a value on the

most insignificant object.

We may push the same observation further, and may conclude, that even when the mind operates alone, and feeling the fentiment of blame or approbation, pronounces one object deformed and odious, another beautiful and amiable: I fay, that even in this case, those qualities are not really in the objects, but belong entirely to the fentiment of that mind which blames or praises. I grant, that it will be more difficult to make this proposition evident, and, as it were, palpable to negligent thinkers; because nature is thore uniform in the sentiments of the mind than in most feelings of the body, and produces a nearer refemblance in the inward than in the outward part of human kind. There is something approaching to principles in mental tafte; and critics can reason and dispute more plausibly than cooks or perfumers. We may observe, however, that this uniformity among human kind hinders not, but that there is a confiderable diversity in the fentiments of beauty and worth: and that education, custom, prejudice, caprice, and humour; frequently vary our taste of this kind. You will never convince a man who is not accustomed to ITALIAN music, and has not an ear to follow its intricacies, that a Scotch tune is not preferable. You have not even any fingle argument, beyond your own tafte, which you can employ in your behalf: And to your antagonist, his particular taste will always appear a more convincing argument to the contrary. If you be wife, each of you will allow that the other may be in the right; and having many other inflances of this diverfity of tafte, you will both confess, that beauty and worth are merely of a relative nature, and confilt in an agreeable sentiment, produced by an object in a particular mind, according to the peculiar structure and constitution of that minds

By this divertity of fentiment, observable in human

<u>.</u>

kind, nature has perhaps intended to make us fensible of her authority, and let us see what surprising changes she could produce on the passions and desires of mankind, merely by the change of their inward fabric, without any alteration on the objects. The vulgar may even be convinced by this argument: But men, accustomed to thinking, may draw a more convincing, at least a more general argument, from the very nature of the subject.

In the operation of reasoning, the mind does nothing but run over its objects, as they are supposed to stand in reality, without adding any thing to them, or diminishing any thing from them. If I examine the Prolo-MAIC and COPERNICAN Systems, I endeavour only, by my inquiries, to know the real fituation of the planets; that is, in other words, I endeavour to give them, in my conception, the fame relations that they bear towards each other in the heavens. To this operation of the mind, therefore, there feems to be always a real, though often an unknown flandard, in the nature of things; nor is truth or falsehood variable by the various apprehenfions of mankind. Though all human race should for ever conclude, that the fun moves, and the earth remains at rest, the sun stirs not an inch from his place for all these reasonings; and such conclusions are eternally false and erroneous.

But the case is not the same with the qualities of beautiful and deformed, desirable and odious, as with truth and talsehood. In the former case, the mind is not content with merely surveying its objects as they stand in themselves; it also feels a sentiment of delight or uneasiness, approbation or blame, consequent to that survey; and this sentiment determines it to assix the epithet beautiful or deformed, desirable or odious. Now, it is evident, that this sentiment must depend upon the particular fabric or structure of the mind, which enables such particular forms to operate in such a particular manner, and produces a sympathy or consormity between the mind and its objects. Vary the structure of the mind or inward organs,

organs, the sentiment no longer follows, though the forms remains the same. The sentiment being different from the object, and arising from its operation upon the organs of the mind, an alteration upon the latter must vary the effect, nor can the same object, presented to a mind to-

tally different, produce the fame sentiment, pass

This conclusion every one is apt to draw of himself without much philosophy, where the sentiment is evidently distinguishable from the object. Who is not sensible, that power, and glory, and vengeance, are not desirable of themselves, but derive all their value from the structure of human passions, which begets a desire towards such particular surfuits? But with regard to beauty, either natural or moral, the case is commonly supposed to be different. The agreeable quality is thought to lie in the object, not in the sentiment; and that merely because the sentiment is not so turbulent and violent as to distinguish itself, in an evident manner, from the perception of the object.

But a little reflection suffices to distinguish them. A man may know exactly all the circles and ellipses of the COPERNICAN system, and all the irregular spirals of the PTOLOMAIC, without perceiving that the former is more beautiful than the latter. Euclid has fully explained every quality of the circle, but has not, in any proposition, said a word of its beauty. The reason is evident. Beauty is not a quality of the circle. It lies not in any part of the line, whose parts are all equally distant from a common centre. It is only the effect which that figure produces upon a mind, whose particular-fabric or structure renders it susceptible of such sentiments. In vain would you look for it in the circle, or seek it, either by your senses or by mathematical reasonings, in all the properties of that figure.

The mathematician, who took no other pleasure in reading VIRGIL, but that of examining ENRAS's voyage by the map, might perfectly understand the meaning of every Latin word employed by that divine author; and consequently, might have a distinct idea of the whole nare

than they could attain who had not studied so exactly the geography of the poem. He knew, therefore, every thing in the poem: But he was ignorant of its beauty; because the beauty, properly speaking, lies not in the poem, but in the sentiment or taste of the reader. And where a man has no such delicacy of temper, as to make him feel this sentiment, he must be ignorant of the beauty, though possessed of the science and understanding of an angel \*.

The inference upon the whole is, that it is not from the value or worth of the object, which any person pursues, that we can determine his enjoyment, but merely from the passion with which he pursues it, and the success which he meets with in his pursuit. Objects have absolutely no worth or value in themselves. They derive their worth merely from the passion. If that be strong, and steady, and successful, the person is happy. It cannot reasonably be doubted, but a little miss, dressed in a new gown for a dancing-school ball, receives as complete enjoyment as the greatest orator, who triumplis in the splendor of his eloquence, while he governs the passions and resolutions of a numerous assembly.

All the difference, therefore, between one man and another with regard to life, confifts either in the passion or in the enjoyment: And these differences are sufficient to produce the wide extremes of happiness and milery.

To be happy, the passion must neither be too violent nor too remiss. In the first case, the mind is in a perpetual hurry and tumult; in the second, it sinks into a

disagreeable indolence and lethargy.

To be happy, the passion must be benign and social; not rough or sierce. The assections of the latter kind are not near so agreeable to the seeling, as those of the somer. Who will compare rancour and animosity, envy and revenge; to sriendship, benignity, clemency, and gratitude?

To be happy, the passion must be cheerful and gay, K4 not

not gloomy and melancholy. A propentity to hope and joy is real riches; one to fear and forrow, real poverty.

Some passions or inclinations, in the enjoyment of their object, are not so steady or constant as others, nor convey such durable pleasure and satisfaction. Philosophical devotion, for instance, like the enthusiasm of a poet, is the transitory effect of high spirits, great leisure, a sine genius, and a habit of study and contemplation: But notwithstanding all these circumstances, an abstract, invisible object, like that which natural religion alone presents to us, cannot long actuate the mind, or be of any moment in life. To render the passion of countenance, we must find some method of affecting the senses and imagination, and must embrace some historical as well as philosophical account of the divinity. Popular superstitutions and observances are even found to be of use in this particular.

Though the tempers of then be very different, yet we may fafely pronounce in general, that a life of pleasure cannot support itself so long as one of business, but is much more subject to satiety and disgust. The amusements which are the most durable, have all a mixture of application and attention in them; such as gaming and hunting. And in general, business and action fill up all

the great vacancies in human life.

But where the temper is the best disposed for any enjoyment, the object is often wanting: And in this respect, the passions which pursue external objects contribute not so much to happiness, as those which rest in ourselves; since we are neither so certain of attaining such objects, nor so secure in possessing them. A passion for learning is preserable, with regard to happiness, to one for riches.

Some men are possessed of great strength of mind; and even when they pursue external objects, are not much affected by a disappointment, but renew their application and industry with the greatest cheerfulness Nothing contributes more to happiness than such a turn of mind.

According to this short and impersed sketch of human life, the happiest disposition of mind is the virtuous; or, in other words, that which leads to action and employinent, renders us sensible to the social passions, steels the theart against the assaults of fortune, reduces the affections to a just moderation, makes our own thoughts an entertainment to us, and inclines us rather to the pleasures of lociety and conversation, than to those of the senses. This, in the mean time, must be obvious to the most careless reasoner, that all dispositions of mind are not alike favourable to happiness, and that one passion or humour may be extremely defirable, while another is equally disagreeable. And indeed, all the difference between the conditions of life depends upon the mind: nor is there any one fituation of affairs, in itself, preferable to another. Good and ill, both natural and moral, are entirely relative to human fentiment and affection. No man would ever be unhappy, could he alter his feelings. Proteus-like, he would elude all attacks, by the continual alterations of his thape and form.

But of this resource nature has, in a great measure, deprived us. The fabric and conflictation of our mind no more depends on our choice than that of our body. The generality of men have not even the smallest notion, that any alteration in this respect can ever be defirable. As a stream necessarily follows the several inclinations of the ground on which it runs; so are the ignorant and thoughtless part of mankind actuated by their natural propensities. Such are effectually excluded from all pretentions to philosophy, and the medicine of the mind, fo much boasted. But even upon the wife and thoughtful, nature has a prodigious influence; nor is it always in a man's power, by the utmost art and industry, to correct his temper, and attain that virtuous character to which he aspires. The empire of philosophy extends over a fan, and with regard to these too, her authority is very k and limited. Men may well be sensible of the

Lalue of virtue, and may defire to attain it; but it is not always

always certain that they will be successful in their

Whoever confident without prejudice, the course of human actions, will find, that mankind are almost entirely guided by constitution and temper, and that general maxims have little influence, but lo far as they affect our taste or sentiment. If a man have a lively sense of honour and virtue, with moderate passions, his conduct will always be conformable to the rules of morality; or if he depart from them, his return will be easy and expeditious. On the other hand, where one is born of fo perverse a frame of mind; of so callous and insensible a disposition, as to have no relish for virtue or humanity, no fympathy with his fellow-creatures, no defire of esteem and applause; such a one must be allowed entirely incurable, nor is there any remedy in philosophy. He reaps no fatisfaction but from low and fenfual objects, or from the indulgence of malignant passions: He feels no remorfe to control his vicious inclinations: He has not even that sense or taste which is requisite to make him desire a better character. For my part, I know not how I should address myself to such a one, or by what arguments I should endeavour to reform him. Should I tell him of the inward fatisfaction which results from laudable and humane actions, the delicate pleasure of difinterested love and friendship, the lasting enjoyments of a good name and an established character, he might still reply, that these were perhaps pleasures to such as were susceptible of them; but that, for his part, he finds himself of a quite different turn and disposition. I must repeat it; my philosophy affords no remedy in such a case, nor could I do any thing but lament this person's unhappy condition. But then I ask, If any other philofophy can afford a remedy; or if it be possible, by any fyltem, to render all mankind virtuous, however perverle may be their natural frame of mind? Experience will foon convince us of the contrary; and I will vesture to affin, that pechans the chief benefit which results from plonly ariles in an indirect manner, and proceeds e from its fecret, infensible influence, than from its mediate application.

It is certain, that a lerious attention to the sciences and liberal arts softens and humanizes the temper, and cherishes those sine emotions, in which true virtue and honour consist. It rarely, very rarely happens, that a man of taste and learning is not, at least, an honest man, whatever frailties may attend him. The bent of his mind to speculative studies must mortify in him the passions of interest and ambition, and must at the same time give him a greater sensibility of all the decencies and duties of life. He feels more fully a moral distinction in characters and manners; nor is his sense of this kind diminished, but, on the contrary, it is much increased by speculation.

Besides such insensible changes upon the temper and disposition, it is highly probable that others may be produced by study and application. The prodigious effects of education may convince us, that the mind is not altogether stubborn and insertible, but will admit of many alterations from its original make and structure. Let a man propose to himself the model of a character which he approves; let him be well acquainted with those particulars in which his own character deviates from this model; let him keep a constant watch over himself, and bend his mind, by a continual effort, from the vices towards the virtues; and I doubt not but, in time, he will find in his temper an alteration for the better.

Habit is another powerful means of reforming the mind, and implanting in it good dispositions and inclinations. A man who continues in a course of sobriety and temperance, will hate riot and disorder: If he engage in business or study, indolence will seem a punishment to him: If he constrain himself to practise beneficence and affability, he will soon abhor all instances of pride and will be with the virtuous course of life is presentle; if he have but resolution enough, for some time, to impose a violence on himself; his reformation needs not be despaired of. The missor-

misfortune is, that this conviction and this resolution of never can have place, unless a man be before hand to

lerably virtuous.

Here then is the chief triumph of art and philosophy: It insensibly refines the temper, and it points out to us those dispositions which we should endeavour to attain, by a constant bent of mind and by repeated habit. Beyond this I cannot acknowledge it to have great influence; and I must entertain doubts concerning all those exhortations and consolations, which are in such vogue among speculative reasoners.

We have already observed, that no objects are in themselves desirable or odious, valuable or despicable; but that objects acquire these qualities from the particular character and constitution of the mind which surveys them. To diminish, therefore, or augment any person's value for an object, to excite or moderate his passions, there are no direct arguments or reasons which can be employed with any force or influence. The catching of slies, like Domitian, if it give more pleasure, is preserable to the hunting of wild beasts, like William Rufus, or conquering of kingdoms like Alexander.

But though the value of every object can be determined only by the fentiment or passion of every individual, we may observe, that the passion, in pronouncing its verdict, considers not the object simply as it is in itself, but surveys it with all the circumstances which attend it. A man transported with joy on account of possessing a diamond, consines not his view to the glistering stone before him: he also considers its rarity; and thence chiefly arises his pleasure and exultation. Here therefore a philosopher may step in, and suggest particular views and considerations and circumstances, which otherwise would have escaped us; and, by that means, he may either moderate or excite any particular passion.

It may feem unreasonable absolutely to deny the authority of philosophy in this respect: But it must be confessed, that there lies this strong presumption against it, that, if these views be natural and obvious, they would

hal

ave occurred of themselves, without the assistance of hilosophy; if they be not natural, they never can have ny influence on the affections. Thefe are of a very deliase nature, and cannot be forced or constrained by the tmost art or industry. A consideration which we seek or on purpose, which we enter into with difficulty, vhich we cannot retain without care and attention, will lever produce those genuine and durable movements of assion, which are the result of nature, and the constiution of the mind. A man may as well pretend to cure similelf of love, by viewing his mistress through the artiicial medium of a microscope or prospect, and beholding here the coarseness of her skin, and monstrous dilproportion of her features, as hope to excite or moderate my passion by the artificial arguments of a SENECA or in LPICTETUS. The remembrance of the natural afpect and situation of the object, will in both cases still recur upon him. The reflections of philosophy are too subtile and distant to take place in common life, or eradisate any affection. The air is too fine to breatherin, where it is above the winds and clouds of the atmosphere.

Another defect of those refined reflections which philosophy suggests to us, is, that commonly they cannot diminish or extinguish our vicious passions, without diminishing or extinguishing such as are virtuous, and rendering the mind totally indifferent and unactive. They are, for the most part, general, and are applicable to all our affections. In vain do we hope to direct their influence only to one fide. If by incessing study and meditation we have rendered them intimate and present to us, they will operate throughout, and spread an universal insensibility over the mind. When we destroy the nerves, we extinguish the sense of pleasure, together with that

of pain, in the human body.

. If will be easy, by one glance of the eye, to find one or other of these defects in most of those philosophical reslections, so much celebrated both in ancient and modernatimes. Let not the injuries or violence of men, fay the

philosophers \*, ever discompose you by anger or hatred Would you be angry at the ape for its malice, or the tyger for its ferocity? This reflection leads us into a bad opinion of human nature, and must extinguish the social affections. It tends also to prevent all remorfe for a man's own crimes; when he considers, that vice is as natural to mankind as the particular instincts to brute-creatures.

All ills arife from the order of the universe, which is abfolutely perfect. Would you wish to disturb so divine an order
for the sake of your own particular interest? What if the
ills I suffer arise from malice or oppression? But the vices
and imperfections of men are also comprehended in the order
of the universe:

If plagues and earthquakes break not heav'n's design,

Why then a Borgia or a Catiline?

Let this be allowed; and my own vices will also be a part of the same order.

To one who faid, that none were happy who were not above opinion, a SPARTAN replied, Then none are

happy but knaves and robbers +.

Man is born to be miferable; and is he surprised at any particular missortune? And can be give way to sorrow and lamentation upon account of any disaster? Yes: He very reasonably laments that he should be born to be miserable. Your consolation presents a hundred ills for one,

of which you pretend to ease him.

Tou should always have before your eyes death, difease, poverty, blindness, exile, calumny, and infamy, as ills which are incident to be the nature. If any one of these ills sall to your lot, you will bear it the better when you have reckened upon it. I answer, if we confine ourselves to a general and distant reflection on the ills of human life, that can have no effect to prepare us for them. It by close and intense meditation we render them present and intimate to us, that is the true secret for possoning all our plea sures, and rendering us perpetually miserable.

Your forrow is fruitlefs, and will not change the courfes

<sup>\*</sup> Plut. De ira cobilicala. † Plut. Lacon Apophiheg.

offdestiny. Very true: And for that very reason I am

forry.

Cicero's consolation for deafness is somewhat curious. How many languages are there, says he, which you do not understand? The Punic, Spanish, Gallic, Egyptian, &c. With regard to all these, you are as if you were deaf, yet you are indifferent about the matter. Is it then so great a missortune to be deaf to one language more \*?

I like better the repartee of ANTIPATER the CYRE-NIAC, when some women were condoling with him for his blindness: What! says he, Do you think there are no

pleasures in the dark?

Nothing can be more destructive, says FONTENELLE, to ambition, and the passion for conquest, than the true system of astronomy. What a poor thing is even the whole globe in comparison of the infinite extent of nature? This consideration is evidently too distant ever to have any effect. Or, if it had any, would it not destroy patriotism as well as ambition? The same gallant author adds with some reason, that the bright eyes of the ladies are the only objects which lose nothing of their lustre or value from the most extensive views of astronomy, but stand proof against every system. Would philosophers advise us to limit our affection to them?

Exile, says Plutarch to a friend in banishment, is no evil: Mathematicians tell us, that the whole earth is but a point, compared to the heavens. To change one's country then is little more than to remove from one street to another. Man is not a plant, rooted to a certain spot of earth: All soils and all climates are alike suited to him to These topics are admirable, could they fall only into the hands of banished persons. But what if they come also to the knowledge of those who are employed in public affairs, and destroy all their attachment to their native country? Or will they operate like the quack's medicine, which is equally good for a diabetes and a dropsy?

It is certain, were a superior being thrust into a huan body, that the whole of life would to him appear so

+ De exilia.

mean,

men, contemptible, and puerile, that he never could be induced to take part in any thing, and would fcaredy give attention to what passes around him. To engage him to fuch a condescension as to play even the part of a PHILIP with zeal and alacrity, would be much more difficult than to constrain the same PHILIP, after having been a king and a conqueror during fifty years, to mend old shoes with proper care and attention; the occupation which Lucian assigns him in the infernal regions. Now all the same topics of disdain towards human affairs, which could operate on this supposed being, occur also to the philosopher; but being in some measure disproportioned to human capacity, and not being fortified by the experience of any thing better, they make not a full impression on him. He sees, but he feels not sufficiently their truth; and is always a fublime philosopher when he needs not; that is, as, long as nothing diffurbs him or rouzes his affections. While others play, he wonders at their keenness and ardour; but he no sooner puts in this own stake, than he is commonly transported with the fame passions that he had so much condemned while he remained a simple spectator.

There are two confiderations chiefly to be met with in books of philosophy, from which any important effect is to be expected, and that because these considerations are drawn from common life, and occur upon the most superficial view of human affairs. When we reflect on the shortness and uncertainty of life, how despicable seem all our pursuits of happiness? And eyen if we would extend our concern beyond our own life, how frivolous appear our most enlarged and most generous projects; when we confider the incessant changes and revolutions of human affairs, by which laws and learning, books and governments, are hufried away by time, as by a rapid stream, and are lost in the immense ocean of matter? Such a reflection certainly tends to mortify all our passions: But does it not thereby counterwork the artifice of nature, who has happily deceived us into an opinion, that human life is of some importance? And may not

Juch a reflection be employed with success by voluptuous reasoners, in order to lead us from the paths of action and virtue into the flowery fields of indolence and pleafure?

We are informed by Thuctovdes, that, during the famous plague of Athens, when death seemed present to every one, a dissolute mirth and gaiety prevailed among the people, who exhorted one another to make the most of life as long as it endured. The same observation is made by Boccace with regard to the plague of Florence. A like principle makes soldiers, during war, be more addicted to riot and expence than any other race of men. Present pleasure is always of importance; and whatever diminishes the importance of all other objects, must bestow on it an additional insluence and value.

The fecond philosophical confideration, which may often have an influence on the affections, is derived from a comparison of our own condition with the condition of This comparison we are continually making. even in common life; but the misfortune is, that we are rather apt to compare our fituation with that of our fuperiors than with that of our inferiors. A philosopher corrects this natural infirmity, by turning his view to the other fide, in order to render himself easy in the situation to which fortune has confined him. There are few people who are not fulceptible of some consolation from this reflection; though, to a very good-natured man, the view of human miseries should rather produce forrow than comfort, and add, to his lamentations for his own misfortunes, a deep compatition for those of others. Such is the imperfection, even of the best of these philosophical topics of confolation \*.

I shall conclude this subject with observing, that though virtue be undoubtedly the best choice, when it is attainable; yet such is the disorder and confusion of human affairs, that no perfect or regular distribution of happiness and misery is ever in this life to be expected.

YOL. I. Not

Not only the goods of fortune and the endowing instead the body (both of which are important), not only these advantages, I say, are unequally divided between the virtuous and vicious, but even the mind itself partakes, in some degree, of this disorder; and the most worthy character, by the very constitution of the passions, en-

joys not always the highest felicity.

It is observable, that though every bodily pain proseeds from fome diforder in the part or organ, yet the pain is not always proportioned to the diforder; but is greater or less, according to the greater or less sensibility of the part upon which the noxious humours exert their influence. A toothach produces more violent convultions of pain than a phthisis or a dropsy. In like manner, with regard to the economy of the mind, we may observe, that all vice is indeed pernicious; yet the disturbance or pain is not measured out by mature with exact proportion to the degree of vice, nor is the man of highest virtue, even abilitacting from external accidents, always the most happy. A gloomy and melancholy disposition is certainly, to our fentiments, a vice or imperfection; but as it may be accompanied with great sense of honour and great integrity, it may be found in very worthy characters: though it is sufficient alone to imbitter life, and render the person affected with it completely miserable. On the other hand, a felfish villain may possess a spring and alacrity of temper, a certain gaiety of heart, which is indeed a good quality, but which is rewarded much beyond its merit; and when attended with good fortune, will compensate for the uncafinels and remorfe arising from all the other vices.

I shall add, as an observation to the same purpose, that if a man be liable to a vice or imperfection, it may often happen, that a good quality which he possesses along with it, will render him more miserable than if he were completely vicious. A perion of such imbedility of temper as to be easily broken by affliction, is more unhappy for being endowed with a generous and friendly disposition, which gives him a lively concern for others;

and exposes him the more to fortune and accidents. A fense of shame, in an imperfect character, is certainly a virtue; but produces great uncasiness and remorie, from which the abandoned villain is entirely free. A very amorous complexion, with a heart incapable of friend-ship, is happier than the same excess in love with a generosity of temper, which transports a man beyond himself, and renders him a total slave to the object of his passion.

In a word, human life is more governed by fortune than by reason; is to be regarded more as a dull passime than as a ferious occupation; and is more influenced by particular humour than by general principles. Shall we engage ourselves in it with passion and anxiety? It is not worthy of fo much concern. Shall we be indifferent about what happens? We lose all the pleasure of the game by our phiegm and carelestness. While we are reasoning concerning life, life is gone; and death, tho' perhaps they receive him differently, yet treats alike the fool and the philosopher. To reduce life to exact rule and method, is commonly a painful, oft a fruitless ocsupation: And is it not also a proof, that we overvalue the prize for which we contend? Even to reason so carefully concerning it, and to fix with accuracy its just idea, would be overvaluing it, were it not that to some tempers this occupation is one of the most amusing in which life could possibly be employed.

# E S S A Y XIX.

#### Of POLYGAMY and DIVORCES.

S marriage is an engagement entered into by mutual confent, and has for its end the propagation of the species, it is evident that it must be susceptible of all the variety of conditions which consent establishes,

provided they be not contrary to this end.

A man, in conjoining himself to a woman, is bound to her according to the terms of his engagement: In begetting children, he is bound, by all the ties of nature and humanity, to provide for their subsistence and education. When he has performed these two parts of duty, no one can reproach him with injustice or injury. And as the terms of his engagement, as well as the methods of subsisting his offspring, may be various, it is mere superflition to imagine, that marriage can be entirely uniform, and will admit only of one mode or form. Did not human laws restrain the natural liberty of men, every particular marriage would be as different as contracts or bargains of any other kind or species.

As circumstances vary, and the laws propose different advantages, we find, that in different times and places they impose different conditions on this important contract. In Tonouin, it is usual for the failors, when the ships come into harbour, to marry for the season; and notwithstanding this precarious engagement, they are assured, it is said, of the strictest sidelity to their bed, as well as in the whole management of their affairs, from

those temporary spouses.

I cannot at present recollect my authorities; but I have somewhere read, that the republic of Athens, baving lost many of its citizens by war and pessilence, allowed every man to marry two wives, in order the sooner to repair the waste which had been made by these

cala.

calamities. The poet Eurerides happened to be coupled to two noify Vixens, who so plagued him with their jealousies and quarrels, that he became ever after a professed woman bater; and is the only theatrical writer, perhaps the only poet, that ever entertained an aversion

In that agreeable romance, called the History of the SEVARAMBIANS, where a great many men and a few women are supposed to be shipwrecked on a defert coast; the captain of the troop, in order to obviate those endless quarrels which arose, regulates their marriages after the following manner: He takes a handsome female to himself alone; assigns one to every couple of inferior officers; and to five of the lowest rank he gives one wife in common.

The ancient Britons had a fingular kind of marriage, to be met with among no other people. Any number of them, as ten or a dozen, joined in a fociety together, which was perhaps requifite for mutual defence in those barbarous times. In order to link this fociety the closer, they took an equal number of wives in common; and whatever children were born, were reputed to belong to all of them, and were accordingly provided for by the whole community.

Among the inferior creatures, nature herself, being the supreme legislator, prescribes all the laws which regulate their marriages, and varies those laws according to the different circumstances of the creature. . Where she furnishes, with ease, food and defence to the newborn animal, the present embrace terminates the marriage; and the care of the offspring is committed entirely to the female. Where the food is of more difficult · purchase, the marriage continues for one season, till the common progeny can provide for itself; and then the union immediately diffolves, and leaves each of the parties free to enter into a new engagement at the enfuing But nature, having endowed man with reason, has not so exactly regulated every article of his marriagecontract, but has left him to adjust them by his own prudence, according to his particular circumstances and situation. Municipal laws are a supply to the wisdom of each individual; and, at the same time, by restraining the natural liberty of men, make private interest submit to the interest of the public. All regulations, therefore, on this head are equally lawful, and equally conformable to the principles of nature; though they are not all equally convenient, or equally useful to society. The laws may allow of polygamy, as among the Eastern nations; or of voluntary divorces, as among the Greeks and Romans; or they may confine one man to one woman, during the whole course of their lives, as among the modern Europeans. It may not be disagreeable to consider the advantages and disadvantages which result from each of these institutions.

The advocates for polygamy may recommend it as the only effectual remedy for the disorders of love, and the only expedient for freeing men from that flavery to the females, which the natural violence of our passions has imposed upon us. By this means alone can we regain our right of fovereignty; and, fating our appetite, reestablish the authority of reason, in our minds, and of consequence our own authority in our families. Man, like a weak fovereign, being unable to support himself against the wiles and intrigues of his subjects, must play one faction against another, and become absolute by the mutual jealousy of the females. To divide and to govern is an universal maxim; and by neglecting it, the Euro-PEANS undergo a more grievous and a more ignominious flavery than the Turks of Persians; who are subjected indeed to a fovereign that lies at a distance from them, but in their domestic affairs rule with an uncontroulable îway.

On the other hand, it may be urged with better reafon, that this fovereignty of the male is a real usurpation, and destroys that nearness of rank, not to say equality, which nature has established between the sexes. We are by nature their lovers, their friends, their patrons:

Would

Would we willingly exchange such endearing appellations for the barbarous title of master and tyrant?

In what capacity shall we gain by this inhuman proceeding? As lovers, or as husbands? The lover is totally annihilated; and courtship, the most agreeable scene in life, can no longer have place where women have not the free disposal of themselves, but are bought and sold like the meanest animal. The busband is as little a gainer, having found the admirable secret of extinguishing every part of love, except its jealousy. No rose without its thorn; but he must be a foolish wretch indeed, that throws away the rose and preserves only the thorn.

But the ASIATIC manners are as destructive to friendship as to love. Jealousy excludes men from all intimacies and familiarities with each other. No one dares
bring his friend to his house or table, less the bring a lover
to his numerous wives. Hence all over the east, each
family is as much separate from another, as if they were
so, many distinct kingdoms. No wonder then, that Solomon, living like an eastern prince, with his seven hundred wives and three hundred concubines, without one
friend, could write so pathetically concerning the vanity
of the world. Had he tried the secret of one wise or
mistress, a few friends, and a great many companions,
he might have sound life somewhat more agreeable.
Destroy love and friendship; what remains in the world
worth accepting?

The bad education of children, especially children of condition, is another unavoidable consequence of these eastern institutions. Those who pass the early part of life among slaves, are only qualified to be themselves slaves and tyrants; and in every suture intercourse, either with their inferiors or superiors, are apt to forget the natural equality of mankind. What attention, too, can it be supposed a parent, whose seraglic affords him sifty-sons, will give to instilling principles of morality or science into a progeny with whom he himself is scarcely acquainted, and whom he loves with so divided an affec-

tion? Barbarism, therefore, appears from reason as well as experience, to be the inseparable attendant of poly-

gamy.

To render polygamy more odious, I need not recount the frightful effects of jealouly, and the constraint in which it holds the fair-fex all over the east. In those countries men are not allowed to have any commerce with the females, not even physicians, when sickness may be supposed to have extinguished all wanton pasfions in the bosoms of the fair, and at the same time has rendered them unfit objects of defire. Tournerort tells us, that when he was brought into the grand fignior's feraglio as a physician, he was not a little surprized, in looking along a gallery, to fee a great number of naked arms flanding out from the fides of the room. could not imagine what this could mean; till he was told, that those arms belonged to bodies which he must cure, without knowing any more about them than what he could learn from the arms. He was not allowed to ask a question of the patient, or even of her attendants, lest he might find it necessary to inquire concerning circumitances which the delicacy of the feraglio allows not to be revealed. Hence physicians in the east pretend to know all difeases from the pulse; as our quacks in EUROPE undertake to cure a person merely from seeing his water. I suppose, had Monsieur Yournefort been of this latter kind, he would not, in Constantinopie, have been allowed by the jealous Turks to be furnished with materials requifite for exercifing his art.

In another country, where polygamy is also allowed, they render their wives cripples, and make their feet of no use to them, in order to confine them to their own houses. But it will perhaps appear strange, that in a European country, jealousy can yet be carried to such a height, that it is indecent so much as to suppose that a woman of rank can have feet or legs. Witness the following story, which we have from very good authority. The make mother of the late king of Spain was

<sup>\*</sup> Morro de la vur d'Espagne, par Madame d'Aunov. ..

on her road towards MADRID, she passed through a little town in Spain, famous for its manufactory of gloves and stockings. The magistrates of the place thought they could not better express their joy for the reception of their new queen, than by prefenting her with a fample of those commodities, for which alone their town was remarkable. The major domo, who conducted the princess, received the gloves very graciously; but when the stockings were presented, he flung them away with great indignation, and feverely reprimanded the magistrates for this egregious piece of indecency. Know, fays he. that a queen of Spain has no legs. The young queen, who at that time understood the language but imperfect. ly, and had often been frightened with flories of SPA-NISH jealoufy, imagined that they were to cut off her Upon which she fell a crying, and begged them to conduct her back to GERMANY, for that the never could endure the operation; and it was with some difficulty they could appeale her. PHILIP IV. is faid never in his life to have laughed heartily but at the recital of this (tory.

Having rejected polygamy, and matched one man with one woman, let us now confider what duration we shall assign to their union, and whether we shall admit of those voluntary divorces which were customary among the Greeks and Romans. Those who would defend

this practice may employ the following reasons.

How often does disgust and aversion arise after marriage from the most trivial accidents, or from an incompatibility of humour; where time, instead of curing the wounds proceeding from mutual injuries, festers them every day the more by new quarrets and reproaches? Let us separate hearts, which were not made to associate together. Each of them may, perhaps, find another for which it is better fitted. At least, nothing can be more cruel than to preserve by violence an union, which at sirst was made by mutual love, and is now in essential folved by mutual hatred.

But the liberty of divorces is not only a cure to ha-

tred and domestic quarrels; it is also an admirable prefervative against them, and the only secret for keeping alive that love which first united the married couple. The heart of man delights in liberty; the very image of constraint is grievous to it: When you would confine it by violence to what would otherwise have been its choice, the inclination immediately changes, and desire is turned into aversion. If the public interest will not allow us to enjoy in polygamy that variety which is so agreeable in love; at least deprive us not of that liberty which is so effentially requisite. In vain you tell me, that I had my choice of the person with whom I would conjoin myself. I had my choice, it is true, of my prison; but this is but a small comfort, since it must still be a prison.

Such are the arguments which may be urged in favour of divorces: But there feem to be these three unanswerable objections against them. First, What must become of the children upon the separation of the parents? Must they be committed to the care of a stepmother; and instead of the fond attention and concern of a parent, seel all the indifference or hatred of a stranger or an enemy? These inconveniences are sufficiently felt, where nature has made the divorce by the doom inevitable to all mortals: And shall we seek to multiply those inconveniences, by multiplying divorces, and putting it in the power of parents, upon every caprice, to render their posterity miserable.

Secondly, If it be true, on the one hand, that the heart of man naturally delights in liberty, and hates every thing to which it is confined; it is also true, on the other, that the heart of man naturally submits to necessity, and soon loses an inclination when there appears an absolute impossibility of gratifying it. These principles of human nature, you'll say, are contradictory: But what is man but a heap of contradictions! I hough it is remarkable, that where principles are, after this manner, contrary in their operation, they do not always destroy each other; but the one or the other

nizy

4

may predominate on any particular occasion, according as circumstances are more or less favourable to it. For instance, love is a restless and impatient passion, sull of caprices and variations; arising in a moment from a feature, from an air, from nothing, and suddenly extinguishing after the same manner. Such a passion requires liberty above all things; and therefore I LOISA had reason, when, in order to preserve this passion, she resuled to marry her beloved ABELARD.

How oft, when prest to marriage, have I faid, Curse on all laws but those which love has made: Love, free as air, at sight of human ties, Spreads his light wings, and in a moment slies.

But friendship is a calm and sedate affection, conducted by reason and comented by habit; springing from long acquaintance and mutual obligations; without jealousies or tears, and without those feverish fits of heat and cold which cause such an agreeable torment in the amorous passion. So sober an affection, therefore, as friendship, rather thrives under constraint, and never rises to such a height as when any strong interest or necessity binds two persons together, and gives them some common object of pursuit. We need not, therefore, be afraid of drawing the marriage-knot, which chiefly subsists by friendship, the closest possible. The amity between the persons, where it is solid and sincere, will rather gain by it; and where it is wavering and uncertain, this is the best expedient for fixing it. How many frivolous quarrels and difgusts are there, which people of common prudence endeavour to forget, when they lie under a necessity of passing their lives together; but which would foon be inflamed into the most deadly hatred, were they purfued to the utmost, under the prospect of man eafy feparation?

In the third place, we must consider, that nothing is more dangerous than to unite two persons so closely in all their interests and concerns, as man and wise, without rendering the union entire and total. The least possibility of a separate interest must be the source of endless quarrels and suspicions. The wife, not secure of her establishment, will still be driving some separate end or project; and the husband's selfishness, being accompanied with more power, may be still more dan-

gerous.

Should these reasons against voluntary divorces be deemed insufficient, I hope nobody will pretend to refuse the testimony of experience. At the time when divorces were most frequent among the Romans, marriages were most rare; and Augustus was obliged, by penal laws, to force men of fashion into the married state: A circumstance which is scarcely to be found in any other age or nation. The more ancient laws of Rome, which prohibited divorces, are extremely praised by Dionysius Halycarnasseus\*. Wonderful was the harmony, says the historian, which this inseparable union of interests produced between married persons; while each of them considered the inevitable necessity by which they were linked together, and abandoned all prospect of any other choice or establishment.

The exclusion of polygamy and divorces sufficiently recommends our present European practice with re-.

gard to marriage.

## ESSAY XX.

Of SIMPLICITY and REFINEMENT in WRITING.

FINE writing, according to Mr Addison, confifts of fentiments which are natural, without being obvious. There cannot be a juster and more concile definition of fine writing.

Sentiments, which are merely natural, affect not the

Of Simplicity and Refinement. 173 mind with any pleasure, and seem not worthy of our attention. The pleasantries of a waterman, the observations of a peasant, the ribaldry of a porter or hackney coachman, all of these are natural and disagreeable.

coachman, all of these are natural and disagreeable. What an insipid comedy should we make of the chit chat of the tea-table, copied faithfully and at sull length? Nothing can please persons of taste, but nature drawn with all her graces and ornaments la belle nature; or if we copy low life, the strokes must be strong and remarkable, and must convey a lively image to the mind. The absurd naiveté of Sancho Pancho is represented in such inimitable colours by Cervantes, that it entertains as much as the picture of the most magnanimous hero or sostess to be the sancho or so be the sancho or

The case is the same with orators, philosophers, critics, or any author who speaks in his own person, without introducing other speakers or actors. If his language be not elegant, his observations uncommon, his sense strong and masculine, he will in vain boast his nature and simplicity. He may be correct, but he never will be agreeable. It is the unhappiness of such authors, that they are never blamed or censured. The good fortune of a book, and that of a man, are not the same. The secret deceiving path of life, which HORACE talks of, fallentis semita vita, may be the happiest lot of the one, but is the greatest missortune which the other can possibly fall into.

On the other hand, productions which are merely furpriting, without being natural, can never give any lasting entertainment to the mind. To draw chimeras, is not, properly speaking, to copy or imitate. The just-ness of the representation is lost, and the mind is displeased to find a picture which bears no resemblance to any original. Nor are such excessive resinements more agreeable in the epistolary or philosophic style than in the epic or tragic. Too much ornament is a fault in every kind of production. Uncommon expressions, strong stashes of wit, pointed similies, and epigrammatic turns, especially when they recur too frequently, are a

disfigurement rather than any embellishment of discourse. As the eye, in surveying a Gothic building, is distracted by the multiplicity of ornaments, and loses the whole by its minute attention to the parts; so the mind, in perusing a work overstocked with wit, is fatigued and disgusted with the constant endeavour to shine and surprise. This is the case where a writer overabounds in wit, even though that wit in itself should be just and agreeable. But it commonly happens to such writers, that they seek for their favourite ornaments, even where the subject does not afford them; and by that means have twenty insipid conceits for one thought which is really beautiful.

There is no subject in critical learning more copious, than this of the just mixture of simplicity and refinement in writing; and therefore, not to wander in too large a field, I shall confine myself to a few general observations

on that head.

First, I observe, That though excesses of both kinds are to be avoided, and though a proper medium ought to be fludied in all productions; yet this medium lies not in a point, but admits of a considerable latitude. Consider the wide distance, in this respect, between Mr Pore and Lucre-Tius. These seem to lie in the two greatest extremes of refinement and simplicity, in which a poet can indulge himself, without being guilty of any blameable excess. All this interval may be filled with poets who may differ from each other, but may be equally admirable, each in his peculiar style and manner. Cornelle and Con-GREVE, who carry their wit and refinement somewhat farther than Mr Pope (if poets of so different a kind can be compared together), and SOPHOGLES and TE-RENCE, who are more simple than LUCRETIUS, seem to have gone out of that medium in which the most perfect productions are found, and to be guilty of some excess in these opposite characters. Of all the great poets, VIR-GIL and RACINE, in my opinion, lie nearest the centre, and are the farthest removed from both the extremities.

My second observation on this head is, That it is very difficult, if not impossible, to explain by words, where the just medium lies between the excesses of simplicity and refinement, or to give any rule by which we can know precifely the bounds between the fault and the beauty. A critic may not only discourse very judiciously on this head, without instructing his readers, but even without understanding the matter perfectly himself. There is not a finer piece of criticism than the differtation on pastorals by FONTENELLE; in which, by a number of reflections and philosophical reasonings, he endeavours to fix the just medium which is fuitable to that species of writing. But let any one read the pastorals of that author, and he will be convinced, that this judicious critic, notwithstanding his fine reasonings, had a salse taste, and fixed the point of perfection much nearer the extreme of refinement than pattoral poetry will admit of. The fentiments of his shepherds are better suited to the toilettes of Paris than to the forests of Arcadia. But this it is impossible to discover from his critical reasonings. He blames all excerlive painting and ornament as much as VIRGIL could have done, had that great poet writ a differtation on this species of poetry. However different the tastes of men, their general discourse on these subjects is commonly the same. No criticism can be instructive which descends not to particulars, and is not full of examples and illustrations. It is allowed on all hands, that beauty, as well as virtue, always lies in a medium; but where this medium is placed, is a great question, and can never be sufficiently explained by general reasonings.

I shall deliver it as a third observation on this subject, That we ought to be more on our guard against the excess of refinement than that of simplicity; and that because the forner excess is both less beautiful and more dangerous than

be latter.

, •

It is a certain rule, that wit and passion are entirely ncompatible. When the affections are moved, there is no place for the imagination. The mind of man being naturally limited, it is impossible that all its faculties can

operate

operate at once; and the more any one predominates, the less room is there for the others to exert their vigour. For this reason a greater degree of simplicity is required in all compositions, where men, and actions, and passions are painted, than in such as consist of reflections and observations. And as the former species of writing is the more engaging and beautiful, one may safely, upon this account, give the preference to the extreme of simplicity above that of resinement.

We may also observe, that those compositions which we read the oftenest, and which every man of taste has got by heart, have the recommendation of fimplicity, and have nothing surprising in the thought when divested of that elegance of expression, and harmony of numbers, with which it is clothed. If the merit of the composition lie in a point of wit, it may strike at first; but the mind anticipates the thought in the second perusal. and is no longer affected by it. When I read an epigram of MARTIAL, the first line recalls the whole; and I have no pleafure in repeating to myfelf what I know But each line, each word in CATULLIS, has its merit; and I am never tired with the perufal of It is sufficient to run over Cowley once: But PARNEL, after the fiftieth reading, is as fresh as at the Befides, it is with books as with women, where a certain plainness of manner and of dress is more engaging, than that glare of paint and airs and apparel. which may dazzle the eye, but reaches not the affections, TERENCE is a modelt and bathful beauty, to whom we grant every thing, because he assumes nothing, and whose purity and nature make a durable, though not a violent impression on us.

But refinement, as it is the less beautiful, so is it the more dangerous extreme, and what we are the aptest to fall into. Simplicity passes for dulness, when it is not accompanied with great elegance and propriety. On the contrary, there is something surprising in a blaze of wit and conceit. Ordinary readers are mightly struck with it, and tallely imagine it to be the most difficult,

as well as most excellent way of writing. Seneca abounds with agreeable faults, says Quintilian, abundat dulcibus vitiis; and for that reason is the more dangerous, and the more apt to pervert the taste

of the young and inconfiderate.

I shall add, that the excess of refinement is now more to be guarded against than ever; because it is the extreme which men are the most apt to fall into, after learning has made some progress, and after eminent writers have appeared in every species of composition. The endeavour to please by novelty leads men wide of simplicity and nature, and fills their writings with affectation and conceit. It was thus the Asiatic eloquence degenerated so much from the Attic: It was thus the age of Claudius and Nero became so much inferior to that of Augustus in taste and genius; and perhaps there are, at present, some symptoms of a like degeneracy of taste in France as well as in England.

### ESSAY XXI.

#### Of NATIONAL CHARACTERS.

HE vulgar are apt to carry all national characters to extremes; and having once established it as a principle, that any people are knavish, or cowardly, or ignorant, they will admit of no exception, but comprehend every individual under the same centure. When of sense condemn these undistinguishing judgements; though, at the same time, they allow, that each nation has a peculiar set of manners, and that some particular qualities are more frequently to be met with among one people than among their neighbour.

bours. The common people in SWITZERLAND have probably more honefly than those of the same rank in Ireland; and every prudent man will, from that circumstance alone, make a difference in the trust which he reposes in each. We have reason to expect greater wit and gaiety in a Frenchman than in a Spaniard; though Cervantes was born in Spain. An Englishman will naturally be supposed to have more knowledge than a Dane; though Tycho Brahe was a native of Denmark.

Different reasons are assigned for these national characters; while fome account for them from moral, others from physical causes. By moral causes, I mean all circumflances which are fitted to work on the mind as motives or reasons, and which render a peculiar fet of manners habitual to us. Of this kind are, the nature of the government, the revolutions of public affairs, the plenty or penury in which the people live, the fituation of the nation with regard to its neighbours, and fuch like circumflances. By phrfical causes, I mean those qualities of the air and climate which are supposed to work infensibly on the temper, by altering the tone and habit of the body, and giving a particular complexion, which, though reflection and reason may sometimes overcome it, will yet prevail among the generality of mankind, and have an influence on their manners.

That the character of a nation will much depend on moral causes, must be evident to the most super-ticial observer; since a nation is nothing but a collection of individuals, and the manners of individuals are frequently determined by these causes. As poverty and hard labour debase the minds of the common people, and render them unfit for any superce and ingenious profession; so where any governments becomes very oppressive to all its subjects, it must bave a proportional effect on their temper and gening and must banish all the liberal arts from among them.

'The same principle of moral causes sixes the character of different professions, and alters even that disposition which the particular members receive from the hand of nature. A faldier and a priest are different characters, in all nations, and all ages; and this difference is founded on circumstances, whose operation is eternal and unalterable.

The uncertainty of their life makes foldiers lavificand generous, as well as brave: Their idlencis, together with the large focieties, which they form in camps or garrifons, inclines them to pleafure and gallantry: By their frequent change of company, they acquire good breeding and an openness of behaviour: Being employed only against a public and an open enemy, they become candid, honest, and undesigning: And as they use more the labour of the body than that of the mind, they are commonly thoughtless and

ignorant\*.

It is a trite, but not altogether a false maxim, that priests of all religions are the same; and though the character of the profession will not, in every instance, prevail over the personal character, yet is it sure always to predominate with the greater number. For as chymists observe, that spirits, when raised to a certain height, are all the same, from whatever materials they be extracted; so these men, being elevated above humanity, acquire a uniform character, which is entirely their own, and which, in my opinion, is, generally speaking, not the most amiable that is to be met with in human society. It is in most points opposite to that of a soldier; as is the way of life from which it is derived to

As to physical causes, I am inclined to doubt altogether of their operation in this particular; nor do I think that men owe any thing of their temper or genius to the air, food, or climate. I confess, that the contrary opinion may justly, at first light, seem probable, since we find, that these circumstances have

 $M_2$ 

an influence over every other animal, and that even those creatures, which are fitted to live in all climates, such as dogs, horses, &c. do not attain the same perfection in all. The courage of bull-dogs and game-cocks seem peculiar to England. Flanders, is remarkable for large and heavy horses: Spain for horses light and of good mettle. And any breed of these creatures, transplanted from one country to another, will soon lose the qualities which they derived from their native climate. It may be asked, why not the same with men\*?

There are few questions more curious than this, which will oftener occur in our enquiries concerning human affairs; and therefore it may be proper to

give it a full examination.

The human mind is of a very imitative nature; nor is it poslible for any fet of men to converse often together, without acquiring a fimilitude of manners, and communicating to each other their vices as well as, virtues. The propenfity to company and fociety is firong in all rational creatures; and the fame disposition which gives us this propensity, makes, us enter deeply into each others fentiments, and causes like passions and inclinations to run, as it were, by contagion, through the whole club or knot of companions. Where a number of men are united into one political body, the occasions of their intercourie must be so frequent, for defence, commerce, and government, that, together with the same speech or language, they must acquire a resemblance in their manners, and have a common or national character, as well as a personal one, peculiar to each individual, Now though nature produces all kinds of temper and understanding in great abundance, it does not folicy. that the always produces them in like proportions, and that in every fociety the ingredients of industry and indolence, valour and cowardice, humanity. and brutality, wildom and folly, will be mixed arter the

fame manner. In the infancy of fociety, if any of these dispositions be found in greater abundance than the reft, it will naturally prevail in the compofition, and give a tincture to the national character. Or should it be afferted, that no species of temper can reasonably be presumed to predominate, even in throse contracted societies, and that the same proportions will always be preferred in the mixture; yet furely the persons in credit and authority, being still a more contracted body, cannot always be prefumed to be of the same character; and their influence on the manners of the people, must, at all times, be very confiderable. It, on the first establishment of a republic, a Brutus should be placed in authority, and be trantported with fuch enthusiasm for liberty and public good, as to overlook all the ties of nature, as well as private interest, such an illustrious example will naturally have an effect on the whole fociety, and kindle the same passion in every bosom. Whatever it be that forms the manners of one generation, the next must imbibe a deeper tincture of the same dye; men being more fulceptible of all impressions during infancy, and retaining these impressions as long as they remain in the world. I affert, then, that all national characters, where they depend not on fixed moral causes, proceed from such accidents as these, and that phylical causes have no differnible operation on the human mind. It is a maxim in all philotophy, that causes, which do not appear, are to be confidered as not existing.

If we run over the globe, or revolve the annals of history, we stall discover every where figns of a tympathy or contagion of manners, none of the influence

of ar or climate.

First. We may observe, that, where a very extenfive government has been established for many conturies, it foréads a national character over the whole empire, and communicates to every part a finilarity of manners. Thus the CHINESE have the greatest uniformity of character imaginable: Though the air and climate, in different parts of those vast dominions, admit of very considerable variations.

Secondly. In small governments, which are contiguous, the people have notwithstanding a different character, and are often as distinguishable in their manners as the most distant nations. Athens and Thebes were but a short day's journey from each other; though the Athenians were as remarkable for ingenuity, politeness, and gaiety, as the Thebans for dulness, rusticity, and a phlegmatic temper. Plutarch, discoursing of the effects of air on the minds of men, observes, that the inhabitants of the Pireum possesses, that the inhabitants of the higher town in Athens, which was distant about four miles from the former: But I believe no one attributes the difference of manners in Wapping and St. James's to a difference of air or climate.

Thirdly. The fame national character commonly follows the authority of government to a precise boundary; and upon crossing a river, or passing a mountain, one finds a new set of manners, with a new government. The Languedocians and Gascons are the gayest people in France; but whenever you pass the Pyrenees, you are among Spaniards. Is it conceivable, that the qualities of the air should change exactly with the limits of an empire, which depend so much on the accidents of battles, negociations, and marriages?

Fourthly. Where any fet of men, scattered over distant nations, maintain a close society or communication together, they acquire a similitude of manners, and have but little in common with the nations amongst whom they live. Thus the Jews in Eurepand the Armanians in the East, have a peculiar character; and the former are as much noted for traud as the latter for probity\*. The jesuits, in all-Roman

Reman-catholic countries, are also observed to have a

character peculiar to themselves.

Fifthly. Where any accident, as a difference in kinguage or religion, keeps two nations, inhabiting the same country, from mixing with each other, they will preserve, during several centuries, a diffinct and even opposite set of manners. The integrity, gravity, and bravery of the Turks, form an exact contrast to the deceit, levity, and cowardice of the modern Greeks.

Sixthly. The fame fet of manners will follow a nation, and adhere to them over the whole globe, as well as the fame laws and language. The Spanish, English, French, and Durch colonies, are all dif-

tinguished even between the tropics.

Seventhly. The manners of a people change very confiderably from one age to another; either by great alterations in their government, by the mixtures of new people, or by that inconstancy to which all human affairs are subject. The ingenuity, industry, and activity of the ancient Greeks, have nothing in common with the stupidity and indolence of the pre-Yent inhabitants of those regions. Candour, bravery, and love of liberty formed the character of the ancient Romans; as fubtilty, cowardice, and a flavith disposition do that of the modern. The old Spaniages were refllefs, turbulent, and fo addicted to war, that many of them killed themselves when deprived of their arms by the ROMANS. One would find an equal difficulty at prefent (at least one would have found it fifty years ago), to rouze up the modern SPANIARDS to arms. The BATAVIANS were all foldiers of fortune, and hired themselves into the Roman armics. Their posterity make use of toreigners for the same purpose that the Romans did their an-Though fome few strokes of the FRENCY character be the same with that which Cassar has sicribed to the Gauls; yet what comparison between M 4

the civility, humanity, and knowledge of the modern inhabitants of that country, and the ignorance, barbarity, and groffness of the ancient? Not to infift upon the great difference between the present possifiers of Britains and those before the Roman conquest; we may observe that our ancestors, a few centuries ago, were sunk into the most abject superstition: last century they were inslamed with the most furious enthusiasm, and are now settled into the most cool indifference, with regard to religious matters, that is to be found in any nation of the world.

Eighthly. Where feveral neighbouring nations have a very close communication together, either by policy, commerce, or travelling, they acquire a similitude of manners proportioned to the communication. Thus all the Franks appear to have a uniform character to the eastern nations. The differences among them are like the peculiar accents of different provinces, which are not distinguishable, except by an ear accustomed to them, and which commonly escape a

foreigner.

Ninthly. We may often remark a wonderful mixture of manners and characters in the same nation, fpeaking the fame language, and subject to the same government: And in this particular the English are the most remarkable of any people that perhaps ever were in the world. Nor is this to be afcribed to the mutability and uncertainty of their climate, or to any other physical causes; since all these causes take place in the neighbouring country of Scotland, without having the same effect. Where the government of a nation is altogether republican, it is apt to beget a peculiar fet of manners. Where it is altogetheremonarchial, it is more apt to have the same effect; the imitation of superiors spreading the national manners faster among the people. If the governing part of a state confists altogether of merchants, as in HOLLAND. their uniform way of life will fix their character, k confifts chiefly of nobles and landed gentry.

like Germany, France, and Spain, the effect follows. The genius of a particular fect or religion is also apt to mould the manners of a people. But the English government is a mixture of monarchy, aristocracy, and democracy. The people in authority are composed of tentry and merchants. All fects of religion are to be found among them. And the great liberty and independency which every man enjoys, allows him to display the manners peculiar to him. Hence the English, of any people in the universe, have the least of a national character; unless this very singularity

may pass for such.

If the characters of men depended on the air and climate, the degrees of heat and cold should naturally be expected to have a mighty influence; fince nothing has a greater effect on all plants and irrational animals. And indeed there is some reason to think, that all the nations which live beyond the polar circles, or between the tropics, are inferior to the rest of the species, and are incapable of all the higher attainments of the human mind. The poverty and mifery of the northern inhabitants of the globe, and the indolence of the fouthern, from their few necesfities, may, perhaps, account for this remarkable difference, without having recourse to physical causes. This however is certain, that the characters of nations are very promiseuous in the temperate climates, and that almost all the general observations, which have been formed of the more fouthern or more northere people in these climates, are found to be uncertain and fallacious\*.

Shall we fay, that the neighbourhood of the fun inflames the imagination of men, and gives it a peculial fpirit and vivacity? The French, Greeks, Egyptians, and Persians are remarkable for gaiety. The Spaniards, Turks, and Chinese are noted for gravity, and a ferious deportment without any fuch difference of climate as to produce this difference of temper.

The GREEKS and ROMANS, who called all other nations barbarians, confined genius and a fine understanding to the more southern climates, and pronounce define northern nations incapable of all knowledge and civility; but out island has produced as great men, either for action or learning, as GREECE or ITALY has to boast of.

It is pretended, that the fentiments of men become more delicate as the country approaches nearer to the fun; and that the tafte of beauty and elegance receives proportional improvements in every latitude; as we may particularly observe of the languages, of which the more fouthern are impooth and melodious, the northern harsh and untuneable. But this observation holds not universally. The Arabic is uncouth and difagreeable: The Muscovite foft and mufical. Energy, firength, and hariliness form the character of the LATIN tongue: The ITALIAN is the most liquid, smooth, and effeminate language that can poffibly be imagined. Every language will depend iomewhat on the manners of the people; but much more on that original flock of words and founds which they received from their ancestors, and which remain unchangeable, even while their manners admit of the greatest alterations. Who can doubt, but the English are at present a more polite and knowing people than the Greeks were for feveral ages after the fiege of Troy? Yet is there no comparison between the language of MILTON and that of Homer. Nay, the greater are the alterations and improvements, which happen in the manners of a people, the less can be expected in their language. A few eminent and refined geniuses will communicate their taste and knowledge to a whole people, and produce the greatest improvements; but they ax the tongue by their writings, and prevent, in fome degree, its farther changes.

Lord Bacon has observed, that the inhabitants of the south are, in general, more ingenious than those of the north; but that, where the native of a cold climate has genius, he rifes to a higher pitch than can be reached by the fouthern wits. This observalion a late\* writer confirms, by comparing the fouthern wits to cucumbers, which are commonly all good in their kind; but at best are an in-Mid fruit: While the northern geniuses are like molons, of which not one in fifty is good; but when it is fo, it has an exquisite relish. I believe this remark may be allowed just, when confined to the HUROPEAN nations, and to the prefent age, or rather to the preceding one; but I think it may be accounted for from moral causes. All the sciences and liberal arts have been imported to us from the fouth; and it is easy to imagine, that in the first order of application, when excited by emulation and by glory, the few, who were addicted to them, would carry them to the greatest height, and stretch every nerve, and every faculty, to reach the pinnacle of perfec-Such illuttrious examples ipread knowledge every where, and begot an universal esteem for the feiences: After which, it is no wonder that industry relaxes; while men meet not with fuitable encouragement, nor arrive at fuch diffinction by their attainments. The universal diffusion of learning among a people, and the entire banishment of gross ignorance and rullicity, is, therefore, feldom attended with any remarkable perfection in particular perfons. It feems to be taken for granted in the dialogue de Oratoribus, that knowledge was much more common in VESPASIAN's age than in that of Cicero and Augus-FUS. QUINTILIAN also complains of the profanation of learning, by its becoming too common. "melly," fays JUVENAL, "fcience was confined to "GREECE and ITALY. Now the whole world emu-"lates ATHENS and ROME. Eloquent GAUL has taught) & BRITAIN, knowing in the laws. Even Thule enter-"tains thoughts of hiring rhetoricians for its in-"ftruction.

<sup>\*</sup> Dr Berkley: Minute Philosopher.

"ftruction\*." This state of learning is remarkable; because JUVENAL is himself the last of the ROMAN writers that possessed any degree of genius. Those who succeeded are valued for nothing but the matters of fact, of which they give us information. I hope the late conversion of Muscovy to the study if the sciences will not prove a like prognostic to the present period of learning.

Cardinal Bentivoglio gives the preference to the northern nations above the fouthern with regard to candour and fincerity; and mentions, on the one hand, the Spaniards and Italians, and on the other, the Flemings and Germans. But I am apt to think, that this has happened by accident. The ancient Romans feem to have been a candid fincere people, as are the modern Turks. must needs suppose, that this event has arisen from fixed causes, we may only conclude from it, that all extremes are apt to concur, and are commonly attended with the same consequences, Treachery is the usual concomitant of ignorance and barbarism; and if civilized nations ever embrace fubtle and crooked politics, it is from an excess of refinement. which makes them disdain the plain direct path to power and glory.

Most conquests have gone from north to south; and it has hence been inferred, that the northern nations possess a superior degree of courage and ferocity. But it would have been juster to have said, that most conquests are made by poverty and want upon plenty and riches. The Saracens, leaving the deferts of Arabia, carried their conquests northwards upon all the sertile provinces of the Romaniempire; and met the Turks half way, who were carning

fouthwards from the deferts of TARTARY.

An

\* " Sed Cantaber unde
Stoicus? antiqui præfertim ætate Metelli.
Nunc totus Graias, nostrasque habet orbis Athenas.
Gallia causidicos docuit facunda Britankos:
De conducerdo lequitur jam rhetore Thule." Sat. 15.

in eminent writer\* has remarked, that all courais animals are also carnivorous, and that greater
rage is to be expected in a people, such as the
blish, whose food is strong and hearty, than in
half-starved commonalty of other countries.
It the Swedes, notwithstanding their disadvantares in this particular, are not inferior, in martial
courage, to any nation that ever was in the world.

In general, we may observe, that courage, of all national qualities, is the most precarious; because it is exerted only at intervals, and by a few in every nation; whereas industry, knowledge, civility, may be of constant and universal use, and, for several ages, may become habitual to the whole people. If courage be preserved, it must be by discipline, example, and opinion. The tenth segion of Cæsar, and the regiment of Picardy in France, were formed promiscuously from among the citizens: but having once entertained a notion, that they were the best troops in the service, this very opinion really made them such.

As a proof how much courage depends on opinion, we may observe, that, of the two chief tribes of the Greeks, the Dorins and Ionians, the former were always esteemed, and always appeared, more brave and manly than the latter; though the colonies of both the tribes were interspersed and intermingled throughout all the extent of Greece, the Lesser Asia, Sicily, Italy, and the islands of the Ægean sea. The Athenians were the only Ionians that ever had any reputation for valour or military atchievements; though even these were deemed inferior to the Lacedemonians, the bravest of the Dorians.

The only observation, with regard to the difference of men in different climates, on which we can seeff any weight, is the vulgar one, that people in the northern regions have a greater inclination to strong liquors.

<sup>. \*</sup> Sir WILLIAM TEMPLE's Account of the Netherlands.

liquors, and those in the southern to over and knomen. One can assign a very probable for this difference. Wine and distilled not rewarm the frozen blood in the colder climates, and for inverse men against the injuries of the weather the genial heat of the sun, in the countries exposed his beams, inslames the blood, and exalts the passign between the sexes.

Perhaps, too, the matter may be accounted for by moral causes. All strong liquors are rarer in the north, and confequently are more coveted. Uto-Dorus Siculus \* tells us, that the Garls in his time were great drunkards, and much addicted to wine; chiefly, I suppose, from its rarity and novelty. On the other hand, the heat is the four hern climate. obliging men and women to go has maked, there is renders their frequent commerce more dang rows, and inflames their mutual pallion. This make the rents and hutbands more jealous and referve 1: which fill farther inflames the passion. Not to mention, that, as women ripen feoner to the touthern regions. it is necessary to observe greater jealerdy and conin their education; it being evident, that a golf of twelve cannot possess equal discretion to govern this passion, with one who feels not its valence till facbe seventeen or eighteen. Nothing to much encourages the paffion of love as case and leifure, or is more destructive to it than industry and hard labour; and as the necessities of men are evidently fewer in the warm climates than in the cold ones, this circumstance alone may make a conside able difference between them.

But perhaps the fact is doubted, that nature has, either from moral or phytical carb, differented these

<sup>\*</sup> Lib. v. The fame author ascribes the deriver to that people; a new proof that national characters may after very much. Take turnity, as a national character, implies unfociablencia. As is not in, in his politics, book ii. cap. 2. fays, that the Gay is all the only warlike nation who are negligent of women

eye inclinations to the different limates. th 1" · Greeks, though form in a warm clito have been much addicted to the bottle; nor were their parti s of pleafure any thing but matches of drinking among men, who passed their thing altegether apart from the fair. Yet when ALEXANDER led the GREEKS into Persia, a fill mere fourthernelimate, they multiplied their debauches of this kind, in imitation of the Persian manners \*. So honourable was the character of a drunkard oming the Prestans, that Cyrus the younger, fohelping the Lacedemonians for fuccourat their and of ARTAXLAXES, claims it chiefly cover of in caperior endowments, as more va-I was more bountiful, and a better drinker +. DA-Es there was made it be inferibed on his tombment of the other virtues and princely qualities. e come could bear a greater quantity of liquor. Y study obtain any bing of the Negrous by offermay eafily prevail with them to lett, we only their children, but their wives

inflictions for a care of broady. In F and the realist for a drink pure wine, except in the preatest harts of sure aer; and, indeed, it is then air of a morsilary, in order to recruit the spirits, evaporated to heat, as it is in Sweden, during the winter, in order to warn he bodies congealed by

the rigour of the featon.

If jealenty he regarded as a proof of an amorous dispetition, no people were more jealous than the Murrouses, before their communication with Europe of a formewhat offered their manners in this project.

Head points of the has regularly distributed these two publish and the northern, the other to the

figst from Ocinic Cor. lib. v. cap. 1.
1 Prove Nove, lib. i. quæst. 4.

fouthern regions; we can only infer, that the dimate may affect the groffer and more bodily organs of our frame; not that it can work upon those fiver organs, on which the operations of the mind and understanding depend. And this is agreeable to the analogy of nature. The races of animals never dogenerate when carefully tended; and horses, in perticular, always show their blood in their shape, spilit, and swiftness: But a coxcomb may beget a philogopher; as a man of virtue may leave a worthless

progeny.

I shall conclude this subject with observing, that though the passion for liquor be more brutal and debasing than love, which, when properly managed, is the fource of all politeness and refinement; yet this gives not fo great an advantage to the fouthern climates, as we may be apt at first fight to imagine. When love goes beyond a certain pitch, it renders men jealous, and cuts off the free intercourse between the fexes, on which the politeness of a nation will commonly much depend. And if we would fubtilize and refine upon this point, we might obferve, that the people, in very temperate climates. are the most likely to attain all forts of improvement; their blood not being so inflamed as to render them jealous, and yet being warm enough to make them fet a due value on the charms and endowments of the fair fex.

## ESSAY XXII.

## Of TRAGEDY.

feems an unaccountable pleasure, which the spectators of a well written tragedy receive from forrow, terror, anxiety, and other passions, that are in themselves disagreeable and uneasy. The more they are touched and affected, the more are they delighted with the spectacle; and as soon as the uneafy passions cease to operate, the piece is at an end. One scene of full joy and contentment and security, is the utmost that any composition of this kind can bear; and it is fure always to be the concluding one. If, in the texture of the piece, there be interwoven any scenes of satisfaction, they afford only faint gleams of pleasure, which are thrown in by way of variety, and in order to plunge the actors into deeper diffress, by means of that contrast and disappointment. The whole art of the poet is employed, in rouzing and supporting the compassion and indignation, the anxiety and refentment, of his audience. They are pleafed in proportion as they are afflicted; and never are fo happy as when they employ tears, fobs, and cries, to give vent to their forrow, and relieve their heart, fwoln with the tenderest fympathy and compassion.

The few critics who have had fome tincture of philosophy, have remarked this fingular phænomenon, and have endeavoured to account for it.

L'Abbé Dubos, in his reflections on poetry and painting, afferts, that nothing is in general so disagreeable to the mind as the languid, listless state of indolence, into which it falls upon the removal of all passion and occupation. To get rid of this painful situation, it seeks every amusement and pursuit;

Vol. I. N business.

business, gaming, shews, executions; whatever visit rouse the passions, and take its attention from itsalf. No matter what the passion is: Let it be disagreenble, afflicting, melancholy, difordered; it is still butter than that infipid languor, which arifes from popfect tranquillity and repose.

It is impossible not to admit this account, as being, at least in part, satisfactory. You may observe, when there are feveral tables of gaming, that all the company run to those where the deepest play is, even though they find not there the best players. The view, or, at least, imagination of high passions, arifing from great loss or gain, affects the spectator by tympathy, gives him fome touches of the fame passions, and serves him for a momentary entertainment. It makes the time pass the easier with him, and is fome relief to that oppression under which men commonly labour, when left entirely to their own thoughts and meditations.

. We find that common liars always magnify, in their narrations, all kinds of danger, pain, diffrefs, fickness, deaths, murders, and cruelties; as well as joy, beauty, mirth, and magnificence. It is an abfurd fecret, which they have for pleafing their company, fixing their attention, and attaching them to fuch marvellous relations, by the passions and emotions which they excite.

There is, however, a difficulty in applying to the present subject, in its full extent, this solution, however ingenious and fatisfactory it may appear. certain, that the same object of distress, which pleases in a tragedy, were it really fet before us, would give the most unfeigned uneafiness; though it be then the most effectual cure to languor and indolence. Monfieur Fontenelle feems to have been fenfible of this difficulty; and accordingly attempts another folution of the phænomenon; at least makes some addition to the theory above mentioned \*.

" Pleafure

<sup>\*</sup> Reflexions sur la poetique, § 36.

Pleasure and pain," fays he "which are two " sentiments so different in themselves, differ not so " much in their cause. From the instances of tickling, it appears, that the movement of pleafure, puffied a little too far, becomes pain; and that the movement of pain, a little moderated, becomes pleasure. Hence it proceeds, that there is such a thing as a forrow, for and agreeable: It is a pain " weakened and diminished. The heart likes na-" turally to be moved and affected. Melancholy " objects fuit it, and even difastrous and forrowful. " provided they are inftened by fome circumstance. " It is certain, that, on the theatre, the representa-" tion has almost the effect of reality; yet it has " not altogether that effect. However we may be " hurried away by the spectacle; whatever domi-" nion the fenses and imagination may usurp over " the reason, there still lurks at the bottom'a certain " idea of falsehood in the whole of what we see. . This idea, though weak and disguised, suffices to · diminish the pain which we suffer from the misfor-\* tunes of those whom we love, and to reduce that " affliction to fuch a pitch as converts it into a plea-' fure. We weep for the misfortunes of a hero to In the same instant we " whom we are attached. ' comfort ourselves, by reflecting, that it is nothing but a fiction: And it is precifely that mixture of ' fentiments which composes an agreeable forrow, ' and tears that delight us. But as that affliction, " which is caused by exterior and sensible objects, is ' itronger than the confolation which arises from an " internal reflection, they are the effects and fymp-" toms of forrow, that ought to predominate in the " composition."

This folution feems just and convincing; but pernaps it wants still some new addition, in order to make t answer fully the phænomenon which we here examine. All the passions excited by eloquence are agreeable in the highest degree, as well as the

N 2 which

which are moved by painting and the theatre. The epilogues of Cicero are, on this account chiefly, the delight of every reader of taste; and it is difficult to read some of them without the deepest sympathy and forrow. His merit as an orator, no doubt, depends much on his fuccess in this particular. When he had raifed tears in his judges and all his audience, they were then the most highly delighted, and expressed the greatest satisfaction with the pleader. The pathetic description of the butchery, made by VERRES of the Sicilian captains, is a masterpiece of this kind: But I believe none will affirm, that the being present at a melancholy scene of that nature would afford any entertainment. Neither is the forrow here foftened by fiction: For the audience were convinced of the reality of every circumstance. What is it then, which in this case raises a pleasure from the bosom of uneasiness, so to speak; and a pleasure, which still retains all the features and outward symptoms of diffress and forrow?

I answer: This extraordinary effect proceeds from that very eloquence with which the melancholy scene is represented. The genius required to paint objects in a lively manner, the art employed in collecting all the pathetic circumstances, the judgment displayed in disposing them: the exercise, I say, of these noble talents, together with the force of expresfion, and beauty of oratorial numbers, diffuse the highest satisfaction on the audience, and excite the most delightful movements. By this means, the uncafine's of the melancholy passions is not only overpowered and effaced by fomething stronger of an opposite kind; but the whole impulse of these passions is converted into pleafure, and fwells the delight which the eloquence raifes in us. The same force of oratory employed on an uninteresting subject, would not please half so much, or rather would appear altogether diculous; and the mind, being left in absoealmness and indifference, would relish none of thofe

those beauties of imagination or expression, which, if wined to passion, give it such exquisite entertainment. The impulse or vehemence, arising from forrow, compassion, indignation, receives a new direction from the sentiments of beauty. The latter, being the predominant emotion, seize the whole mind, and convert the former into themselves, at least tincture them so strongly as totally to alter their nature. And the soul, being, at the same time, rouzed by passion, and charmed by eloquence, feels on the whole a strong movement which is altogether delightful.

The fame principle takes place in tragedy; with this addition, that tragedy is an imitation; and imitation is always of itself agreeable. This circumstance ferves still farther to smooth the motions of passion, and convert the whole feeling into one uniform and ftrong enjoyment. Objects of the greatest terror and diffres please in painting, and please more than the most beautiful objects, that appear calm and indisferent\*. The affection, rouzing the mind, excites large flock of spirit and vehemence; which is all transformed into pleasure by the force of the prevailing movement. It is thus the fiction of tragedy fortens the pallion, by an infusion of a new feeling, not merely by weakening or diminishing the forrow. You may by degrees weaken a real forrow, till it totally disappears; yet in none of its gradations will it ever give pleafure; except, perhaps, by accident, to a man funk under lethargic indelence, whom it rouzes from that languid state.

To confirm this theory, it will be sufficient to produce other instances, where the subordinate movement is converted into the predominant, and gives force to it, though of a different, and even sometimes though of a contrary nature.

Novelty naturally rouzes the mind, and attracts our attention; and the movements, which it causes, are always converted into any passion belonging to

N 3 the

the object, and join their force to it. Whether any event excite joy or forrow, pride or fhame, anger or good-will, it is fitte to produce a ftronger affection, when new or unufual. And though novelty of itself be agreeable, it fortifies the painful, as well as agree-

able paffions.

Had you any intention to move a person extremely by the narration of any event, the best method of encreasing its effect would be artfully to delay informing him of it, and first to excite his curiosity and impatience before you let him into the secret. This is the artisce practised by IAGO in the samous scene of Shakespeare; and every spectator is sensible, that Othello's jealousy acquires additional force from his preceding impatience, and that the subordinate passion is here readily transformed into the predominant one.

Difficulties encrease passions of every kind; and by rouzing our attention, and exciting our active powers, they produce an emotion which nourishes the prevailing affection.

Parents commonly love that child most, whose fickly infirm frame of body has occasioned them the greatest pains, trouble, and anxiety in rearing him. The agreeable sentiment of affection here acquires force from sentiments of uneasiness.

Nothing endears so much a friend as forrow for his death. The pleasure of his company has not so

powerful an induence.

Jealoufy is a painful passion; yet without some share of it, the agreeable affection of love has difficulty to subside in its sull force and violence. Absence is also a great source of complaint among lovers, and gives them the greatest uneasiness: Yet nothing is more favourable to their mutual passion than short intervals of that kind: And if long intervals often prove satal, it is only because, through time, men are accustomed to them, and they cease to give uneasiness. Jealousy and absence in love compose the dolce.

feccante of the ITALIANS, which they suppose so es-

ential to all pleasure.

There is a fine observation of the elder Pliny, which illustrates the principle here insisted on. It is very remarkable, says he, that the last works of celebrated artists, which they left imperfect, are always the most prized, such as the Iris of Aristides, the Tyndarides of Nicomachus, the Medea of Timomachus, and the Venus of Apelles. These are valued even above their sinished productions: The broken lineaments of the piece, and the half-formed idea of the painter, are carefully studied; and our very grief for that curious hand, which had been stopped by death, is an additional increase to our pleasure\*.

These instances (and many more might be collected) are fulficient to afford us fome infight into the analogy of nature, and to show us, that the pleasure which poets, orators, and muticians give us by exciting grief, forrow, indignation, compassion, is not fo extraordinary or paradoxical as it may at first fight appear. The force of imagination, the energy of expression, the power of numbers, the charms of imitation; all these are naturally, of themselves, delightful to the mind: and when the object prefented lays alto hold of fome affection, the pleasure still rifes upon us, by the convertion of this fubordinate movement into that which is predominant. The paffion, though, perhaps naturally, and when excited by the simple appearance of a real object, it may be painful; yet is so smoothed, and softened, and mollified, when raifed by the finer arts, that it affords the highest entertainment.

To confirm this reasoning, we may observe, that

N 4

<sup>\*</sup> Illud vero perquam rarum ac memoria dignum, etiam suprema opera artisicum, impersectasque tabulas, sicut, Irin Aristidis, Tyndaridas Nicomachi, Medeam Timomachi, et quam diximus Venerem Apellis, in majori admiratione esse quam persecta. Quippe in iis lineamenta reliqua, ipsaque cogitationes artisicum spectantur, atque in lenocinio commendationis dolor est manus, cum iil ageret, extinctæ. Lib. xxxv. cap. 11.

if the movements of the imagination be not predominant above those of the passion, a contrary effect follows; and the former, being now subordinate, is converted into the latter, and still farther encreases the pain and affliction of the fufferer.

Who could ever think of it as a good expedient for comforting an afflicted parent, to exaggerate, with all the force of elocution, the irreparable loss which he has met with by the death of a favourite. child? The more power of imagination and expreffion you here employ, the more you increase his despair and affliction.

The shame, confusion, and terror of VERRES, no doubt, rose in proportion to the noble eloquence and vehemence of Cicero: So also did his pain and un-These former passions were too strong for the pleasure arising from the beauties of elocution; and operated, though from the same principle, yet in a contrary manner, to the sympathy, compassion, and indignation of the audience.

Lord CLARENDON, when he approaches towards the catastrophe of the royal party, supposes, that his narration must then become infinitely disagreeable; and he hurries over the king's death, without giving us one circumstance of it. He considers it as too herrid a fcene to be contemplated with any fatisfaction, or even without the utmost pain and aversion. He himself, as well as the readers of that age, were too deeply concerned in the events, and felt a pain from subjects which an historian and a reader of another age would regard as the most pathetic and most intresting, and, by consequence, the most agreeable.

An action, reprefented in tragedy, may be too bloody and atrocious. It may excite such movements of horror as will not foften into pleasure; and the greatest energy of expression, bestowed on descriptions of that nature, ferves only to augment our anealiness. Such is that action represented in the

Ambi-

Ambitious Stepmother, where a venerable old man, railed to the height of fury and despair, rushes against a Rillar, and striking his head upon it, besmears it all yer with mingled brains and gore. The ENGLISH theatre abounds too much with such shocking images.

Even the common fentiments of compassion require to be softened by some agreeable assection, in order to give a thorough satisfaction to the audience. The mere suffering of plaintive virtue, under the triumphant tyranny and oppression of vice, forms a disagreeable spectacle, and is carefully avoided by all masters of the drama. In order to dismiss the audience with entire satisfaction and contentment, the virtue must either convert itself into a noble courageous despair, or the vice receive its proper punishment.

Most painters appear in this light to have been very unhappy in their subjects. As they wrought much for churches and convents, they have chiefly represented such horrible subjects as crucifixions and martyrdoms, where nothing appears but tortures, wounds, executions, and passive suffering, without any action or affection. When they turned their pencil from this ghastly mythology, they had commonly recourse to Ovid, whose sictions, though passionate and agreeable, are scarcely natural or probable enough for painting.

The fame inversion of that principle, which is here insisted on, displays itself in common life, as in the effects of oratory and poetry. Raise so the subordinate passion that it becomes the predominant, it swallows up that affection which it before nourished and encreased. Too much jealousy extinguishes love. Too much difficulty renders us indifferent: Too much sickness and infirmity disgusts a selfish and unkind parent.

What so disagreeable as the dismal, gloomy, disasterous stories, with which melancholy people entertain their companions? The uneasy passion being there raised alone, unaccompanied with any spirit,

. .

genius,

genius, or cloquence, conveys a pure uneafiness, and reis attended with nothing that can fosten it into pleasifure or satisfaction.

## ESSAY XXIII.

## Of the STANDARD of TASTE.

THE great variety of Taste, as well as of opinion, which prevails in the world, is too obvious not to have fallen under every ones observation. Men of the most confined knowledge are able to remark a difference of taste in the narrow circle of their acquaintance, even where the perfons have been educated under the fame government, and have early imbibed the same prejudices: But those who can enlarge their view to contemplate distant nations and remote ages, are still more surprised at the great inconfishence and contrariety. We are apt to call barbarous whatever departs widely from our tafte and apprehension; but soon find the epithet of reproach retorted on us. And the highest arrogance and lelf-conceit is at last startled, on observing an equal affurance on all fides, and feruples amidst such a contest of fentiment, to pronounce positively in its own favour.

As this variety of taste is obvious to the most careless enquirer; so it will be found, on examination, to be still greater in reality than in appearance. The fentiments of men often differ with regard to beauty and deformity of all kinds, even while their general discourse is the same. There are certain terms in every language which import blame, and others praise; and all men who use the same tongue must agree in

their '

their application of them. Every voice is united in applanding elegance, propriety, fimplicity, spirit in writing; and in blaming fullian, affectation, coldness. and a falle brilliancy: But when critics come to particulars, this feeming unanimity vanishes; and it is found, that they had affixed a very different meaning to their expressions. In all matters of opinion and frience, the case is opposite: The disserence uming men is there oftener found to lie in generals than in particulars; and to be less in reality than in appearance. An explanation of the terms commonly ends the controverfy; and the disputants are surpriied to find, that they had been quarrelling, while at

bottom they agreed in their judgment.

١,

Those who found morality on sentiment more than on reason, are inclined to comprehend ethics under the former observation, and to maintain, that, in all questions which regard conduct and manners, the difference among men is really greater than at first fight it appears. It is indeed obvious, that writers of all nations and all ages concur in applauding juffice, humanity, magnanimity, prudence, veracity; and in blaming the opposite qualities. Even poets and other withors, whose compositions are chiefly calculated to please the imagination, are yet found, from Homer down to Feneron, to inculcate the fame moral precepts, and to beflow their applause and blame on the fame virtues and vices. This great unanimity is usually ascribed to the influence of plain reason; which, in all these cases, maintains milar sentiments in all men, and prevents those controversies to which the ability of Iciences are fo much exposed. So far as the unanimity is real, this account may be admitted as fatisfactory; but we must also allow, that some part of the feeming harmony in morals may be accounted for from the very nature of language. The word virtue, with its equivalent in every tongue, implies praise; as that of vice, does blame: And no one, without the most obvious and grossest impropriety, could

affix a reproach to a term, which in general acceptation is understood in a good sense; or bestow applause, where the idiom requires disapprobation. general precepts, where he delivers any fuch, will never be controverted; but it is obvious, that, when he draws particular pictures of manners, and reprefents heroism in Achilles and prudence in Ulysses, he intermixes a much greater degree of ferocity in the former, and of cunning and fraud in the latter,. than Fenelon would admit of. The fage Ulysses in the GREEK poet feems to delight in lies and fictions. and often employs them without any necessity or even advantage; but his more scrupulous son, in the FRENCH epic writer, exposes himself to the most imminent perils, rather than depart from the most exact line of truth and veracity.

The admirers and followers of the ALCORAN infift on the excellent moral precepts intersperfed throughout that wild and abfurd performance. But it is to be supposed, that the ARABIC words, which correfound to the English, equity, justice, temperance. meekness, charity, were such as, from the constant use of that tongue, must always be taken in a good iense; and it would have argued the greatest ignorance, not of morals, but of language, to have mentioned them with any epithets, belides those of applause and approbation. But would we know, whether the pretended prophet had really attained a just fentiment of morals? Let us attend to his narration; and we shall foon find, that he bestows praise on such instances of treachery, innumanity, cruelty, revenge, bigotry, as are utterly incompatible with civilized fociety. No fleady rule of right seems there to be attended to; and every action is blamed or praised, so far only as it is beneficial or hurtful to the true believers.

• The merit of delivering true general precepts in ethics is indeed very small. Whoever recommends any moral virtues, really does no more than is implied in the terms themselves. That people who invented

the word charity, and used it in a good sense, inculcated more clearly and much more efficaciously, the precept, be charitable, than any pretended legislator or prophet, who should insert such a maxim in his writings. Of all expressions, those which, together with their other meaning, imply a degree either of blame or approbation, are the least liable to be perverted or mistaken.

It is natural for us to feek a Standard of Taste; a rule by which the various sentiments of men may be reconciled; at least, a decision afforded, confirming

one fentiment, and condemning another.

There is a species of philosophy, which cuts off all hopes of fuccess in such an attempt, and represents the impossibility of ever attaining any standard of taste. The difference, it is faid, is very wide between judgement and fentiment. All fentiment is right; because fentiment has a reference to nothing beyond itself. and is always real, wherever a man is conscious of it. But all determinations of the understanding are not right; because they have a reference to something beyond themselves, to wit, real matter of fact; and are not always conformable to that standard. Among a thousand different opinions which different men may entertain of the same subject, there is one, and but one, that is just and true; and the only difficulty is to fix and ascertain it. On the contrary, a thoufand different fentiments, excited by the same object, are all right; because no sentiment represents what is really in the object. It only marks a certain conformity or relation between the object and the organs or faculties of the mind; and if that conformity did not really exists the fentiment could never possibly have being. Beauty is no quality in things themselves: It exists merely in the mind which contemplates them; and each mind perceives a different beauty. person may even perceive deformity, where another is fenfible of beauty; and every individual ought to acquiesce in his own sentiment, without pretending

to regulate those of others. To see the real beauty, or real deformity, is as fruitless an enquiry as to pretend to ascertain the real sweet or real bitter. According to the disposition of the organs, the same object may be both sweet and bitter; and the proverb has justly determined it to be fruitless to dispute concerning tastes. It is very natural, and even quite necessary, to extend this axiom to mental, as well as bodily taste; and thus common sense, which is so often at variance with philotophy, especially with the sceptical kind, is found, in one instance at least.

to agree in pronouncing the fame decision.

But though this axiom, by passing into a proverb, feems to have attained the fanction of common fente; there is certainly a species of common sense which opposes it, at least serves to modify and restrain it. Whoever would affert an equality of genius and clegance between Ogilby and Milton, or Bunyan and Addison, would be thought to defend no less an extravagance, than if he had maintained a mole-hill to be as high as TENERIFFE, or a pond as extensive as the ocean. Though there may be found persons who give the preference to the former authors, no one pays attention to fuch a tafte; and we pronounce without scruple, the sentiment of these pretended critics to be abfurd and ridiculous. The principle of the natural equality of tastes is then totally forgot, and while we admit it on some occasions, where the objects feem near an equality, it appears an extravagant paradox, or rather a palpable abfurdity, where objects fo disproportioned are compared together.

It is evident that none of the rules of composition are fixed by reasonings a priori, or can be esteemed abstract conclusions of the understanding, from comparing those habitudes and relations of ideas, which are eternal and immutable. Their soundation is the same with that of all the practical sciences, experience; nor are they any thing but general observations, concerning what has been universally found to please

in all countries and in all ages. Many of the beauties of poetry, and even of eloquence, are founded on falsehood and fiction, on hyperboles, metaphors, and an abuse or perversion of terms from their natural . meaning, Tocheck the fallies of the imagination, and to reduce every expression to geometrical truth and exactness, would be the most contrary to the laws of eriticiim; because it would produce a work, which. by universal experience, has been found the most infipid and difagreeable: But though poetry can never fubmit to exact truth, it must be confined by rules of art, discovered to the author either by genius or observation. If some negligent or irregular writers have pleafed, they have not pleafed by their transgreflions of rule or order; but in spite of these transgrestions, they have possessed other beauties, which were conformable to just criticism; and the force of these beauties has been able to overpower censure, and give the mind a fatisfaction fuperior to the difgust arising from the blemishes. Arios ro pleases; but not by his monstrous and improbable fictions, by his bizarre mixture of the ferious and comic styles, by the want of coherence in his stories, or by the continual interruptions of his narration. He charms by the force and clearness of his expression, by the readiness and varicty of his inventions, and by his natural pictures of the patfions, especially those of the gay and amorous kind: And however his faults may diminish our fatisfaction, they are not able entirely to destroy it. our pleafure really arise from those parts of his poem which we denominate faults, this would be no objection to criticism in general: It would only be an objection to those particular rules of criticism, which would establish such circumstances to be faults, and would represent them as universally blaneable. If they are found to pleafe, they cannot be faults, let the pleafure which they produce be ever fo unexpected and unaccountable.

But though all the general rules of art are founded only

only on experience, and on the deservation of the common fentiments of human nature, we must not imagine that, on every occasion, the feelings of men will be conformable to these rules. Those finer emotions of the mind are of a very tender and delicate nature, and require the concurrence of many favourable circumstances to make them play with facility and exactness, according to their general and esta, blished principles. The least exterior hinderance to fuch fmall fprings, or the least internal disorder, difturbs their motion, and confounds the operation of the whole machine. When we would make an experiment of this nature, and would try the force of any beauty or deformity, we must choose with care a proper time and place, and bring the fancy to a fuitable situation and disposition. A perfect ferenity of mind, a recollection of thought, a due attention to the object; if any of these circumstances be wanting, our experiment will be fallacious, and we shall be unable to judge of the catholic and universal beauty. The relation which nature has placed between the form and the fentiment, will at least be more obscure; and it will require greater accuracy to trace and differn it. We shall be able to ascertain its influence, not so much from the operation of each particular beauty, as from the durable admiration, which attends those works, that have furvived all the caprices of mode and fashion, all the mistakes of ignorance and envy.

The fame Homer who pleased at Athens and Rome two thousand years ago, is still admired at Paris and at London. All the changes of climate, government, religion, and language, have not been able to obscure his glory. Authority or prejudice may give a temporary vogue to a bad poet or orator; but his reputation will never be durable or general. When his compositions are examined by posterity or by foreigners, the enchantment is dissipated, and his faults appear in their true colours. On the contrary, a real genius, the longer his works endure, and the

more wide they are fpread, the more fincere is the admiration which he meets with. Envy and jealoufy have too much place in a narrow circle; and even familiar acquaintance with his perfon may diminish the applause due to his performances: but when these obstructions are removed, the beauties which are naturally fitted to excite agreeable sentiments, immediately display their energy; and while the world endires, they maintain their authority over the minds of men.

It appears then, that, amidst all the variety and caprice of taste, there are certain general principles of approbation or blame, whose influence a careful eye may trace in all operations of the mind. particular forms or qualities, from the original structure of the internal fabric, are calculated to pleafe. and others to displease; and if they fail of their effeel in any particular inflance, it is from fome apparent defect or imperfection in the organ. A man in a fever would not infift on his palate as able to decide concerning flavours; nor would one affected with the jaundice pretend to give a verdict with regard to colours. In each creature, there is a found and a defective state; and the former alone can be supposed to afford us a true standard of taste and sentiment. If, in the found state of the organ, there be an entire or a confiderable uniformity of fentiment among men, we may thence derive an idea of the perfect beauty; in like manner as the appearance of objects in day-light, to the eye of a man in health, is denominated their true and real colour, even while colour is allowed to be merely a phantain of the · fentes.

Many and frequent are the defects in the internal organs, which prevent or weaken the influence of those general principles, on which depends our sentiment of beauty or deformity. Though some objects, by the structure of the mind, be naturally calculated to give pleasure, it is not to be expected, Vpl. I.

that in every individual the pleasure will be equally felt. Particular incidents and fituations occur. which either throw a false light on the objects, or hinder the true from conveying to the imagination

the proper fentiment and perception.

One obvious cause, why many feel not the proper fentiment of beauty, is the want of that delicacy. of imagination, which is requisite to convey a sensibility of those finer emotions. This delicacy evily one pretends to: Every one talks of it, and would reduce every kind of tafte or fentiment to its flandard. But as our intention in this effay is to mingle fome light of the understanding with the feelings of sentiment, it will be proper to give a more accurate definition of delicacy than has hitherto been attempted. And not to draw our philosophy from too profound a fource, we shall have recourse to a noted story in DON QUIXOTE.

It is with good reason, says Sancho to the square with the great nofe, that I pretend to have a judgement in wine: This is a quality hereditary in our family. Two of my kinfmen were once called to give their opinion of a hoghead, which was fuppeled to be excellent, being old and of a good vintage. One of them taftes it; confiders it; and after mature reflection pronounces the wine to be good, w re it not for a small taste of leather which he perceived in it. The other, after using the same precautions, gives also his verdict in favour of the wine; but with the referve of a tafte of iron, which he could easily diffinguish. You cannot imagine how much they were both ridiculed for their judgment. wno laughed in the end? On emptying the hogshead, there was found at the bottom an old key with a leathern thong tied to it.

The great refemblance between mental and bodily tafte will cafily teach us to apply this flory. it be certain, that beauty and deformity, more than fweet and bitter, are not qualities in objects, but be-

long

long entirely to the fentiment, internal or external; it must be allowed, that there are certain qualities in objects which are fitted by nature to produce those particular feelings. Now as these qualities may be found in a small degree, or may be mixed and con-• founded with each other, it often happens, that the . • taste is not affected with such minute qualities, or is not able to diffinguish all the particular flavours, and the diforder in which they are presented. Where the organs are fo fine as to allow nothing to escape them, and at the same time so exact as to perceive every ingredient in the composition; this we call delicacy of tafte, whether we employ these terms in the literal or metaphorical fente. then the general rules of beauty are of use; being drawn from chablished models, and from the observation of what pleafes or displeafes, when presented fingly and in a high degree: And if the fame qualities, in a continued composition and in a smaller degree, affect not the organs with a fensible delight or Uncerhels, we exclude the person from all pretentions to this delicacy. To produce these general rules or avowed patterns of composition, is like finding the key with the leathern thong; which justified the verdict of Sancho's kinfmen, and confounded those pretended judges who had condemned them. Though the hogshead had never been emptied, the taste of the one was still equally delicate, and that of the other equally dull and languid: But it would have been more difficult to have proved the superiority of the former, to the conviction of every by-stander. In like manner, though the beauties of writing had · never been methodized, or reduced to general principles; though no excellent models had ever been wknowledged; the different degrees of taste would fill have fublisted, and the judgment of one man been preferable to that of another: but it would not have been fo eafy to filence the bad critic, who might always infift upon his particular fentiment, and refule ŌΣ

fuse to submit to his antagonist. But when we show him an avowed principle of art; when we illustrate this principle by examples, whose operation, from his own particular taste, he acknowledges to be conformable to the principle; when we prove, that the same principle may be applied to the present case, where he did not perceive or feel its influence: he, must conclude, upon the whole, that the sault lies in himself, and that he wants the delicacy which is requisite to make him sensible of every beauty and every blemish, in any composition or discourse.

It is acknowledged to be the perfection of every fense or faculty, to perceive with exactness its most minute objects, and allow nothing to escape its notice and observation. The smaller the objects are, which become fensible to the eye, the finer is that organ, and the more elaborate its make and composition. A good palate is not tried by flrong flavours; but by a mixture of fmall ingredients, where we are flill fenfible of each part, notwithstanding its minuteness and its confusion with the rest. In like marger a quick and acute perception of beauty and deformity must be the perfection of our mental taste; nor can a man be fatisfied with himself while he suspects, that any excellence or blemish in a discourse has pasfed him unobserved. In this case, the perfection of the man, and the perfection of the fense or feeling, are found to be united. A very delicate palate, on many occasions, may be a great inconvenience both to a man, himself and to his friends: But a delicate tafte of wit or beauty must always be a desirable quality; because it is the source of all the finest and most innocent enjoyments of which human nature is fusceptible. In this decision the sentiments of all mankind are agreed. Wherever you can afcertain a delicacy of taffe, it is fure to meet with approbation; and the best way of ascertaining it is to appeal to those models and principles which have been established blished by the uniform consent and experience of na-

tions and ages.

But though there be naturally a wide difference in point of delicacy between one person and another, nothing tends further to encrease and improve this talent, than practice in a particular art, and the fre-• quent furvey or contemplation of a particular species of beauty. When objects of any kind are first prefented to the eve or imagination, the fentiment which attends them is obscure and confused; mind is, in a great measure, incapable of pronouncing cencerning their merits or defects. The tafte cannot perceive the feveral excellencies of the performance; much less distinguish the particular character of each excellency, and afcertain its quality and degree. If it pronounce the whole in general to be beautiful or deformed, it is the utmost that can be expected; and even this judgment, a person, so unpractised, will be apt to deliver with great helitation and referve. But allow him to acquire experience in those objects, his deling becomes more exact and nice: He not only perceives the beauties and defects of each part, but marks the diffinguithing species of each quality, and assigns its suitable praise or blame. A clear and diffinct fentiment attends him through the whole furvey of the objects; and he difcerns that very degree and kind of approbation or displeasure, which each part is naturally fitted to produce. The mitt diffipates, which feemed formerly to hang over the object: The organ acquires greater perfection in its operations; and can pronounce, without danger of mistake, concerning the merits of every performance. In a word, the fame address and dexterity, which practice gives to the execution of any work, alfo acquired by the same means in the judging of it.

So advantageous is practice to the differenment of beauty, that, before we can give judgment on any work of importance, it will even be requilite, that

that very individual performance betmore than once ' perused by us, and be surveyed in different lights with attention and deliberation. There is a flutter or hurry of thought which attends the first perusal of any piece, and which confounds the genuine fentiment of beauty. The relation of the parts is not discerned: The true characters of style are little. diffinguished: The feveral perfections and defects, feem wrapped up in a species of confusion, and profent themselves indistinctly to the imagination Not to mention, that there is a species of beauty, which as it is florid and superficial, pleases at first; but being found incompatible with a just expression either of reason or passion, soon palls upon the taste, and is then rejected with difdain, at least rated at a much lower value.

It is impossible to continue in the practice of contemplating any order of beauty, without being frequently obliged to form comparisons between the feveral species and degrees of excellence, and esti-, mating their proportion to each other. A managho has had no opportunity of comparing the different kinds of beauty, is indeed totally unqualified to pronounce an opinion with regard to any object prefented to him. By comparison alone we fix the epithets of praise or blame, and learn how to assign the due degree of each. The coarfest daubing contains a certain luftic of colours and exactness of imitation, which are fo far beauties, and would affect the mind of a peafant or andian with the highest admiration. The most vulgar ballads are not entirely destitute of harmony or nature; and none but a person, familiarized to fuperior beauties, would pronounce their numbers harsh, or narration uninteresting. A great inferiority of beauty gives pain to a person converfant in the highest excellence of the kind, and is for that reason pronounced a deformity: As the most finished object, with which we are acquainted, is naturally supposed to have reached the pinnacle of perfection.

perfection, and to be intitled to the highest aplause. One accustomed to see, and examine, and weigh the several performances, admired in different ages and nations, can alone rate the merits of a work exhibited to his view, and assign its proper rank among the

· productions of genius.

But to enable a critic the more fully to executé this undertaking, he must preferve his mind free from all prejudice, and allow nothing to enter into his confideration, but the very object which is tubmitted to his examination. We may observe, that every work of art, in order to produce its due effect on the mind, must be surveyed in a certain point of view, and cannot be fully relished by perions, whose fituation, real or imaginary, is not conformable to that which is required by the performance. tor addresses himself to a particular audience, and must have a regard to their particular genius, interefts, opinions, passions, and prejudices; otherwise he hopes in vain to govern their reiolutions, and inflame Should they even have entertained ther affections, fome prepoffessions against him, however unrealonable, he must not overlook this disadvantage; but, before he enters upon the fubject, must endeavour to conciliate their affection, and acquire their good graces. A critic of a different age or nation, who should peruse this discourse, must have all these circumstances in his eye, and must place himsels in the same fituation as the audience, in order to form a true judgement of the oration. In like manner, when any work is addressed to the public, though I should have a friendship or enmity with the author, I must depart from this fituation; and confidering myrelf as a man in general, forget, if possible, my individual being and my peculiar circumstances. A person influenced by prejudice, complies not with this condition; but obstinately maintains his natural position, without placing himfelf in that point of view which the performance supposes. If the work be addressed to 04

to persons of a different age or nation, he makes no allowance for their peculiar views and prejudices; but, full of the manners of his own age and country, rashly condemns what seemed admirable in the eves of those for whom alone the discourse was calculated. If the work be executed for the public, he never futficiently enlarges his comprehention, or forgets his. interest as a friend or enemy, as a rival or commenta By this means his fentiments are perverted nor have the same beauties and blemishes the same influence upon him, as if he had imposed a proper violence on his imagination, and had forgotten himfelf for a moment. So far his tafte evidently departs from the true flandard; and of confequence loses all credit and authority.

It is well known, that in all questions submitted to the understanding, prejudice is destructive of found judgment, and perverts all operations of the intellectual faculties: it is no less contrary to good tafte; nor has it less influence to corrupt our fentiment of beauty. It belongs to good fense to check its influence in both cases; and in this respect, as well as in many others, reason, if not an essential part of tafte, is at least requisite to the operations of this latter faculty. In all the nobler productions of genius, there is a mutual relation and correspondence of parts; nor can either the beauties or blemishes be perceived by him, whose thought is not capacious enough to comprehend all those parts, and compare them with each other, in order to perceive the confiftence and uniformity of the whole. Every work of art has also a certain end or purpose for which it is calculated; and is to be deemed more or less perfect, as it is more or less fitted to attain this end. The object of eloquence is to perfuade, of history to instruct, of poetry to please, by means of the passions and the imagination. These ends we must carry constantly in our view, when we peruse any performance; and we must be able to judge how far the means

means employed are adapted to their respective purboses. Besides every kind of composition, even the most poetical, is nothing but a chain of propositions and reasonings; not always, indeed, the justest and most exact, but still plausible and specious, however difpaifed by the colouring of the imagination. The sperions introduced in tragedy and epic poetry, must the represented as reasoning, and thinking, and conclading, and acting, fuitably to their character and vircumflances; and without judgment, as well as talle and invention, a post can never hope to fucceed in fo delicate an undertaking. Not to mention, that the fame excellence of faculties which contributes to the improvement of reason, the same clearness of conception, the same exactness of distinction, the same vivacity of apprehension, are effential to the operations of true tatte, and are its infallible concomitants. It feldom or never happens, that a man of tenfe, who has any experience in art, cannot judge of us beauty; and it is no less rare to meet with a mee who has a just taste without a found underatanding.

Thus, though the principles of taffe be univerfal, and nearly, if not entirely, the same in all men; yet few are qualified to give judgment on any work of art, or establish their own sentiment as the standard of beauty. The organs of internal fensation are seldom to perfect as to allow the general principles their full play, and produce a feeling correspondent to those principles. They either labour under some defect, or are vitiated by fome diforder; and by that means, excite a fentiment which may be pronounced erro-When the critic has no delicacy, he judges without any diffinction, and is only affected by the grofler and more palpable qualities of the object: The finer touches pass unnoticed and difregarded. Where he is not aided by practice, his verdict is attended with confusion and hesitation. Where no comparison has been employed, the most frivolous beauties, such as rather merit the name of defects, are the object of his admiration. Where he lies under the influence of prejudice, all his natural fentiments are perverted. Where good fense is wanting, he is not qualified to discern the beauties of design and reasoning, which are the highest and most excellent. Under some or other of these impersections, the generality of mentabour; and hence a true judge in the siner arts is observed, even during the most polished ages, to be so rare a character: Strong sense, united to delicate sentiment, improved by practice, persected by comparison, and cleared of all prejudice, can alone intitle critics to this valuable character; and the joint verdict of such, wherever they are to be found, is the true standard of taste and beauty.

But where are fuch critics to be found? By what marks are they to be known? How diffinguish them from pretenders? These questions are embarrassing; and seem to throw us back into the same uncertainty, from which, during the course of this essay, we have endeavoured to extricate ourselves.

But if we confider the matter aright, these are questions of fact, not of fentiment. Whether any particular person be endowed with good sense and a delicate imagination, free from prejudice, may often be the subject of dispute, and be liable to great discussion and enquiry; but that fuch a character is valuable and estimable will be agreed in by all mankind. Where these doubts occur, men can do no more than in other disputable questions, which are submitted to the understanding: They must produce the best arguments that their invention fuggetts to them; they must acknowledge a true and decisive standard to exist somewhere, to wit, real existence and matter of fact; and they must have indulgence to such as differ. from them in their appeals to this standard. It is fufficient for our present purpose, if we have proved, that the taste of all individuals is not upon an equal footing, and that some men in general, however dif-

ficult '

ficult to be particularly pitched upon, will be acknow-ledged by universal sentiment to have a preference above others.

But in reality the difficulty of finding, even in particulars, the standard of taste, is not so great as it is represented. Though in speculation we may readily avow a certain criterion in science, and deny it in fentiment, the matter is found in practice to be much mere hard to ascertain in the former case than in the latter. Theories of abstract philosophy, systems of profound theology, have prevailed during one age: In a fucceffive period these have been universally exploded; their abfurdity has been detected; other theories and fystems have supplied their place, which again gave place to their fuccessors; and nothing has been experienced more liable to the revolutions of chance and fashion than these pretended decisions of The case is not the same with the beauties fcience. of eloquence and poetry. Just expressions of passion and nature are fure, after a little time, to gain public applause, which they maintain for ever. ARISTOTIE, and PLATO, and EPICURUS, and DESCARTES, may fuccessively yield to each other: But TERENCE and VIRGIL maintain an universal, undisputed empire over the minds of men. The abstract philosophy of CICERO has loft its credit: The vehemence of his oratory is still the object of our admiration.

Though men of delicate taste be rare, they are easily to be distinguished in society by the soundness of their understanding, and the superiority of their faculties above the rest of mankind. The ascendant which they acquire, gives a prevalence to that lively approbation, with which they receive any productions of genius, and renders it generally predominant. Many men, when lest to themselves, have but a faint and dubious perception of beauty, who yet are capable of relishing any fine stroke which is pointed out to them. Every convert to the admiration of the real poet or orator is the cause of some new conversion.

And

And though prejudices may prevail for a time, they never unite in celebrating any rival to the true genius, but yield at last to the force of nature and just sentiment. Thus, though a civilized nation may easily be mistaken in the choice of their admired philosopher, they never have been found long to err in their affection for a favourite epic or tragic author.

But notwithstanding all our endeavours to fix as flandard of tafte, and reconcile the discordant apprehensions of men, there still remain two sources of variaton, which are not fufficient indeed to confound all the boundaries of beauty and deformity, but will often ferve to produce a difference in the degrees of our approbation or blame. The one is the different humours of particular men; the other, the particular manners and opinions of our age and country. The general principles of tafte are uniform in human nature: Where men vary in their judgments, fome defect or perversion in the faculties may commonly be remarked, proceeding either from prejudice, from want of practice, or want of delicacy; and there is just reason for approving one taste and condemning another: But where there is fuch a diversity in the internal frame or external fituation as is entirely blameless on both fides, and leaves no room to give one the preference above the other; in that case, a certain degree of diversity in judgment is unavoidable, and we feek in vain for a standard, by which we can reconcile the contrary fentiments.

A young man, whose passions are warm, will be more sensibly touched with amorous and tender images than a man more advanced in years, who takes pleasure in wise, philosophical reflections concerning the conduct of life and moderation of the passions. At twenty, Ovid may be the favourite author; Hornace at forty: and perhaps Tacitus at fifty. Vainly would we, in such cases, endeavour to enter into the sentiments of others, and divest ourselves of those propensities which are natural to us. We choose our

favourite

favourite author as we do our friend, from a conformity of humour and disposition. Mirth or passion, sentiment or reslection; whichever of these most predominates in our temper, it gives us a peculiar sympathy with the writer who resembles us.

One person is more pleased with the sublime; another, with the tender; a third, with raillery. One has a ftrong fentibility to blemishes, and is extremely thudious of correctness: Another has a more lively feeling of beauties, and pardons twenty abfurdities and defects for one elevated or pathetic stroke. The ear of this man is entirely turned towards concifeness and energy; that man is delighted with a copious, rich. and harmonious expression. Simplicity is affected by one; ornament, by another. Comedy, tragedy, latire, odes, have each its partizans, who prefer that particular species of writing to all others. It is plainly an error in a critic to confine his approbation to one species or flyle of writing, and condemn all the rest. But it is almost impossible not to feel a predilection for that which fuits our particular turn and dispetition. Such preferences are innocent and unavoidable. and can never reasonably be the object of dispute, because there is no standard by which they can be decided.

For a like reason, we are more pleased in the course of our reading, with pictures and characters that refemble objects which are found in our-own age or country, than with those which describe a different fet of customs. It is not without some effort, that we reconcile ourselves to the simplicity of ancient manners, and behold princesses carrying water from the fpring, and kings and heroes dreffing their own vic-We may allow in general, that the representation of fuch manners is no fault in the author, nor deformity in the piece; but we are not io fenfibly touched with them. For this reason, comedy is not easily transferred from one age or nation to another. FRENCHMAN or ENGLISHMAN is not pleased with the ANDRIA 4

Andria of Terence, or Clitia of Machiavel; where the fine lady, upon whom all the play turns, never once appears to the spectators, but is always kept behind the scenes, suitably to the reserved humour of the ancient Greeks and Italians. A man of learning and reslection can make allowance for these peculiarities of manners; but a common audience can never divest themselves so far of their usual ideas and sentiments, as to relish pictures which nowise resemble them.

But here there occurs a reflection, which may, perhaps, be useful in examining the celebrated controverfy concerning ancient and modern learning; where we often find the one fide excufing any feeming abfurdity in the ancients from the manners of the age. and the other refusing to admit this excuse, or at least admitting it only as an apology for the author, not for the performance. In my opinion, the proper boundaries in this fubject have feldom been fixed between the contending parties. Where any innocent peculiarities of manners are represented, such as those above ' mentioned, they ought certainly to be admitted; and a man who is shocked with them, gives an evident proof of falle delicacy and refinement. The poet's monument more durable than brass, must fall to the ground like common brick or clay, were men to make no allowance for the continual revolutions of manners and customs, and would admit of nothing but what was fuitable to the prevailing fashion. Must we throw aside the pictures of our ancestors, because of their ruffs and fardingales? But where the ideas of morality and decency alter from one age to another, and where vicious manners are described, without being marked with the proper characters of blame and disapprobation; this must be allowed to disfigure the poem, and to be a real deformity. I cannot, nor is it proper I should, enter into such sentiments; and however I may excuse the poet, on account of the manners of his age. I never can relish the comopsition.

tion. The want of humanity and of decency, so conficuous in the characters drawn by several of the ancient poets, even sometimes by Homer and the Greek tragedians, diminishes considerably the merit of their noble performances, and gives modern authors an advantage over them. We are not interested in the fortunes and sentiments of such rough heroes: We are displeased to find the limits of vice and virtue so much consounded: And whatever indulgence we may give to the writer on account of his prejudices, we cannot prevail on ourselves to enter into his sentiments, to bear an affection to characters which we plainly discover to be blameable.

The cale is not the fame with moral principles, as with speculative opinions of any kind. These are in The fon embraces a continual flux and revolution. different fystem from the father: Nay, there scarcely is any man who can boast of great constancy and uniformity in this particular. Whatever speculative errors may be found in the polite writings of any age or country, they detract but little from the value of those compositions. There needs but a certain turn of thought or imagination to make us enter into all the opinions which then prevailed, and relish the fentiments or conclusions derived from them: but a very violent effort is requifite to change our judgment of manners, and excite fentiments of approbation or blame, love or hatred, different from those to which the mind from long custom has been familiarized: And where a man is confident of the rectitude of that moral standard, by which he judges, he is justly jealous of it, and will not pervert the fentiments of his , heart for a moment, in complaisance to any writer whatfoever.

Of all speculative errors, those which regard religion are the most excusable in compositions of genius; nor is it ever permitted to judge of the civility or wisdom of any people, or even of single persons, by the grossness or refinement of their theological prin-

principles. The same good sense that directs men in the ordinary occurrences of life, is not hearkened to in religious matters, which are supposed to be placed altogether above the cognizance of human On this account, all the abfurdities of the pagan fystem of theology must be overlooked by every critic, who would pretend to form a just notion of ancient poetry; and our posterity, in their turn, must have the same indulgence to their forefathers. religious principles can ever be imputed as a fault to any poet, while they remain merely principles, and take not such strong possession of his heart, as to lay him under the imputation of bigotry or superstition. Where that happens, they confound the fentiments of morality, and alter the natural boundaries of vice and virtue. They are therefore eternal blemishes, according to the principle above mentioned; nor are the prejudices and false opinions of the age sufficient to justify them.

It is effential to the ROMAN catholic religion to infpire a violent hatred of every other worship, and to represent all pagans, mahometans, and heretics, as the objects of divine wrath and vengcance. Such fentiments, though they are in reality very blameable, are confidered as virtues by the zealots of that communion, and are represented in their tragedies and epic poems as a kind of divine heroism. This bigotry has diffigured two very fine tragedies of the French theatre, Polieucte and Athalia; where an intemperate zeal for particular modes of worship is set off with all the pomp imaginable, and forms the predominant character of the heroes. "What is this," fays the fublime JOAD to JOSABET, finding her in discourse with MATHAN the priest of BAAL, "Does the daughter of "DAVID speak to this traitor? Are you not afraid, " left the earth should open and pour forth flames to devour you both? Or, left those holy walls should " fall and crush you together? What is his purpose? "Why comes that enemy of God hither to poison the

"air which we breathe with his horrid presence?" Such sentiments are received with great applause on the theatre of Paris; but at London the spectators would be full as much pleased to hear Achilles tell Acamemnon, that he was a dog in his forchead, and a deer in his heart; or Jupiter threaten Juno with a found drubbing if she will not be quiet.

Religious principles are also a blemish in any polite composition, when they rise up to superstition, and intrude themselves into every sentiment, however remote from any connection with religion. It is no excuse for the poet, that the customs of his country had burdened life with so many religious ceremonies and observances, that no part of it was exempt from that yoke. It must for ever be ridiculous in Petrarch to compare his mistress, Laura, to Jesus Christ. Nor is it less ridiculous in that agreeable libertine, Boccace, very seriously to give thanks to God Almighty and the ladies, for their assistance in defending him against his enemies.

Vol. I. P ESSAYS

# ESSAYS,

Moral, Political, and Literary, .

PART II\*.

## ESSAY I.

#### Of COMMERCE.

into two classes; that of shallow thinkers, who fall short of the truth; and that of abstract thinkers, who go beyond it. The latter class are by far the most rare: and I may add, by far the most useful and valuable. They suggest hints, at least, and start difficulties, which they want, perhaps, skill to pursive; but which may produce fine discoveries, when handled by men who have a more just way of thinking. At word, what they say is uncommon; and if it should cost some pains to comprehend it, one has, however, the pleasure of hearing something that is new. An author is little to be valued, who tells us nothing but what we can learn from every cosser-house conversation.

All people of *fhallow* thought are apt to decay even those of *folid* understanding, as *abstruse* thinkers and metaphysicians, and refiners; and never will allow any thing to be just which is beyond their own.

own weak conceptions. There are some cases, I own, where an extraordinary refinement affords a frong prefumption of faltehood, and where no reasoning is to be trusted but what is natural and easy. When a man deliberates concerning his conduct in any particular affair, and forms tchemes in politics. rade, economy, or any bufiness in life; he never ought to draw his arguments too fine, or connect too long a chain of confequences together. Something is fure to happen that will disconcert his reasoning. . and produce an event different from what he expect-But when we reason upon general subjects, one may juffly affirm, that our speculations can scarcely ever be too fine, provided they be just; and that the difference between a common man and a man of genius, is chiefly feen in the shallowness or depth of the principles upon which they proceed. General reasonings seem intricate, merely because they are general; nor is it easy for the bulk of mankind to diffinguish, in a great number of particulars, that common circumstance in which they all agree, or to extract it, pure and unmixed, from the other fuper-Every judgment or conclusion fluous circumflances. with them is particular. They cannot enlarge their view to those universal propositions, which comprehend under them an infinite number of individuals. and include a whole fcience in a fingle theorem. Their eye is confounded with fuch an extensive prospect; and the conclusions derived from it, even though clearly expressed, scem intricate and obscure. But however intricate they may feem, it is certain, that general principles, if just and found, must al-. ways prevail in the general course of things, though they may fail in particular cases; and it is the chief bufiness of philosophers to regard the general course of things. I may add, that it is also the chief business of politicians; especially in the domestic government of the state, where the public good, which is, or ought to be, their object, depends on the concurrence P 2

rence of a multitude of causes; not, as in foreign politics, on accidents and chances, and the caprices of a few persons. This therefore makes the difference between particular deliberations and general reasonings, and renders fubtility and refinement much more suitable to the latter than to the former.

I thought this introduction necessary before the following discourses on commerce, money, interest, buiance of trade &c. where, perhaps, there will occur tome principles which are uncommon, and which may feem too refined and fubtile for fuch vulgar fubjects. If false, let them be rejected: but no one ought to entertain a prejudice against them, merely because they are out of the common road.

The greatness of a state, and the happiness of its subjects, how independent soever they may be supposed in some respects, are commonly allowed to be inseparable with regard to commerce; and as private men receive greater fecurity, in the possession of their trade and riches, from the power of the public; fo the public becomes powerful in proportion to the opulence and extensive commerce of private men. This maxim is true in general; though I cannot forbear thinking, that it may possibly admit of exceptions, and that we often establish it with too little referve and limitation. There may be fome circumflances, where the commerce and riches and luxury of individuals, instead of adding strength to the publie, will ferve only to thin its armies, and diminish its authority among the neighbouring nations. is a very variable being, and susceptible of many different opinions, principles, and rules of conduct. What may be true, while he adheres to one way of. thinking, will be found false, when he has embraced an opposite set of manners and opinions.

The bulk of every state may be divided into's bulbandmen and manufacturers. The former are employed in the culture of the land; the latter works up the materials furnished by the former into all the.

commodities which are necessary or ornamental to human life. As soon as men quit their savage state, where they live chiefly by hunting and fishing, they must fall into these two classes; though the arts of agriculture employ at first the most numerous part of the society\*. Time and experience improve so much these arts, that the land may easily maintain a much greater number of men, than those who are immediately employed in its culture, or who furnish the more necessary manufactures to such as are so employed.

If these superfluous hands apply themselves to the finer arts, which are commonly denominated the arts of huxury, they add to the happiness of the state; since they afford to many the opportunity of receiving enjoyments, with which they would otherwife have been unacquainted. But may not another icheme be propoied for the employment of their fuperfluous hands? May not the fovereign lay claim to them, and employ them in fleets and armies, to encrease the domi-Efens of the state abroad, and spread its same over diffant nations? It is certain, that the fewer deares and wants are found in the proprietors and labourers of land, the fewer hands do they employ; and confequently the superfluities of the land, initead of maintaining tradefinen and manufacturers, may fupport fleets and armies to a much greater extent, than where a great many arts are required to minister to the luxury of particular persons. Here therefore teems to be a kind of opposition between the greatness of the state and the happiness of the subject. A flate is never greater than when all its fuperfluous

<sup>\*</sup> Mons. Melon, in his political essay on commerce, asserts, that even at present, if you divide France into 20 parts, 16 arc labourers or peasants; two only artizens; one belonging to the law, church, and military; and one merchants, sinanciers, and bourgeos. This calculation is certainly very erroncous. In France, England, and indeed most parts of Europe, half of the inhabitants live in cities; and even of those who live in the country, a given number are artizans, perhaps above a third

hands are employed in the fervice of the public. The ease and convenience of private persons require, that these hands should be employed in their service. The one can never be satisfied but at the expence of the other. As the ambition of the sovereign must entrench on the luxury of individuals; so the luxury of individuals must diminish the sorce, and checkethe ambition of the sovereign.

Nor is this reasoning merely chimerical; but is founded on history and experience. The republic of Sparta was certainly more powerful than any state now in the world, confifting of an equal number of people; and this was owing entirely to the want of commerce and luxury. The Helotes were the labourers: The Spartans were the foldiers or gen-It is evident, that the labour of the HE-LOTES could not have maintained fo great a number of Spartans, had these latter lived in ease and delicacy, and given employment to a great variety of trades and manufactures. The like policy may be remarked in Rome. And, indeed, throughout are ancient history, it is observable, that the smallest republics raifed and maintained greater armies, than flates, confifling of triple the number of inhabitants, are able to support at present. It is computed, that, in all European nations, the proportion between foldiers and people does not exceed one to a hundred. But we read, that the city of Rome alone, with its finall territory, raifed and maintained, in early times, ten legions against the LATINS. ATHENS, the whole of whose dominions was not larger than Yorkshire, fent to the expedition against Sigily near forty thoufandmen\*. Dionysius the elder, it is faid, maintained a flanding army of a hundred thousand foot and ten thousand horse, besides a large sleet of sour hundred tail+; though his territories extended no farther than the

\* Thucydides, lib. vii.

<sup>†</sup> Drop. Sic. lib. vii. This account, I own, is fomewhat suspicious, not to say worse; chiefly because this army was not composed of citizens, but of mercenary forces.

the city of Syracuse, about a third of the island of Sicily, and fome fea-port towns and garrifons on the coast of ITALY and ILLYRICUM. It is true, the ancient armies in time of war, sublisted much upon plunder: But did not the enemy plunder in their turn? which was a more ruinous way of levying a . tax, than any other that could be devised. In short, no probable reason can be affigned for the great power of the more ancient flates above the modern. but their want of commerce and luxury. zans were maintained by the labour of the farmers. and therefore more foldiers might live upon it. LIVY fays, that ROME, in his time, would find it difficult to raife as large an army as that which, in her carly days, the fent out against the GAULS and LATINS \*. Inflead of those foldiers who fought for liberty and empire in Camillus's time, there were in Augustus's days, muficians, painters, cooks, players, and taylors; and if the land was equally cultivated at both periods, it could certainly maintain equal numbers in the one profession as in the other. ded nothing to the mere necessaries of life, in the latter period more than in the former.

It is natural on this occasion to ask, whether fovereigns may not return to the maxims of ancient policy, and consult their own interest in this respect, more than the happiness of their subjects? I answer, that it appears to me almost impossible; and that because ancient policy was violent, and contrary to the more natural and usual course of things. It is well known with what peculiar laws Sparta was governed, and what a progidy that republic is justly esteemed by every one, who has considered human nature, as it has displayed itself in other nations, and other ages. Were the testimony of history less positive and circumstantial, such a government would appear a mere philosophical whim or siction, and in-

٠,

<sup>\*</sup> Titt Livit lib. vii. cap. 24. \* Adeo in quæ laboramus," fays he " fola crevimus, divitias luxuriam que".

possible ever to be reduced to practice. And though the Roman and other ancient republics were supported on principles fomewhat more natural, yet was there an extraordinary concurrence of circumstances to make them fubmit to fuch grievous burthens. were free states; they were small ones; and the age being martial, all their neighbours were continually in arms. Freedom naturally begets public spirit, especially in small states; and this public spirit, this amor patriæ, must encrease, when the public is almost in continual alarm, and men are obliged every moment to expose themselves to the greatest dangers for its defence. A continual fuccession of wars makes every citizen a foldier: He takes the field in his turn: And during his fervice he is chiefly maintained by This fervice is indeed equivalent to a heavy tax; yet is it less felt by a people addicted to arms, who fight for honour and revenge more than pay, and are unacquainted with gain and industry as well as plea-Not to mention the great equality of fortures among the inhabitants of the ancient republics, where every field belonging to a different proprietor. was able to maintain a family, and rendered the numbers of citizens very confiderable, even without trade and manufactures.

But though the want of trade and manufactures, among a free and very martial people, may fonetimes have no other effect than to render the public more powerful, it is certain, that, in the common course of human affairs, it will have a quite contrary tendency. Sovercigns must take mankind as they find them, and cannot pretend to introduce any violent change in their principles and ways of thinking. A long course of time, with a variety of accidents and circumstances, are requisite to produce those great revolutions, which so much diversify the sace of human affairs. And the less natural any set of principles are, which support a particular society, the more difficulty

will a legislator meet with in raising and cultivating them. It is his best policy to comply with the compion bent of mankind, and give it all the improvements of which it is susceptible. Now, according to the most natural course of things, industry and arts and trade increase the power of the sovereign, as well as the happiness of the subjects; and that policy is violent, which aggrandizes the public by the poverty of individuals. This will easily appear from a few considerations, which will present to us the consequences of sloth and barbarity.

Where manufactures and mechanic arts are not cultivated, the bulk of the people must apply themfelves to agriculture; and if their skill and industry increase, there must arise a great superfluity from their labour, beyond what fuffices to maintain them. They have no temptation, therefore, to encrease their skill and industry; fince they cannot exchange that fuperfluity for any commodities, which may ferve either to their pleasure or vanity. A habit of indo lence naturally prevails. The greater part of the land lies uncultivated. What is cultivated, yields 'not its utmost for want of skill and assiduity in the If at any time the public exigencies require that great numbers should be employed in the public fervice, the labour of the people furnishes now no fuperfluities, by which these numbers can be main-The labourers cannot encrease their skill and industry on a fudden. Lands uncultivated cannot be brought into tillage for fome years. The armies, mean while, must either make sudden and violent conquests, or disband for want of subfishence. regular attack or defence, therefore, is not to be expected from fuch a people; and their foldiers must be as ignorant and unskillful as their farmers and manu--facturers.

Every thing in the world is purchased by labour; and our passions are the only causes of labour. When a nation abounds in manufactures and mechanic arts,

the proprietors of land, as well as the farmers, study agriculture as a fcience, and redouble their industry and attention. The fuperfluity which arises from their labour is not loft; but is exchanged with manufactures for those commodities which mens luxury now makes them covet. By this means, land' furnishes a great deal more of the necessaries of life. than what fuffices for those who cultivate it. times of peace and tranquillity, this superfluity goes to the maintenance of manufacturers and the improvers of liberal arts. But it is eafy for the public convert many of these manufacturers into soldiers. maintain them by that superfluity which arises to a the labour of the farmers. Accordingly we .... that this is the case in all civilized governments. Wh the fovereign raifes an army, what is the confequence He imposes a tax. This tax obliges all the people retrench what is least necessary to their subsistence Those who labour in such commodities, must either enlift in the troops, or turn themselves to agriculture. and thereby oblige some labourers to enlist for want of bufinefs. And to confider the matter abstractedly, manufactures encrease the power of the state only as they store up so much labour, and that of a kind to which the public may lay claim, without depriving any one of the necessaries of life. The more labour, therefore, is employed beyond mere necessiaries, the more powerful is any state; since the persons engaged in that labour may eafily be converted to the In a state without manufactures, public fervice. there may be the same number of hands, but there is not the same quantity of labour, nor of the same kind. All the labour is there bestowed upon neces. faries, which can admit of little or no abatement.

Thus the greatness of the sovereign and the happiness of the state are, in a great measure, united with regard to trade and manufactures. It is a violent method, and in most cases impracticable, to oblige the labourer to toil, in order to raise from the land

inc

more than what subsists himself and family. Furnish him with manufactures and commodities, and he will do it of himself. Afterwards you will find it easy to feize some part of his superfluous labour, and employ it in the public fervice, without giving him his wented return. Being accustomed to industry, he will think this less grievous, than if, at once, you obliged him to an augmentation of labour without any reward. The case is the same with regard to the other members of the flate. The greater is the Thour of all kinds, the greater quantity may a the heap, without making any fensible it. alter.

A public granary of corn, a storchouse of cloth, a magazine of arms; all these must be allowed real riches and strength in any state. Trade and industry are really nothing but a flock of labour, which, in times of peace and tranquillity, is employed for the case and satisfaction of individuals; but in the exigencies of state, may, in part, be turned to public advantage. Gould we convert a city into a kind of fortilied camp, and infuse into each breast so martial a genius, and fuch a passion for public good, as to make every one willing to undergo the greatest bardships for the fake of the public; these affection might now, as in ancient times, prove alone a fuffict ent spur to industry, and support the community. would then be advantageous, as in camps, to bunific all arts and luxury: and, by restrictions on equipage and tables, make the provitions and forage last longer, than if the army were loaded with a number of fuperfluous retainers. But as these principles are too difinterested, and too difficult to support, it is requifite to govern men by other passions, and animate him with a spirit of avarice and industry, art and The camp is, in this case, loaded with a superfluous retinue; but the provisions flow in proportionably larger. The harmony of the whole is ftill supported; and the natural bent of the mind being more complied with individuals, as well as the public, find their account in the observance of those maxims.

The same method of reasoning will let us see the advantage of foreign commerce, in augmenting the power of the state, as well as the riches and happiness of the subject. It encreases the stock of labour in the nation; and the fovereign may convert what share of it he finds necessary to the fervice of the public. Foreign trade, by its imports, furnishes materials for new manufactures; and by its exports, it produces labour in particular commodities, which could not be confumed at home. In fhort, a kingdom, that has a large import and export, must abound more with industry, and that employed upon delicacies and luxuries, than a kingdom which rests contented with its native commodities. It is, therefore, more powerful, as well as richer and happier. The individuals reap the benefit of these commodities, so far as they gratify the fenses and appetites. And the public is also a gainer, while a greater stock of labour is, by this means, stored up against any public exigency: that is, a greater number of laborious men are maintained, who may be diverted to the public fervice, without robbing any one of the necessaries, or even the chief conveniences, of life.

If we confult history, we shall find, that, in most nations, foreign trade has preceded any refinement in home manufactures, and given birth to domestic luxury. The temptation is stronger to make use of soreign commodities, which are ready for use, and which are entirely new to us, than to make improvements on any domestic commodity, which always advance by slow degrees, and never affect us by their hovelty. The profit is also very great, in exporting, what is superstuous at home, and what bears no price to foreign nations, whose soil or climate is not favourable to that commodity. Thus men become acquainted with the pleasures of luxury and the profits.

once awakened, carry them on to farther improvements, in every branch of domestic as well as foreign trade. And this perhaps is the chief advantage which arises from a commerce with strangers. It rouses ment from their indolence; and presenting the gayer and more opulent part of the nation with objects of luxury, which they never before dreamed of, raises in them a desire of a more splendid way of life than the mocestors enjoyed. And at the same time,

hants, who possess the secret of this im, it exportation, make great profits; and become wals in wealth to the ancient nobility, tempt other adventurers to become their rivals in commerce. Imitation soon distuses all those arts; while domestic manufactures emulate the foreign in their improvements, and work up every home commodity to the utmost perfection of which it is susceptible. Their own steel and iron, in such laborious hands, become equal to the gold and rubies of the INDIES.

When the affairs of the fociety are once brought to this fituation, a nation may lose most of its foreign trade, and yet continue a great and powerful people. If strangers will not take any particular commodity of ours, we must cease to labour in it. The same hands will turn themselves towards some refinement in other commodities, which may be wanted at home. And there must always be materials for them to work upon; till every person in the state, who possesses riches, enjoys as great plenty of home commodities, and those in as great perfection, as he desires: which can never possibly happen. China is represented as one of the most flourishing empires in the world; though it has very little commerce beyond its own territories.

It will not, I hope, be confidered as a superfluous digression, if I here observe, that as the multitude of mechanical arts is advantageous, so is the great number of persons to whose share the productions of these

arts fall. A too great disproportion among the citizens weakens any state. Fvery person, if possible, ought to enjoy the fruits of his labour, in a full poffession of all the necessaries, and many of the conveniences of life. No one can doubt, but fuch an equality is most suitable to human nature, and diminishes much less from the bappiness of the rich, than it adds to that of the poor. It also augments the power of the flate, and makes any extraordinary taxes or impositions be paid with more cheerfulness. Where the riches are engrossed by a few, these must contribute very largely to the supplying of the public necessities. But when the riches are dispersed among multitudes, the burthen feels light on every shoulder, and the taxes make not a very sensible difference on any one's way of living.

Add to this, that where the riches are in few hands, these must enjoy all the power, and will readily conspire to lay the whole burthen on the poor, and oppress them still farther, to the discouragement of

all industry.

In this circumflance confifts the great advantage of ENGLAND above any nation at present in the world, or that appears in the records of any flory. It is true, the English feel fome disadvantages in foreign trade by the high price of labour, which is in part the effect of the riches of their artizans as well as of the plenty of money: But as foreign trade is not the most material circumstance, it is not to be put in competition with the happiness of so many million. And if there were no more to endear to them that free government under which they live, this alone were fufficient. The poverty of the common people is a natural, if not an infallible, effect of absolute monarchy; though I doubt, whether it be always frie, on the other hand, that their riches are an in Mible refult of liberty. Liberty must be attended with particular accidents, and a certain turn of thinking, in order to produce that effect. Lord BACON. account- \

fiderable

accounting for the great advantages obtained by the English in their wars with France, ascribes them chiefly to the fuperior ease and plenty of the common people amongst the former; yet the government of the two kingdoms was, at that time, pretty much alike. Where the labourers and artizans are accustomed to work for low wages, and to retain but a finall part of the fruits of their labour, it is difficult for them, even in a free government, to better their inspire among themselves to heighten But even where they are accustomed itiful way of life, it is easy for the rich, in an a. Litrary government, to conspire against

them, and throw the whole burthen of the taxes on their shoulders.

It may feem an odd position, that the poverty of the common people in FRANCE, ITALY, and SPAIN. is, in some measure, owing to the superior riches of the foil and happiness of the climate; yet there want not reasons to justify this paradox. In such a fine mould or foil as that of those more southern regions. agriculture is an eafy art; and one man, with a couple of forry horses, will be able, in a season, to cultivate as much land as will pay a pretty confiderable rent to the proprietor. All the art, which the farmer knows, is to leave his ground fallow for a year, as foon as it is exausted; and the warmth of the sun alone, and temperature of the climate, enrich it, and reftore its fertility. Such poor pealants, therefore, require only a fimple maintenance for their labour. They have no flock or riches which claim more; and at the same time, they are for ever dependant on their landlord, who gives no leafes, nor fears that his land will be spoiled by the ill methods of cultivation. In ENGLAND, the land is rich but coarse; must be cultivated at a great expence; and produces slender crops when not carefully managed, and by a method which gives not the full profit but in a course of several years. A farmer, therefore, in England must have a con-

tiderable flock, and a long leafe, which beget proportional profits. The fine vineyards of GHAMPAGNE and Burgundy, that often yield to the landlord above five pounds per acre, are cultivated by peafants who have scarcely bread: The reason is, that such peasants need no flock but their own limbs, with instruments ' of husband, which they can buy for twenty shillings, The farmers are commonly in some better circumstances in those countries: But the grafiers are most at their ease of all those who cultivate the land. The reason is still the same: Men must have profits proportionable to their expense and hazard. Where so confiderable a number of the labouring poor, as the peafants and farmers, are in very low circumstances, all the rest must partake of their poverty, whether the government of that nation be monarchical or republican.

We may form a fimilar remark with regard to the general history of mankind. What is the reason, why no people, living between the tropics, could ever yet attain to any art or civility, or reach even any police in their government, and any military discipline; while few nations in the temperate climates have been altogether deprived of these advantages? It is probable, that one cause of this phænomenon is the warmth and equality of weather in the torrid zone. which render clothes and houses less requisite for the inhabitants, and thereby remove, in part, that necessity which is the great four to industry and invention. Curis acuens mortalia corda. Not to mention, that the fewer goods or possessions of this kind any people enjoy, the fewer quarrels are likely to arise amongst them, and the less necessity will there be for a fettled police or regular authority to protect and defend them from foreign enemies, or from each other.

ESSAY

### ESSAY II.

#### Of REFINEMENT in the ARTS.

UNURY is a word of an uncertain fignification, and may be taken in a good as well as in a bad tenfe. In general, it means great refinement in the gratification of the fenses; and any degree of it may be innocent or blameable, according to the age, or country, or condition of the person. The bounds between the virtue and the vice cannot here be exactly fixed, more than in other moral subjects. imagine that the gratifying of any fense, or the indulging of any delicacy in meat, drink, or apparel, is of itself a vice, can never enter into a head, that is not disordered by the frenzies of enthusiasm. I' have, indeed, heard of a monk abroad, who, because the windows of his cell opened upon a noble prospect, made a covenant with his eyes never to turn that way, or receive fo fenfual a gratification. fuch is the crime of drinking CHAMPAGNE or BUR-GUNDY, preferably to fmall beer or porter. indulgencies are only vices when they are purfued at the expence of some virtue, as liberality or charity; in like manner as they are follies, when for them a man ruins his fortune, and reduces himself to want and beggary. Where they entrench upon no virtue, but leave ample subject whence to provide for friends, family, and every proper object of generofity or compassion, they are entirely innocent, and have in every age been acknowledged fuch by almost all moralists. To be entirely occupied with the luxury of the table, for inflance, without any relish for the pleasures of Nor. L.

ambition, study, or conversation, is a mark of stupidity, and is incompatible with any vigour of temper or genius. To confine one's expence entirely to such a gratification, without regard to friends or family, is an indication of a heart destitute of humanity or benevolence; but if a man reserve time sufficient for all laudable pursuits, and money sufficient for all generous purposes, he is free from every shadow of blame or reproach.

Since luxury may be confidered either as innocent or blameable, one may be furprifed at those preposterous opinions which have been entertained concerning it; while men of libertine principles beflow praifes even on vicious luxury, and represent it as highly advantageous to fociety; and on the other hand, men of severe morals blame even the most innocent luxury, and represent it as the source of all the corruptions, diforders, and factions, incident to civil government. We shall here endeavour to correct both these extremes, by proving, first, that the ages of refinement are both the happiest and most virtuous: fecondly, that wherever luxury ceases to be innocent, it also ceases to be beneficial; and when carried a degree too far, is a quality pernicious, though perhaps not the most pernicious, to political fociety.

To prove the first point, we need but consider the effects of refinement both on private and on public life. Human happiness, according to the most received notions, seems to consist in three ingredients, action, pleasure, and indolence: And though these ingredients ought to be mixed in different proportions, according to the particular disposition of the person; yet no one ingredient can be entirely wanting, without destroying, in some measure, the relish of the whole composition. Indolence or repose, indeed, seems not of itself to contribute much to our enjoyment; but, like sleep, is requisite as an indulgence to the weakness of human nature, which cannot support an uninterrupted course of business or pleasure. That quick

march of the spirits which takes a man from himself. and chiefly gives fatisfaction, does in the end exhauft the mind, and requires some intervals of repose, which, though agreeable for a moment, yet, if prolonged. beget a languor and lethargy that destroy all enjoy-Education, custom, and example, have a mighty influence in turning the mind to any of these pursuits; and it must be owned, that where they promote a relish for action and pleasure, they are so far favourable to human happiness. In times when in-La duffry and the arts flourish, men are kept in perpetual occupation, and enjoy, as their reward, the occupation itfelf, as well as those pleasures which are the fruit of their labour. The mind acquires new vigour; enlarges its powers and faculties; and by an affiduity in honest industry, both satisfies its natural appetites, and prevents the growth of unnatural ones; which commonly fpring up when nourished by ease and idleness. Banish those arts from fociety, you deprive men both of action and of pleasure; and, leaving nothing but indolonce in their place, you even deftroy the relish of indolence, which never is agreeable but when it fucceeds to labour, and recruits the spirits, exhaufted by too much application and fatigue.

Another advantage of industry and of refinements. in the mechanical arts is, that they commonly produce fome refinements in the liberal; nor can one be carried to perfection, without being accompanied, in fome degree, with the other. The same age which produces great philosophers and politicians, renowned generals and poets, usually abounds with skilful weavers and ship-carpenters. We cannot reasonably exped that a piece of woollen cloth will be wrought to perfection in a nation which is ignorant of aftronomy, or where ethics are neglected. The fpirit of the age affects all the arts; and the minds of men,, being once roufed from their lethargy, and put into a fermentation, turn themselves on all sides, and carry improvements into every art and science. Profound 19110ignorance is totally banished, and men enjoy the privilege of rational creatures, to think as well as to act, to cultivate the pleasures of the mind as well as those of the body.

The more these refined arts advance, the more fociable men become: Nor is it possible, that, when enriched with science, and possessed of a fund of cost-, versation, they should be contented to remain in solitude, or live with their fellow-citizens in that distant manner which is peculiar to ignorant and barbarous They flock into cities; love to receive and. communicate knowledge; to flow their wit or their breeding; their taste in conversation or living, in clothes or furniture. Curiofity allures the wife; vanity the foolish; and pleasure both. Particular clubs and focieties are every where formed: Both fexes meet in an eafy and fociable manner; and the tempers of men, as well as their behaviour, refine apace. So that, befide the improvements which they receive from knowledge and the liberal arts, it is impossible but they must feel an increase of humanity, from the very habit of converfing together, and contributing to each other's pleasure and entertain-Thus industry, knowledge, and bumanity, are linked together by an indiffoluble chain, and are found, from experience as well as reason, to be peculiar to the more polified, and, what are commonly denominated, the more luxurious ages.

Nor are these advantages attended with disadvantages that bear any proportion to them. The more men refine upon pleasure, the less will they indulge in excesses of any kind; because nothing is more destructive to true pleasure than such excesses. One may safely assirm, that the Tartars are oftener guilty of beastly gluttony, when they seast on their dead horses, than European courtiers with all their refinements of cookery. And if libertine love, or even insidelity to the marriage-bed, be more frequent in polite ages, when it is often regarded only as a piece

of gallantry; drunkenness, on the other hand, is much less common: A vice more odious, and more pernicious both to mind and body. And in this matter I would appeal, not only to an Ovid or a Petronius, but to a Seneca or a Cato. We know that Cæsar, during Cataline's conspiracy, being necessitated to put into Cato's hands a billet-down, which discovered an intrigue with Servilia, Cato's own sister, that stern philosopher threw it back to him with indignation; and, in the bitterness of his wrath, gave him the appellation of drunkard, as a term more opprobrious than that with which he could more justly have reproached him.

But industry, knowledge, and humanity, are not advantageous in private life alone: They diffuse their beneficial influence on the public, and render the government as great and flourithing as they make individuals happy and prosperous. The encrease and confumption of all the commodities, which ferve to the ornament and pleasure of life, are advantageous to fociety; because, at the same time that they multiply those innocent gratifications to individuals, they are a kind of florebouse of labour, which, in the exigencies of flate, may be turned to the public fervice. In a nation, where there is no demand for fuch fuperfluities, men fink into indolence, lose all enjoyment of life, and are ufeless to the public, which cannot maintain or support its fleets and armies, from the industry of such slothful members.

The bounds of all the European kingdoms are, at present, nearly the same they were two hundred years ago: But what a difference is there in the power and grandeur of those kingdoms? Which can be ascribed to nothing but the encrease of art and industry. When Charles VIII. of France invaded ITALY, he carried with him about 20,000 men: Yet this armament so exhausted the nation, as we learn from Guicciardin, that for some years it was not able to make so great an effort. The late king

of France, in time of war, kept in pay above 400,000 men\*. though, from MAZARINE's death to his own, he was engaged in a course of wars that lasted near thirty years.

This industry is much promoted by the knowledge inseparable from ages of art and refinement; as, on the other hand, this knowledge enables the public to make the best advantage of the industry of its subjects. Laws, order, police, discipline; these can never be carried to any degree of perfection, before human reason has refined itself by exercise, and by an application to the more vulgar arts, at least of commerce and manufacture. Can we expect, that a government will be well-modelled by a people, who know not how to make a spinning-wheel, or to employ a loom to advantage? Not to mention, that all ignorant ages are insested with superstition, which throws the government off its bias, and disturbs men in the pursuit of their interest and happiness.

Knowledge in the arts of government naturally begets mildness and moderation, by instructing men in the advantages of humane maxims above rigour and feverity, which drive subjects into rebellion, and make the return to submission impracticable, by cutting off all hopes of pardon. When the tempers of men are fostened, as well as their knowledge improved, this humanity appears still more conspicuous, and is the chief characteristic which distinguishes a civilized age from times of barbarity and ignorance. are then less inveterate, revolutions less tragical, authority less severe, and seditions less frequent. foreign wars abate of their cruelty; and after the field of battle, where honour and interest steel men; against compassion as well as fear, the combatants divest themselves of the brute, and resume the man.

Nor need we fear, that men, by lofing their ferocity, will lofe their martial spirit, or become less undaunted and vigorous in defence of their country or their.

The inscription on the Place-de Vendome says 440,000.

their liberty. The arts have no fuch effect in enervating either the mind or body. On the contrary, industry, their inseparable attendant, adds new force to both. And if anger, which is faid to be the whetflone of courage, loses somewhat of its asperity, by politeness and refinement; a sense of honour, which is a ftronger, more conftant, and more governable principle, acquires fresh vigour, by that elevation of genius which arises from knowledge and a good education. Add to this, that courage can neither have any duration, nor be of any use, when not accompanied with discipline and martial skill, which are feldom found among a barbarous people. The ancients remarked, that DATAMES was the only barbarian that ever knew the art of war. And Pyrrhus, feeing the Romans marshal their army with some art and skill, said with surprise, These barbarians have nothing barbarous in their discipline! It is observable, that, as the old Romans, by applying themselves folely to war, were almost the only uncivilized people that ever possessed military discipline; so the modern ITALIANS are, the only civilized people, a-'mong Europeans, that ever wanted courage and a martial spirit. Those who would ascribe this effeminancy of the ITALIANS to their luxury, or politenefs, or application to the arts, need but confider the FRENCH and English, whose bravery is as uncontoflable, as their love for the arts, and their affiduity The Italian historians give us a in/commerce. more fatisfactory reason for this degeneracy of their They show us how the sword was countrymen. dropped at once by all the ITALIAN fovereigns; while the Venetian aristocracy was jealous of its subjects, the FLORENTINE democracy applied itself entirely to commerce; Rome was governed by War then became priests, and NAPLES by women. the business of foldiers of fortune, who spared one another, and, to the aftonishment of the world, could engage a whole day in what they called a battle, and return Q 4

return at night to their camp, without the least bloodshed.

What has chiefly induced fevere moralists to declaim against refinement in the arts, is the example of ancient Rome, which, joining to its poverty and rufticity, virtue and public spirit, rose to such a furprifing height of grandeur and liberty; but, having learned from its conquered provinces the ASIATIC luxury, fell into every kind of corruption; whence arose sedition and civil wars, attended at last with All the LATIN classics. the total loss of liberty. whom we peruse in our infancy, are full of these sentiments, and univerfally afcribe the ruin of their flate to the arts and riches imported from the East: Infomuch that Sallust reprefents a taile for painting as a vice, no less than lewdness and drinking. And so popular were these sentiments, during the later ages of the republic, that this author abounds in praifes of the old rigid ROMAN virtue, though himfelf the most egregious instance of modern luxury and corruption; speaks contemptuously of the GRE-CIAN eloquence, though the most elegant writer in the world; nay, employs preposterous digressions and declamations to this purpose, though a model of taile and correctness.

But it would be easy to prove, that these writers miltook the cause of the disorders in the Romah state, and ascribed to luxury and the arts, what reaky proceeded from an ill-modelled government, and the unlimited extent of conquests. Refinement on the pleasures and conveniences of life has no natural tendency to beget venality and corruption. The value which all men put upon any particular pleasure depends on comparison and experience; nor is a porter less greedy of money, which he spends on bacon and brandy, than a courtier, who purchases champagne and ortolans. Riches are valuable at all times, and wall men; because they always purchase pleasures, such as men are accustomed to, and desire: Nor can

any thing reftrain or regulate the love of money, but a fende of honour and virtue; which, if it be not nearly equal at all times, will naturally abound most in ages of knowledge and refinement.

Of all European kingdoms, Poland feems the most defective in the arts of war as well as peace, mechanical as well as liberal; yet it is there that venality and corruption do most prevail. The nobles feem to have preserved their crown elective for no other purpose, than regularly to fell it to the highest hidder. This is almost the only species of commerce with which that people are acquainted.

The liberties of England, fo far from decaying fince the improvements in the arts, have never flour-ished so much as during that period. And though corruption may seem to encrease of late years; this is chiefly to be ascribed to our established liberty, when our princes have found the impossibility of governing without parliaments, or of terrifying parliaments by the phantom of prerogative. Not to mention, that this corruption or venality prevails much more among the electors than the elected: and therefore cannot justly be ascribed to any refinements in luxury.

If we consider the matter in a proper light, we shall find, that a progress in the arts is rather favourable to liberty, and has a natural tendency to preserve, if not produce, a free government. In rude unpolished nations, where the arts are neglected, all labour is bestowed on the cultivation of the ground; and the whole society is divided into two classes, proprietors of land, and their vasials or tenants. The latter are necessarily dependent, and fitted for slavery and subjection; especially where they possess no riches, and are not valued for their knowledge in agriculture; as must always be the case where the arts are neglected. The former naturally creek themselves into petty tyrants; and must either submit to an absolute master, for the sake of peace and

order; or if they will preserve their independency. like the ancient barons, they must fall into feuds and contests among themselves, and throw the whole society into fuch confulion, as is perhaps worse than the most despotic government. But where luxury nourishes commerce and industry, the peasants, by a proper cultivation of the land, become rich and independent: while the tradefmen and merchants acquire a share of the property, and draw authority and confideration to that middling rank of men, who are the best and firmest basis of public liberty. These submit not to slavery, like the peasants, from poverty and meanness of spirit; and having no hopes of tyrannizing over others, like the barons, they are not tempted, for the lake of that gratification, to submit to the tyranny of their fovereign. They covet equal laws, which may fecure their property, and preferve them from monarchical, as well as ariftocratical tyranny.

The Lower House is the support of our popular government; and all the world acknowledges, that it owed its chief influence and consideration to the encrease of commerce, which threw such a balance of property into the hands of the Commons. How inconsistent then is it to blame so violently a refinement in the arts, and to represent it as the bane of liberty and public spirit!

To declaim against present times, and magnify the virtue of remote ancestors, is a propensity almost inherent in human nature: And as the sentiments and opinions of civilized ages alone are transmitted roposterity, hence it is that we meet with so many severe judgments pronounced against luxury, and even science; and hence it is that at present we give so ready an affent to them. But the fallacy is easily perceived, by comparing different nations that are contemporaries; where we both judge more impartially, and can better set in opposition those manners, with which we are sufficiently acquainted. Treach-

ery and cruelty, the most pernicious and most odious of all vices, seem peculiar to uncivilized ages; and, by the refined GREEKS and ROMANS, were ascribed to all the barbarous nations which turrounded them. They might justly, therefore, have presumed, that their own ancestors, so highly celebrated, possessed no greater virtue, and were as much inferior to their possesity in honour and humanity, as in taste and seience. An ancient Frank or Saxon may be highly "catolled: But I believe every man would think his life or fortune much less secure in the hands of a Moor or Tartar, than in those of a French or English gentleman, the rank of men the most civilized in the most civilized nations.

We come now to the fecond position which we proposed to illustrate, to wit, that, as innocent luxury, or a refinement in the arts and conveniences of life, is advantageous to the public; so wherever luxury ceates to be innocent, it also ceases to be beneficial; and when carried a degree farther, begins to be a quality pernicious, though, perhaps, not the most pernicious

to political fociety.

Let us confider what we call vicious luxury. gratification, however fenfual, can of itself be esteemed vicious. A gratification is only vicious, when it engroffes all a man's expence, and leaves no ability for such acts of duty and generosity as are required Avahis fituation and fortune. Suppose, that he corrict the vice, and employ part of his expence in the eflucation of his children, in the support of his friends and in relieving the poor; would any prejudice refult to fociety? On the contrary, the same consumption would arise; and that labour, which, at present, is employed only in producing a flender gratification to one man, would relieve the necessitous, and beflow fatisfaction on hundreds. The same care and toil that raise a dish of peas at Christmas, would give bread to a whole family during fix months. fay, that without a vicious luxury, the labour would not not have been employed at all, is only to fay, that there is some other defect in human nature, such as indolence, selfishness, inattention to others, for which luxury in some measure provides a remedy; as one poison may be an antidote to another. But virtue, like wholsome food, is better than poisons, however corrected.

Suppose the same number of men, that are at prefent in GREAT BRITAIN, with the same soil and climate; I ask. Is it not possible for them to be happier, by the most perfect way of life that can be imagined, and by the greatest reformation that Omnipotence itself could work in their temper and disposition? To affert that they cannot, appears evidently ridiculous. As the land is able to maintain more than all its prefent inhabitants, they could never, in fuch a Utopian state, feel any other ills than those which arise from bodily fickness: and these are not the half of human mileries. All other ills fpring from some vice, either in ourselves or others; and even many of our diseases proceed from the same origin. Remove the vices, and the ills follow. You must only take care to remove all the vices. If you remove part, you may render the matter worse. By banishing vicious luxury, without curing floth and an indifference to others, you only diminish industry in the state, and add nothing to mens charity or their generofity. Let us, therefore, rest contented with afferting, that two opposite vices in a state may be more advantageous than either of them alone; but let us never pronouncy vice in itself advantageous. Is it not very inconfifted ent for an author to affert in one page, that moral distinctions are inventions of politicians for public interest; and in the next page maintain, that vice is advantageous to the public \*? And indeed it feems, upon any fystem of morality, little less than a contradiction in terms, to talk of a vice, which is in general beneficial to fociety.

\* Fable of the Bees.



· I thought this reasoning necessary, in order to give foine light to a philosophical question, which has been much disputed in England. I call it a philo-Ephical question, not a political one. For whatever may be the confequence of fuch a miraculous tranfformation of mankind, as would endow them with every species of virtue, and free them from every species of vice; this concerns not the magistrate, who aims only at possibilities. He cannot cure every vice by substituting a virtue in its place. Very often he can only cure one vice by another; and in that case, he ought to prefer what is least pernicious to society. Luxury, when excessive, is the source of many ills; but is in general preferable to floth and idleneis, which would commonly fucceed in its place, and are more hurtful both to private perions and to the public. When floth reigns, a mean uncultivated way of life prevails amongst individuals, without society, without enjoyment. And if the fovereign, in fuch a fituation, demands the fervice of his fubjects, the labour of the state suffices only to furnish the necessaries of life to the labourers, and can afford nothing to thote ' who are employed in the public fervice.

# ESSAY III.

Of Money.

ONEY is not, properly speaking, one of the subjects of commerce; but only the instrument which men have agreed upon to facilitate the exchange of one commodity for another. It is none

of the wheels of trade: It is the oil which renders the motion of the wheels more fmooth and eafy. If we consider any one kingdom by itself, it is evident, that the greater or less plenty of money is of no confequence; fince the prices of commodities are always proportioned to the plenty of money, and a crownein HARRY Was time ferved the fame purpose as a mound does at present. It is only the public which aws any advantage from the greater plenty of money; and that only in its wars and negociations with foreign states. And this is the reason why all rich and trading countries from CARTAHGE to GREAT Britain and Holland, have employed mercenary troops, which they hired from their poorer neighbours. Were they to make use of their native subjects, they would find less advantage from their superior riches, and from their great plenty of gold and filver; fince the pay of all their fervants must rife in proportion to the public opulence. Our finall army of 20,000 men is maintained at as great expence as a French army twice as numerous. The Eng-LISH fleet, during the late war, required as much money to support it as all the Roman legions, which kept the whole world in subjection, during the time of the emperors \*.

The greater number of people, and their greater industry, are serviceable in all cases; at home and abroad, in private and in public. But the greater plenty of money, is very limited in its use, and may even sometimes be a loss to a nation in its commerve with foreigners.

There feems to be a happy concurrence of causes in human affairs, which checks the growth of trade and riches, and hinders them from being confined entirely to one people; as might naturally at first be dreaded from the advantages of an established commerce. Where one nation has gotten the start of another in trade, it is very difficult for the latter to regain

regain the ground it has loft; because of the superior industry and skill of the former, and the greater Hocks, c. which its merchants are possessed, and which enable them to trade on fo much fmaller pro-But these advantages are compensated, in some measures, by the low price of labour in every nation which has not an extensive commerce, does not much abound in gold and filver. Manufactures, therefore, gradually shift their places, leaving those countries and provinces which they have already enriched, and flying to others, whither they are allured by the cheapness of provisions and labour; till they have enriched these also, and are again banished by And, in general, we may observe. the fame causes. that the dearness of every thing, from plenty of money, is a difadvantage, which attends an effablished commerce, and fets bounds to it in every country, by enabling the poorer states to underfel the richer in all foreign markets.

This has made me entertain a doubt concerning the benefit of banks and paper-credit, which are to generally esteemed assumtageous to every nation.

That provisions and labour should become dear by the encrease of trade and money, is, in many respects, an inconvenience; but an inconvenience that is unavoidable, and the effect of that public wealth and prosperity which are the end of all our wishes. compensated by the advantages which we reap from the possession of these precious metals, and the weight which they give the nation in all foreign wars and regociations: But there appears no reason for encreasing that inconvenience by a counterfeit money, which foreigners will not accept of in any payment, and which any great disorder in the state will reduce to nothing. There are, it is true, many people in every rich state. who having large fums of money, would prefer paper with good fecurity; as being of more easy transport and more fafe custody. If the public provide not a bank, private bankers will take advantage of this circumstance; as the goldsmiths formerly did in Lon-. DON, or as the bankers do at prefent in DUBLIN: And therefore it is better, it may be thought, that a public company should enjoy the benefit of that paper-credit, which always will have place in every opulent kingdom. But to endeavour artificially to encrease h a credit, can never be the interest of. any tradica nation; but must lay them under disadvantages, by encreasing money beyond its natural proportion to labour and commodities, and thereby heightening their price to the merchant and manufacturer. And in this view, it must be allowed, that no bank could be more advantageous than fuch a one as locked up all the money it received, and never augmented the circulating coin, as is usual, by returning part of its treasure into commerce. A public bank, by this expedient, might cut off much of the dealings of private bankers and money-jobbers; and though the state bore the charge of falaries to the directors and tellers of this bank (for, according to the preceding supposition, it would have no profit from its dealings), the national advantage, refulting from the low price of labour and the destruction of papercredit, would be a fufficient compensation. Not to mention, that fo large a fum lying ready at command, would be a convenience in times of great public danger and diffress; and what part of it was used might be replaced at leifure, when peace and tranquillity was reftored to the nation.

But of this subject of paper-credit we shall treat more largely hereaster. And I shall finish this estay on money, by proposing and explaining two observations, which may, perhaps, serve to employ the thoughts of our speculative politicians.

It was a shrewd observation of Anacharsis † the Scythian, who had never seen money in his own country, that gold and silver seemed to him of no use

to

<sup>\*</sup> This is the case with the bank of Amsterdam.
† Plut. Quomodo quis suos professus in virtuse sentire possis.

to the GREEKS, but to affift them in numeration and arithmetic. It is indeed evident, that money is nothing but the representation of labour and commodities, and ferves only as a method of rating or effimating them. Where coin is in greater plenty, as 'a greater quantity of it is required to represent the fame quantity of goods; it can have no effect, either good or bad, taking a nation within itself, any more than it would make an alteration on a merchant's books. if, inflead of the Arabian method of notation, which requires few characters, he should make use of the Roman, which requires a great many. Nay, the greater quantity of money, like the ROMAN characters, is rather inconvenient, and requires greater trouble both to keep and transport it: But notwithflanding this conclution, which must be allowed just, it is certain, that, fince the discovery of the mines in AMERICA, industry has encreased in all the nations of EUROPE, except in the possessors of those mines; and this may justly be ascribed, amongst other reasons. to the increase of gold and silver. Accordingly we find that, in every kingdom into which money begins to flow in greater abundance than formerly, every thing takes a new face: labour and industry gain life; the merchant becomes more enterprising, the manufacturer more diligent and tkilful; and even the farmer follows his plough with greater alacrity and at-This is not eafily to be accounted for, if we consider only the influence which a greater abundance of abin has in the kingdom itself, by heightening the pride of commodities, and obliging every one to pay a greater number of these little yellow or white pieces for every thing he purchases: And as to foreign trade, it appears, that great plenty of money is rather difladvantageous, by raising the price of every kind of labour.

To account, then, for this phenomenon, we must consider, that though the high price of commodities be a necessary consequence of the encrease of gold Vol. I.

and filver, yet it follows not immediately upon that encrease; but some time is required before the money circulates through the whole state, and makes its effect be felt on all ranks of people. At first, no alteration is perceived; by degrees the price rifes, first. of one comodity, then of another; till the whole at last reaches a just proportion with the new quantity of specie which is in the kingdom. In my opinion, it is only in this interval or intermediate lituation. between the acquisition of money and rife of prices that the encreasing quantity of gold and filver is fa vourable to industry. When any quantity of mone is imported into a nation, it is not at first dispersed into many hands; but is confined to the coffers of a few perfors, who immediately feek to employ it to advantage. Here are a fet of manufacturers or merchants, we shall suppose, who have received returns of gold and filver for goods which they fent to CADIZ. They are thereby enabled to employ more workmen than formerly, who never dream of demanding higher wages, but are glad of employment from such good paymasters. If workmen become scarce, the manufacturer gives higher wages, but at first requires an encrease of labour; and this is willingly submitted to by the artizan, who can now eat and drink better, to compensate his additional toil and fatigue. carries his money to market, where he finds every thing at the same price as formerly, but returns with greater quantity and of better kinds, for the use of his family. The farmer and gardener, finding that all their commodities are taken off, apply themsdives with a acrity to the raifing more; and at the faire time can afford to take better and more clothes from their tradefmen, whose price is the same as formerly, and their industry only whetted by so much new gain. . It is easy to trace the money in its progress through the whole commonwealth; where we shall find, that it must first quicken the diligence of every individual, before it encieve the price of labour. And . And that the specie may encrease to a considerable pitch, before it have this latter effect, appears, amongst other instances, from the frequent operations of the French king on the money, where it was always found, that the augmenting of the numerary value did not produce a proportional rise of the prices, at least for some time. In the last year of Louis XIV. money was raised three-sevenths, but prices augmented only one. Corn in France is now fold at the same price, or for the same number of livres, it was in 1083; though filver was then at 30 livres the mark, and is now at 50\*. Not to mention the great addition of gold and filver which may have come into that kingdom since the former period.

From the whole of this reasoning, we may conclude. that it is of no manner of consequence, with regard to the domestic happiness of a state, whether money be in a greater or less quantity. The good policy of the magnifrate confifts only in keeping it, ir poinble. fill encreasing; because, by that means, he keeps alive a spirit of industry in the nation, and encreases the stock of labour, in which consists all real power and riches. A nation, whose money decreases, is actually, at that time, weaker and more miferable than another nation which poslesses no more money, but is on the encreasing hand. This will be easily accounted for, if we confider, that the alterations in the quantity of money, either on one fide or the other, are not immediately attended with proportionable alterations in the price of commodities. There is always an intorval before matters be adjusted to their new situation; and this interval is as pernicious to industry, when gold and filver are diminishing, as it is advantageous when these metals are encreasing. The workman has not the same employment from the manufacturer and merchant; though he pays the same price for every. thing in the market. The farmer cannot dispose of his corn and cattle; though he must pay the same rent R 2

to his landlord. The poverty, and beggary, and floth; which must ensue, are easily foreseen.

II. The fecond observation which I proposed to make with regard to money, may be explained after the following manner: There are fome kingdoms, and many provinces in Europe (and all of them were once in the fame condition), where money is fo fcarce, that the landlord can get none at all from his tenants; but is obliged to take his rent in kind, and either to confume it himself, or transport it to places where he may find a market. In those countries, the prince can levy few or no taxes but in the fame manner And as he will receive small benefit from impositions fo paid, it is evident that fuch a kingdom has little force even at home; and cannot maintain fleets and armics to the same extent, as if every part of it abounded in gold and filver. There is furely a greater difproportion between the force of Germany at present, and what it was three centuries ago\*, than there is in its industry, people, and manufactures. The Au-STRIAN dominions in the empire are in general well peopled and well cultivated, and are of great extent; but have not a proportionable weight in the balance of Europe; proceeding, as is commonly supposed, from the scarcity of money. How do all these facts agree with that principle of reason, that the quantity of gold and filver is in itself altogether indifferent? According to that principle, wherever a fovereign has numbers of fubjects, and these have plenty of commodities, he should of course be great and powerful, and they rich and happy, independent of the greater or leffer abundance of the precious metal-These admit of divisions and subdivisions to a great extent; and where the pieces might become fo small as to be in danger of being loft, it is easy to mix the gold or filver with a baser metal, as it practised in fome countries of Europe; and by that means raise

<sup>\*</sup> The ITALIANS gave to the Emperor Maximilian the nickname of Pocci-da iari. None of the enterprises of that prince ever succeeded for want of money.

They still serve the same purposes of exchange, whatever their number may be, or whatever colour they

may be supposed to have.

To these difficulties I answer, that the effect here supposed to flow from scarcity of money, really arises from the manners and customs of the people; and that we mistake, as is too usual, a collateral effect for a cause. The contradiction is only apparent; but it requires some thought and resection to discover the principles, by which we can reconcile reason to experience.

It seems a maxim almost self evident, that the prices of every thing depend on the proportion between commodities and money, and that any considerable alteration on either, has the same effect, either of heightening or lowering the price. Encrease the commodities, they become cheaper; encrease the money, they rise in their value. As, on the other hand, a diminution of the former, and that of the

latter, have contrary tendencies.

It is also evident, that the prices do not so much depend on the absolute quantity of commodities and that of money, which are in a nation, as on that of the commodities which come or may come to market, and of the money which circulates. If the coin be locked up in chefts, it is the fame thing with regard to prices, as if it were annihilated; if the commodities be hearded in magazines and granaries, a like effect follows. As the money and commodities, in these cases, never meet, they cannot affect each other. Were we, at any time, to form conjectures concerning the price of provisions, the corn, which the farmer must reserve for seed and for the maintenance of himself and family, ought never to enter into the estimation. It is only the overplus, compared to the demand, that determines the value.

To apply these principles, we must consider, that, in the first and more uncultivated ages of any state, ere fancy has confounded her wants with those of na-

ture, men, content with the produce of their own fields, or with those rude improvements which they themselves can work upon them, have little occasion for exchange, at least for money, which, by agreement, is the common measure of exchange. The wool of the farmer's own flock, foun in his own family, and wrought by a neighbouring weaver, who receives his payment in corn or wool, fuffices for furniture and cloathing. The carpenter, the fmith, the mason, the tailor, are retained by wages of a like nature; and the landlord himself, dwelling in the neighbourhood, is content to receive his rent in the commodities raised by the farmer. The greater part of these he consumes at home in rustic hospitality: The rest, perhaps, he disposes of for money to the neighbouring town, whence he draws the few materials of his expence and luxury.

But after men begin to refine on all these enjoyments, and live not always at home, nor are content with what can be raifed in their neighbourhood, there is more exchange and commerce of all kinds, and more money enters into that exchange. The tradefmen will not be paid in corn; because they want fomething more than barley to eat. The farmer goes beyond his own parish for the commodities he purchases, and cannot always carry his commodities to the merchant who supplies him. The landlord lives in the capital, or in a foreign country; and demands his rent in gold and filver, which can eafily be transported to him. Great undertakers, and manufacturers, and merchants, arise in every commodity; and these can conveniently deal in nothing but in specie. And confequently, in this fituation of fociety, the coin enters into many more contracts, and by that means is much more employed than in the former.

The ncceffgry effect is, that, provided the money encrease not in the nation, every thing must become much cheaper in times of industry and refinement, than in rude, uncultivated ages. It is the proportion between

tween the circulating money and the commodities in the market, which determines the prices. that are confumed at home, or exchanged with other goods in the neighbourhood, never come to market; they affect not in the least the current specie; with regard to it they are as if totally annihilated; and confequently this method of using them links the proportion on the fide of the commodities, and encreases the prices. But after money enters into all contracts and fales, and is every where the measure of exchange, the same national cash has a much greater task to perform; all commodities are then in the market; the fphere of circulation is enlarged; it is the same case, as if that individual sum were to ferve a larger kingdom; and therefore, the proportion being here lessened on the side of the money. every thing must become cheaper, and the prices gradually fall.

By the most exact computations, that have been formed all over Europe, after making allowance for the alteration in the numerary value or the denomination, it is found, that the prices of all things have only rifen three, or, at most, four times, fince the discovery of the WEST INDIES. But will any one affert, that there is not much more than four times the coin in Europe, that was in the fifteenth century, and the centuries preceding it? The SPANIARDS and Portuguese from their mines, the English, FRENCH, and DUTCH, by their AFRICAN trade and by their interlopers in the WEST INDIES, bring home about fix millions a year, of which not above a third goes to the EAST INDIES. This fum alone, in ten years, would probably double the ancient stock of money in Europe. And no other fatisfactory reason can be given, why all prices have not rifen to a much more exorbitant height, except that which is derived from whange of customs and manners. Besides that more commodities are produced by additional industry. the same commodities come more to market, after men

depart from their ancient simplicity of manners. A though this increase has not been equal to that many, it has, however, been considerable, and has preserved the proportion between coin and commodities nearer the ancient standard.

Were the quetion proposed, Which of these 1.4 thods of living in the people, the simple or refined, 1 is the most advantageous to the state or public? I should, without much scruple, prefer the latter, in a view to politics at least; and should produce this as an additional reason for the encouragement of trade and manufactures.

. While men live in the ancient simple manner, and supply all their necessaries from domestic industry or from the neighbourhood, the fovereign can levy no taxes in money from a confiderable part of his fubjects; and if he will impose on them any burdens, he must take payment in commodities, with which alone they abound; a method attended with fuch great and obvious inconveniences, that they need not here be infifted on. All the money he can pretend to raife, must be from his principal cities, where alone it circulates; and these, it is evident, cannot afford him fo much as the whole state could, did gold and filver circulate throughout the whole. But befides this obvious diminution of the revenue, there is another cause of the poverty of the public in such a fituation. Not only the fovereign receives less money, but the same money goes not for far as in times of industry and general commerce. Every thing is dearer, where the gold and filver are supposed equal; and that because fewer commodities come to market. and the whole coin bears a higher proportion to what is to be purchased by it; whence alone the prices of every thing are fixed and determined.

Here then we may learn the fallacy of the remark, often to be met with in historians, and even in common convertation, that any particular state is weak, though fertile, populous, and well cultivated, merely

because

For men and commodities are the real strength of any community. It is the simple manner of living

ich here hurts the public, by confining the gold de filver to few hands, and preventing its universal diffusion and circulation. On the contrary, industry and refinements of all kinds incorporate it with the whole state, however small its quantity may be: They digest it into every vein, so to speak; and make it enter into every transaction and contract. No hand is entirely empty of ... And as the prices of every thing fall by that means, the sovereign has a double advantage: He may draw money by his taxes from

every part of the state; and what he receives, goes

farther in every purchase and payment.

We may inter, from a comparison of prices, that money is not more plentiful in China than it was in Europe three centuries ago: But what immense power is that empire possessed of, if we may judge by the civil and military establishment maintained by it? Polybius\* tells us, that provisions were so cheap in ITALY during his time, that in fome places the flated price for a meal at the inn was a femis a-head, little more than a farthing! Yet the Roman power had eyen then fubdued the whole known world. a century before that period, the CARTHAGINIAN ambaffador faid, by way of raillery, that no people lived more fociably amongst themselves than the Ro-MANS; for that, in every entertainment, which, as foreign ministers, they received, they still observed the same plate at every table t. The absolute quantity of the precious metals is a matter of great indifference. There are only two circumstances of any importance, namely, their gradual increase, and their thorough concoction and circulation through the state; and the influence of both those circumstances has here been explained.

<sup>\*</sup> Lib. ii. cap. 15. † Plin. lib. xxxiii. cap. 11.

In the following Essay we shall see an instance be a like fallacy as that above mentioned; where a collateral essect is taken for a cause, and where a consequence is ascribed to the plenty of money; though it be really owing to a change in the manners and cultoms of the people.

## ESSAY IV.

## Of INTEREST.

flourishing condition of any nation than the lowness of interest: And with reason; though I believe the cause is somewhat different from what is commonly apprehended. Lowness of interest is generally ascribed to plenty of money. But money, however plentiful, has no other effect, if fixed, than to raise the price of labour. Silver is more common than gold, and therefore you receive a greater quantity of it for the same commodities. But do you pay less interest for it? Interest in Batavia and Jamaica is at 10 per cent. in Portugal at 6; though these places, as we may learn from the prices of every thing, abound more in gold and silver than either London or Amsterdam.

Were all the gold in England annihilated at once, and one and twenty shillings substituted in the place of every guinea, would money be more plentiful or interest lower? No surely: We should only use filver instead of gold. Were gold rendered accommon as silver, and silver as common as copper; would money be more plentiful or interest lower? We may assuredly

affuredly give the fame answer. Our shillings would then be yellow, and our halfpence white; and we should have no guineas. No other difference would be observed; no alteration on commerce, manutes, navigation, or interest; unless we imagine, the colour of the metal is of any consequence.

Now, what is so visible in these greater variations of scarcity or abundance in the precions metals, must hold in all inserior changes. If the multiplying of gold and silver sisteen times makes no difference, much less can the doubling or tripling them. All augmentation has no other effect than to heighten the price of labour and commodities; and even this variation is little more than that of a name. In the progress towards these changes, the augmentation may have some influence, by exciting industry; but after the prices are settled, suitably to the new abundance of gold and silver, it has no manner of instituence.

An effect always holds proportion with its causa. Prices have risen near four times since the discovery of the INDIES; and it is probable gold and silver have multiplied much more: But interest has not fallen much above half. The rate of interest, therefore, is not derived from the quantity of the precious metals.

Money having chiefly a fictitious value, the greater or less plenty of it is of no consequence, if we consider a nation within itself; and the quantity of specie, when once fixed, though ever so large, has no other effect, that to oblige every one to tell out a greater number of those shining bits of metal, for clothes, surniture, or equipage, without encreasing any one convenience of life. If a man borrow money to build a house, he then carries home a greater load; because the stone, timber, lead, glass, &c. with the sabour of the masons and carpenters, are represented by a greater quantity of gold and silver. But as these metals are considered chiefly as representations, there can no alteration arise, from their bulk or quantity.

quantity, their weight or colour, either upon their real value or their interest. The same interest, in all cases, bears the same proportion to the sum. And if you lent me so much labour and so many commodities, by seceiving sive per cent. you always receive proportional labour and commodities, however represented, whether by yellow or white coin, whether by a pound or an ounce. It is in vain, therefore, to look for the cause of the fall or rise of interest in the greater or less quantity of gold and silver, which is fixed in any nation.

High interest arises from three circumstances: A great demand for borrowing; little riches to supply that demand; and great profits arising from commerce: And these circumstances are a clear proof of the small advance of commerce and industry, not of the scarcity of gold and silver. Low interest, on the other hand, proceeds from the three opposite circumstances: A small demand for borrowing; great riches to supply that demand, and small profits arising from commerce. And these circumstances are all connected together, and proceed from the encrease of industry and commerce, not of gold and silver. We shall endeavour to prove these points; and shall begin with the causes and the effects of a great or small demand for borrowing.

When a people have emerged ever so little from a savage state, and their numbers have encreased beyond the original multitude, there must immediately arise an inequality of property; and while some possess large tracts of land, others are consided within narrow limits, and some are entirely without any landed property. Those who possess more land than they can labour, employ those who possess none, and agree to receive a determined part of the product. Thus the landed interest is immediatly established; nor is there any settled government, however rude, in which assairs are not on this sooting. Of these proprietors of land, some must presently discover

3

themselves to be of different tempers from others; and while one would willingly store up the produce of his land for futurity, another defires to confume at prefent what should suffice for many years. as the spending of a settled revenue is a way of life entirely without occupation; men have fo much need of fomewhat to fix and engage them, that pleafures, fuch as they are, will be the purfuit of the greater part of the landholders, and the prodigals among them will always be more numerous than the mifers. In a flate, therefore, where there is nothing but a landed interest, as there is little frugality, the borrowers must be very numerous, and the rate of interest must hold proportion to it. The difference depends not on the quantity of money, but on the habits and manners which prevail. By this alone the demand for borrowing is encreased or diminished. Were money fo plentiful as to make an egg be fold for fixpence; fo long as there are only landed gentry and peafants in the state, the borrowers must be numerous, and interest high. The rent for the same farm would be heavier and more bulky: But the fame idleness of the landlord, with the higher price of commodities, would diffipate it in the same time. and produce the same necessity and demand for borrowing.

Nor is the case different with regard to the second circumstance which we proposed to consider, namely, the great or little riches to supply the demand. This effect also depends on the habits and way of living of the people, not on the quantity of gold and silver. In order to have, in any state, a greater number of lenders, it is not sufficient nor requisite, that there be great abundance of the precious metals. It is only requisite, that the property or command of that quantity, which is in the state, whether great or small, should be collected in particular hands, so as to form confiderable sums, or compose a great monied interest. This begets a number of lenders, and sinks the

rate of usury; and this, I shall venture to affirm, depends not on the quantity of specie, but on particular manners and customs, which make the specie gather into seperate sums or masses of considerable value.

For suppose, that, by miracle, every man in GREAT BRITAIN should have five pounds slipt into his pocket in one night; this would much more than double the whole money that is as prefent in the kingdom: yet there would not next day, nor for some time, be any more lenders, nor any variation in the interest. And were there nothing but landlords and peafants in the state, this money, however abundant, could never gather into fums; and would only ferve to encrease the prices of every thing, without any farther confequence. The prodigal landlord diffinates it, as fast as he receives it; and the beggarly peasant has no means, nor view, nor ambition, of obtaining above a bare livelihood. The overplus of borrowers above that of lenders continuing still the same, there will follow no reduction of interest. That depends upon another principle; and must proceed from an encrease of industry and frugality, of arts and commerce.

Every thing useful to the life of man arises from the ground; but few things arise in that condition which is requisite to render them useful. must, therefore, beside the peasants and the proprietors of land, be another rank of men, who, receiving from the former the rude materials, work them into their proper form, and retain part for their own use and subfiftence. In the infancy of society, these contracts between the artisans and the peasants, and between one species of artisans and another care commonly entered into immediately by the persons themselves, who, being neighbours, are easily acquainted with each other's necessities, and can lend their mutual affiftance to supply them. But when mens industry encreases, and their views enlarge, it is found that the most remote parts of the state can affift each other as well as the more contiguous, and

that this intercourse of good offices may be carried on to the greatest extent and intricacy. Hence the origin of merchants, one of the most useful races of men, who ferve as agents between those parts of the flate, that are wholly unacquainted, and are ignorant of each other's necessities. Here are in a city fifty workmen in filk and linen, and a thousand customers; and these two ranks of men, so necessary to each other, can never rightly meet, till one man erects a shop, to which all the workmen and all the customers In this province grafs rifes in abundance: The inhabitants abound in cheefe, and butter, and cattle; but want bread and corn, which in a neighbouring province are in too great abundance for the use of the inhabitants. One man discovers this. brings corn from the one province, and returns with cattle; and, supplying the wants of both, he is, so far, a common benefactor. As the people encrease in numbers and industry, the difficulty of their intercourse encreases: The business of the agency or merchandize becomes more intricate; and divides. fubdivides, compounds, and mixes to a greater varie-In all these transactions, it is necessary and reafonable, that a confiderable part of the commodities and labour should belong to the merchant, to whom, in a great measure, they are owing. And these commodities he will fometimes preferve in kind, or more commonly convert into money, which is their common representation. If gold and filver have encreafed in the state together with the industry, it will require a great quantity of these metals to represent a great quality of commodities and labour. dustry alone has encreased, the prices of every thing must fink, and a small quantity of specie will serve as a representation.

There is no craving or demand of the human mind more conftant and infatiable than that for exercise and employment; and this defire seems the foundation of most of our passions and pursuits. Deprive a man of all business and serious occupation, he runs reftless from one amusement to another; and the weight and oppression which he feels from idleness, is fo great, that he forgets the ruin which must follow him from his immoderate expences. Give him a more harmless way of employing his mind or body, he is fatisfied, and feels no longer that infatiable thirst after pleasure. But if the employment you give him be lucrative, especially if the profit be attached to every particular exertion of industry, he has gain fo often in his eye, that he acquires, by degrees, a passion for it, and knows no such pleasure as that of feeing the daily increase of his fortune. And this is the reason why trade encreases frugality, and why, among merchants, there is the same overplus of mifers above prodigals, as, among the poffeffers of land, there is the contrary.

Commerce encreases industry, by conveying it readily from one member of the flate to another, and allowing none of it to perish or become useless. encreases frugality, by giving occupation to men, and employing them in the arts of gain; which foon engage their affection, and remove all relish for pleafure and expence. It is an infallible confequence of all industrious professions, to beget frugality, and make the love of gain prevail over the love of pleafure. Among lawyers and physicians who have any practice, there are many more who live within their income, than who exceed it, or even live up to it. But lawyers and physicians beget no industry; and it is even at the expence of others they acquire their riches; fo that they are fure to diminish the posletfions of fome of their fellow-citizens, as fait as they encrease their own. Merchants, on the contrary, beget industry, by ferving as canals to convey it through every corner of the state: And at the same time, by their frugality, they acquire great power over that industry, and collect a large property in the labour and commodities, which they are the chief. instruments'

inftruments in producing. There is no other profesfion. therefore, except merchandize, which can make the monied interest considerable, or, in other words, can encrease industry, and, by also encreasing frugality, give a great demand of that industry to particular members of the fociety. Without commerce. the flate must consist chiefly of landed gentry, whose prodigality and expence make a continual demand for borrowing; and of peafants, who have no fumd to supply that demand. The money never gathers into large flocks or fums, which can be lent at inte-It is dispersed into numberless hands, who either squander it in idle show and magnificence, or employ it in the purchase of the common necessaries Commerce alone affembles it into confiderable fums; and this effect it has merely from the industry which it begets, and the frugality which it inspires, independent of that particular quantity of precious metal which may circulate in the state.

Thus an increase of commerce, by an ecessary consequence, raises a great number of lenders, and by that means produces lowness of interest. We must now consider how far this encrease of commerce diminishes the profits arising from that profession, and gives rise to the third circumstance requisite to produce lowness

of interest.

It may be proper to observe on this head, that low interest and low profits of merchandize, are two events that mutually forward each other, and are both originally derived from that extensive commerce which produces opulent merchants, and renders the monied interest confiderable. Where merchants possess great stocks, whether represented by sew or many pieces of metal, it must frequently happen, that, when they either become tired of business, or leave heirs unwilling or unsit to engage in commerce, a great proportion of these riches naturally seeks an annual and secure revenue. The plenty diminishes the price, and makes the lenders accept of a low interest. This

confideration obliges many to keep their stock employed in trade, and rather be content with low profits, than dispose of their money at an undervalue. On the other hand, when commerce has become extensive, and employs large stocks, there must arise rivalships among the merchants, which diminish the profits of trade, at the same time that they encrease the trade itself. The low profits of merchandize induce the merchants to accept more willingly of a low interest, when they leave off business, and begin to indulge themselves in ease and indolence. It is needless, therefore, to inquire which of these circumstances, to wit, low interest or low profits, is the cause, and which the effect. They both arise from an extensive commerce, and mutually forward each other. No man will accept of low profits, where he can have high interest; and no man will accept of low interest, where he can have high profits. An extenfive commerce, by producing large flocks, diminishes both interest and profits; and is always assisted in its diminution of the one by the proportional finking of the other. I may add, that, as low profits arise from the encrease of commerce and industry, they ferve in their turn to its farther encrease, by rendering the commodities cheaper, encouraging the confumption, and heightening the industry. And thus, if we consider the whole connection of causes and effects, interest is the barometer of the state, and its lowness is a fign almost infallible of the flourishing condition of a people. It proves the encrease of induftry, and its prompt circulation through the whole state, little inferior to a demonstration. And though perhaps, it may not be impossible but a sudden and a great check to commerce may have a momentary effect of the fame kind, by throwing fo many flocks out of trade; it must be attended with such misery and want of employment in the poor, that, befides it thort duration, it will not be possible to mistake the one case for the other.. Thor.

· Those who have afferted, that the plenty of money was the cause of low interest, seem to have taken a collateral effect for a cause; since the same industry which finks the interest, commonly acquires great abundance of the precious metals. A variety of fine manufactures, with vigilant enterprizing merchants. wix foon draw money to a flate, if it be any where to be found in the world. The same cause, by multiplying the conveniences of life, and encreasing induffey, collects great riches into the hands of persons. who are not proprietors of land, and produces by that means a lowness of interest: But though both these effects, plenty of money and low interest, naturally arife from commerce and industry, they are altogether independent of each other. For suppose a nation removed into the Pacific ocean, without any foreign commerce, or any knowledge of navigation; suppose, that this nation possesses always the same stock of coin, but is continually encreasing in its numbers and industry: It is evident, that the price of every commodity must gradually diminish in that kingdom; fince it is the proportion between money and any species of goods which fixes their mutual value; and upon the prefent supposition, the conveniences of life become every day more abundant, without any alteration in the current specie. A less quantity of money, therefore, among this people, will make a rich man, during the times of industry, than would fuffice to that purpose in ignorant and slothful ages. Less money will build a house, portion a daughter, buy an eftate, support a manufactory, or maintain a family and equipage. These are the uses for which men borrow money; and therefore, the greater or less quantity of it in a state has no influence on the interest: But it is evident, that the greater or less stock of labour and commodities must have a great influence; fince we really and in effect borrow. (these-when we take money upon interest. It is true, when commerce is extended all over the globe, the  $S_{\mathbf{a}}$ most

most industrious nations always abound most with the precious metals: So that low interest and plenty of money are in fact almost inseperable. But still it is of consequence to know the principle whence any phenomenon arises, and to distinguish between a cause and a concomitant effect. Besides that the speculation is curious, it may frequently be of use in the conduct of public affairs. At least, it must be owned, that nothing can be of more use than to improve, by practice, the method of reasoning on these subjects, which of all others are the most important; though they are commonly treated in the loosest and most careless manner.

Another reason of this popular mistake with regard to the cause of low interest, seems to be the instance of some nations; where, after a sudden acquisition of money or of the precious metals, by means of foreign conquest, the interest has fallen, not only among them, but in all the neighbouring states, as soon as that money was dispersed, and had infinuated itself into every corner. Thus, interest in Spain sell near half immediately after the discovery of the West Indies, as we are informed by Garcilasso de la Vega: And it has been ever since gradually sinking in every kingdom of Europe. Interest in Rome, after the conquest of Egypt, sell trom 6 to 4 per cent. as we learn from Dion\*.

The causes of the sinking of interest, upon such an event, seem different in the conquering country and in the neighbouring states; but in neither of them can we justly ascribe that effect merely to the encrease of gold and silver.

In the conquering country, it is natural to imagine, that this new acquisition of money will fall into a few hands, and be gathered into large sums, which seek a secure revenue, either by the purchase of land, or by interest; and consequently the same effect follows, for a litte time, as if there had been a great accession of

of industry and commerce. The encrease of lenders above the borrowers finks the interest; and so much the faster, if those, who have acquired those large fums, find no industry or commerce in the state, and no method of employing their money but by lending it at interest. But after this new mass of gold 'and filver has been digested, and has circulated through the whole state, affairs will foon return to their former fituation; while the landlords and new money-holders, living idly, fquander above their income; and the former daily contract debt, and the latter encroach on their stock till its final extinction. The whole money may still be in the state, and make itself felt by the encrease of prices: But not being now collected into any large maffes or stocks. the disproportion between the borrowers and lenders is the fame as formerly, and confequently the high interest returns.

Accordingly we find, in Rome, that so early as TIBERIUS's time, interest had again mounted to 6\* per cent. though no accident had happened to drain the empire of money. In Trajan's time, money lent on mortgages in ITALY bore 6 per cent; on common fecurities in BITHYNIA, 121. And if interest in Spain has not rifen to its old pitch, this can be afcribed to nothing but the continuance of the same cause that funk it, to wit, the large fortunes continually made in the Indies, which come over to Spain from time to time, and fupply the demand of the borrowers. By this accidental and extraneous cause, more money is to be lent in Spain, that is, more money is collected into large fums, than would otherwise be found in a flate, where there are fo little commerce and induflry.

As to the reduction of interest, which has followed in England, France, and other kingdoms of Eu. S 3

<sup>\*</sup> COLUMELLA, lib. iii. cap. 3. † PLINII epist. lib. vii. ep. 18. ‡ Id. lib., ep. 62.

ROPE, that have no mines, it has been gradual; and has not proceeded from the encrease of money, considered merely in itself; but from that of industry, which is the natural effect of the former encrease, in that interval, before it raifes the price of labour and pro-For to return to the foregoing supposition: if the industry of England had rifen as much from other cathes (and that rife might cafily have happened, though the flock of money had remained the fame), must not all the same consequences have followed which we observe at present? The same people would. in that case, be found in the kingdom, the same commodities, the fame industry, manufactures, and commerce; and confequently, the fame merchants with the fame stocks, that is, with the same command over labour and commodities, only represented by a finaller number of white or yellow pieces, which being a circumstance of no moment, would only affect the waggoner, porter, and trunk-maker. Luxury, therefore, manufactures, arts, industry, frugality, flourishing equally as at prefent, it is evident, that interest must also have been as low; fince that is the necessary result of all these circumstances; so far as they determine the profits of commerce, and the proportion between the borrowers and lenders in any flor-

## ESSAY V.

Of the BALANCE of TRADE.

of commerce, to prohibit the exportation of commodities, and to preferve among themselves whatever

they think valuable and useful. They do not consider, that, in this prohibition, they act directly contrary to their intention; and that the more is exported of any commodity, the more will be raited at home, of which they themselves will always have the first offer.

" It is well-known to the learned, that the ancient laws of Athens rendered the exportation of figs criminal; that being supposed a species of fruit so excellent in Attica, that the Athenians deemed it too delicious for the palate of any foreigner. And in this ridiculous prohibition they were fo much in earnest, that informers were thence called fycophants among them, from two GREEK words which fignify figs and discoverer\*. There are proofs in many old acts of parliament of the fame ignorance in the nature of commerce, particularly in the reign of EDWARD III. And to this day, in France, the exportation of corn is almost always prohibited; in order, as they say, to prevent famines; though it is evident, that nothing contributes more to the frequent famines which to much diffress that fertile country.

The fame jealous fear, with regard to money, has also prevailed among several nations; and it required both reason and experience to convince any people, that these prohibitions serve to no other purpose than to raise the exchange against them, and produce a still greater exportation.

These errors, one may say, are gross and palpable: But there still prevails, even in nations well acquainted with commerce, a strong jealously with regard to the balance of trade, and a fear, that all their gold and silver may be leaving them. This scems to me, almost in every case, a groundless apprehension; and I should as soon dread, that all our springs and rivers should be exhausted, as that money should abandon a kingdom where there are people and industry.

S 4 Let

Let us carefully preserve these latter advantages; and we need never be apprehensive of losing the former.

It is easy to observe, that all calculations concerning the balance of trade are founded on very uncertain facts and suppositions. The custom-house books are allowed to be an insufficient ground of reasoning, nor is the rate of exchange much better, unless we consider it with all nations, and know also the proportions of the several sums remitted; which one may safely pronounce impossible, Every man, who has ever reasoned on this subject, has always proved his theory, whatever it was, by facts and calculations, and by an enumeration of all the commodities sent to all foreign kingdoms.

The writings of Mr. Gee struck the nation with an universal panic, when they saw it plainly demonstrated, by a detail of particulars, that the balance was against them for so considerable a sum as must leave them without a single shilling in five or six years. But, luckily, twenty years have since elapsed, with an expensive foreign war; yet it is commonly supposed, that money is still more plentiful among us

than in any former period.

Nothing can be more entertaining on this head than Dr. Swift; an author fo quick in discerning the mistakes and absurdaties of others. He says, in his Short View of the State of IRELAND, that the whole cash of that kingdom formerly amounted but to 500,000 l.; that out of this the IRISH remitted every year a neat million to England, and had scarcely any other source from which they could compensate themselves, and little other foreign trade than the importation of French wines, for which

paid ready money. The consequence of this situation, which must be owned to be disadvantageous, was, that, in a course of three years, the current money of IRELAND, from 500,000 l. was reduced to less than two. And at present, I suppose, in a course

cf

of 30 years, it is absolutely nothing. Yet I know not how that opinion of the advance of riches in IRELAND, which gave the Doctor so much indignation, seems still to continue, and gain ground with every body.

In short, this apprehension of the wrong balance of trade, appears of such a nature, that it discovers in the property of this event, as long as we preserve the impossibility of this event, as long as we preserve

our people and our industry.

Suppose four-fifths of all the money in GREAT BRITAIN to be annihilated in one night, and the nation reduced to the same condition, with regard to specie, as in the reigns of the HARRYS and EDWARDS, what would be the confequence? Must not the price of all labour and commodities fink in proportion, and every thing be fold as cheap as they were in those ages? What nation could then dispute with us in any foreign market, or pretend to navigate or to fell manufactures at the same price, which to us would afford fufficient profit? In how little time. therefore, must this bring back the money which we had loft, and raife us to the level of all the neighbouring nations? Where, after we have arrived, we immediately lose the advantage of the cheapnels of labour and commodities; and the farther flowing in of money is stopped by our fulness and repletion.

Again, suppose, that all the money of GREAT BRITAIN were multiplied fivefold in a night, must not the contrary effect follow? Must not all labour and commodities rise to such an exorbitant height, that no neighbouring nations could afford to buy from us; while their commodities, on the other hand, became comparatively so cheap, that, in spite of all the laws which could be formed, they would be run in upon

us, and our money flow out; till we fall to a level with foreigners, and lose that great superiority of riches, which had laid us under such disadvantages?

Now, it is evident, that the same causes, which would correct these exorbitant inequalities, were they to happen miraculously, must prevent their happening in the common course of nature, and must for ever, in all neighbouring nations, preserve money nearly proportionable to the art and industry of each nation. All water, wherever it communicates, remains always at a level. Ask naturalists the reason; they tell you, that, were it to be raised in any one place, the superior gravity of that part not being balanced must depress it, till it meet a counterposise; and that the same cause, which redresses the inequality when it happens, must for ever prevent it, without some violent external operation\*.

Can one imagine, that it had ever been possible, by any laws, or even by any art or industry, to have kept all the money in Spain, which the galleons brought from the Indies? Or that all commodities could be fold in FRANCE for a tenth of the price which they would yield on the other fide of the Pyrenees, without finding their way thither, and draining from that immense treasure? What other reason, indeed, is there, why all nations, at present, gain in their trade with SPAIN and PORTUGAL; but because it is impossible to heap up money, more than any fluid, beyond its proper level? The fovereigns of these countries have shown, that they wanted not inclination to keep their gold and filver to themselves, had it been in any degree practicable.

But

<sup>\*</sup> There is another cause, though more limited in its operation, which checks the wrong balance of trade, to every particular nation to which the kingdom trades. When we import more goods than we export, the exchange turns against us, and this becomes a new encouragement to export; as much as the charge of carriage and insurance of the money which becomes due would amount to. For: the exchange can never rise but a little higher than that sum.

But as any body of water may be raifed above the level of the furrounding element, if the former has no communication with the latter; fo in money, if the communication be cut off by any material or phyfical impediment (for all laws alone are ineffectual), there may, in fuch a case, be a very great ineequality of money. Thus the immense distance of CHINA, together with the monopolies of our INDIA companies, obstructing the communication, preferve in EUROPE the gold and filver, especially the latter. in much greater plenty than they are found in that kingdom. But, notwithstanding this great obstruction, the force of the causes above mentioned is still evident. The skill and ingenuity of Europe in general furpasses perhaps that of CHINA, with regard to manual arts and manufactures: yet are we never able to trade thither without great difadvantage. And were it not for the continual recruits which we receive from America, money would foon fink in EUROPE, and rife in CHINA, till it came nearly to a level in both places. Nor can any reasonable man doubt, but that industrious nation, were they as near us as Poland or Barbary, would drain us of the overplus of our fpecie, and draw to themselves a larger share of the West Indian treasures. We need not have recourse to a physical attraction, in order to explain the necessity of this operation. There is a moral attraction, arising from the interests and passions of men, which is full as potent and infallible.

How is the balance kept in the provinces of every kingdom among themselves, but by the force of this principle, which makes it impossible for money to lose its level, and either to rise or sink beyond the proportion of the labour and commodities which are in each province? Did not long experience make people easy on this head, what a fund of gloomy reflections might calculations afford to a melancholy YERKSHIREMAN, while he computed and magnified

the fums drawn to London by taxes, absentees. commodities, and found on comparison the opposite articles so much inferior? And no doubt, had the Heptarchy subsisted in England, the legislature of each flate had been continually alarmed by the fear of a wrong balance; and as it is probable that the inutual hatred of these states would have been extremely violent on account of their close neighbourhood, they would have loaded and oppressed all commerce, by a jealous and fuperfluous caution. the Union has removed the barriers between Scot-LAND and ENGLAND, which of these nations gains from the other by this free commerce? Or if the former kingdom has received any encrease of riches. can it reasonably be accounted for by any thing but the encrease of its art and industry? It was a common apprehension in England, before the Union, as we learn from L'ABBE DU Bos\*, that Scotland would foon drain them of their treasure, were an open trade allowed; and on the other fide the Tweed a contrary apprehension prevailed: With what juffice in both, time has shown.

What happens in finall portions of mankind, must take place in greater. The provinces of the ROMAN empire, no doubt, kept their balance with each other. and with ITALY, independent of the legislature; as much as the feveral counties of GREAT BRITAIN, or the feveral parishes of each county. And any man who travels over Europe at this day, may fee, by the prices of commodities, that money, in spite of the abfurd realoufy of princes and states, has brought itself nearly to a level; and that the difference between one kingdom and another is not greater in this respect, than it is often between different provinces of the same kingdom. Men naturally flock to capital cities, fea-ports, and navigable rivers. find more men, more industry, more commodities. and confequently more money; but still the latter difference.

<sup>\*</sup> Les interets d' Angleterre mal-entendus.

difference holds proportion with the former, and the

level is preferved \*.

Our jealoufy and our hatred of France are without bounds: and the former fentiment, at least, must be acknowledged reasonable and well grounded. These passions have occasioned innumerable barriers and obstructions upon commerce, where we are accufed of being commonly the aggrefiors. But what have we gained by the bargain? We lost the French market for our woollen manufactures, and transferred the commerce of wine to SPAIN and PORTUGAL, where we buy worse liquor at a higher price. There are few Englishmen who would not think their country absolutely ruined, were French wines told in ENGLAND fo cheap and in fuch abundance as to supplant, in some measure, all ale and homebrewed liquors: But would we lay aside prejudice, it would not be difficult to prove, that nothing could be more innocent, perhaps advantageous. Each new acre of vineyard planted in FRANCE, in order to supply England with wine, would make it requisite for the French to take the produce of an English acre, fown in wheat or barley, in order to fubfift themfelves; and it is evident, that we should thereby get command of the better commodity.

There are many edicts of the French king, prohibiting the planting of new vineyards, and ordering all those which are lately planted to be grubbed up: So sensible are they, in that country, of the superior value of corn above every other product.

Mareschal Vauban complains often, and with reason, of the absurd duties which load the entry of those wines of Languedoc, Guienne, and other southern provinces, that are imported into Britanny and Normandy. He entertained no doubt but these latter provinces could preserve their balance, notwithstanding the open commerce which he recommends. And it is evident, that a few leagues

more navigation to England would make no difference; or if it did, that it must operate alike on the commodities of both kingdoms.

There is indeed one expedient by which it is poffible to fink, and another by which we may raife, money beyond its natural level in any kingdom; but these cases, when examined, will be found to resolve into our general theory, and to bring additional an-

thority to it.

I fearcely know any method of finking money below its level, but these institutions of banks, funds, and paper-credit, which are fo much practifed in this kingdom. These render paper equivalent to money, circulate it throughout the whole state, make it supply the place of gold and filver, raife proportionably the price of labour and commodities, and by that means either banish a great part of those precious metals, or prevent their farther encrease. What can be more fhort-fighted than our reasonings on this head? We fancy, because an individual would be much richer, were his stock of money doubled, that the same good effect would follow were the money of every one encreased; not confidering that this would raise as much the price of every commodity, and reduce every man in time to the same condition as before. It is only in our public negociations and transactions with foreigners, that a greater stock of money is advantageous; and as our paper is there absolutely infignificant, we feel, by its means, all the ill effects ariting from a great abundance of money, without reaping any of the advantages.\*.

Suppose that there are 12 millions of paper which circulate in the kingdom as money (for we are not to imagine that all our enormous funds are employ-

<sup>\*</sup>We observed in Essay III. that money, when encreasing, gives encouragement to industry, during the interval between the encrease of money and rise of the prices. A good essect of this nature may follow too from paper-credit; but it is dangerous to precipitate matters, at the rise of losing all by the failing of that excedit, as must happen upon any violent shock in public affairs.

ed in that shape), and suppose the real cash of the kingdom to be 18 millions: Here is a state which is found by experience to be able to hold a flock of 30 millions. I fay, if it be able to hold it, it must of necessity have acquired it in gold and filver, had we not obstructed the entrance of these metals by this new invention of paper. Whence would it have acquired that fum? From all the kingdoms of the world. But why? Because, if you remove these 12 millions. money in this flate is below its level, compared with our neighbours; and we must immediately draw from all of them, till we be full and faterate, so to speak, and can hold no more. By our prefent politics we are are as careful to stuff the nation with this fine commodity of bank-bills and chequer-notes, as if we were afraid of being overburthened with the precious metals.

It is not to be doubted, but the great plenty of bullion in France, is in a great measure owing to the want of paper-credit. The French have no banks: Merchants bills do not there circulate as with us: Usury or lending on interest is not directly permitted; so that many have large sums in their costers: Great quantities of plate are used in private houses; and all the churches are full of it. By this means, provisions and labour still remain cheaper among them, than in nations that are not half so rich in gold and silver. The advantages of this situation, in point of trade as well as in great public emergencies, are too evident to be disputed.

The same fashion a few years ago prevailed in GENOA, which still has place in England and Holland, of using services of China-ware instead of plate; but the senate, forseeing the consequence, prohibited the use of that brittle commodity beyond a certain extent; while the use of silver-plate was lest unlimited. And I suppose, in their late distresses, they felt the good effect of this ordinance. Our tax on plate is, perhaps, in this view, somewhat impolitic.

Before

Before the introduction of paper-money into our colonies, they had gold and filver fufficient for their circulation. Since the introduction of that commodity, the least inconveniency that has followed is the total banishment of the precious metals. And after the abolition of paper, can it be doubted but money will return, while these colonies possess manufactures and commodities, the only thing valuable in commerce, and for whose sake alone all men desire money?

What pity Lycurgus did not think of paper-credit, when he wanted to banish gold and silver from Sparta! It would have served his purpose better than the lumps of iron he made use of as money; and would also have prevented more effectually all commerce with strangers, as being of so much less real and intrinsic value.

It must, however, be confessed, that, as all these questions of trade and money are extremely complicated, there are certain lights in which this subject may be placed, so as to represent the advantages of paper-credit and banks to be superior to their disadvantages. That they banish specie and bullion from a flate is undoubtedly true; and whoever looks no farther than this circumstance does well to condemn them; but specie and bullion are not of so great confequence as not to admit of a compensation, and even an overbalance, from the encrease of industry and of credit, which may be promoted by the right use of paper-money. It is well known of what advantage it is to a merchant to be able to discount his bills upon occasion; and every thing that facilitates this species of traffic is favourable to the general commerce of a state. But private bankers are enabled to give such credit by the credit they receive from the depositing of money in their shops; and the bank \ of England in the same manner, from the liberty it has to iffue its notes in all payments. There-was an invention of this kind, which was fallen kpon!

feme

fome years ago by the banks of EDINBURGH; and which. as it is one of the most ingenious ideas that has been executed in commerce, has also been thought advantageous to Scotland. It is there called a Bank-CREDIT; and is of this nature. A man goes to the bank, and finds furety to the amount, we shall suppole, of a thousand pounds. This money, or any part of it, he has the liberty of drawing out whenever he pleases, and he pays only the ordinary interest for it while it is in his hands. He may, when he pleases, repay any sum, so small as twenty pounds, and the interest is discounted from the very day of the repayment. The advantages refulting from this contrivance are manifold. As a man may find furety nearly to the amount of his substance, and his bank-credit is equivalent to ready money, a merchant does hereby in a manner coin his houses, his household-furniture, the goods in his warehouse, the foreign debts due to him, his ships at sea; and can, upon occasion, employ them in all payments, as if they were the current money of the country. man borrow a thousand pounds from a private hand, besides that it is not always to be found when required, he pays interest for it, whether he be using it or not: His bank-credit costs him nothing except during the very moment in which it is of fervice to him: And this circumstance is of equal advantage as if he had borrowed money at much lower interest. Merchants, likewise, from this invention, acquire a great facility in supporting each other's credit, which is a confiderable fecurity against bankruptcies. man, when his own bank-credit is exhausted, goes to any of his neighbours who is not in the fame condition, and he gets the money, which he replaces at his convenience.

After this practice had taken place during some years at Edinburgh, several companies of merchants at Glasgow carried the matter farther. They associated themselves into different banks, and iffued Vol. I.

notes fo low as ten shillings, which they used in all payments for goods, manufactures, tradefmen's labour of all kinds; and these notes, from the established credit of the companies, passed as money in all payments throughout the country. By this means a flock of five thousand pounds was able to perform the same operations as if it were fix or seven; and merchants were thereby enabled to trade to a greater extent, and to require less profit in all their transactions. But whatever other advantages result from there inventions, it must still be allowed that, besides giving too great facility to credit, which is dangerous, they banish the precious metals; and nothing can be a more evident proof of it, than a comparison of the past and present condition of Scotland in that particular. It was found, upon the recoinage made after the Union, that there was near a million of specie in that country: But notwithstanding the great encrease of riches, commerce, and manufactures of all kinds, it is thought that, even where there is ho extraordinary drain made by England, the current specie will not now amount to a third of that fum.

But as our projects of paper-credit are almost the only expedient by which we can fink money below its level; fo, in my opinion, the only expedient by which we can raise money above it, is a practice which we should all exclaim against as destructive, namely, the gathering of large fums into a public treasure, locking them up, and absolutely preventing The fluid, not communicating their circulation. with the neighbouring element, may, by fuch an artifice, be raifed to what height we pleafe. prove this, we need only return to our first supposition, of annihilating the half or any part of our cash; where we found, that the immediate confequence of · fuch an event would be the attraction of an equal fum from all the neighbouring kingdoms. there.

there feem to be any necessary bounds fet by the nature of things to this practice of hoarding. A small city, like GENEVA, continuing this policy for ages. might ingross nine-tenths of the money in Europe. There feems, indeed, in the nature of man, an invincible obstacle to that immense growth of riches. A weak state, with an enormous treasure, will soon become a prey to some of its poorer, but more powerful, neighbours. A great state would distipate its wealth in dangerous and ill-concerted projects; and probably destroy, with it, what is much more valuable, the industry, morals, and numbers of its people. The fluid, in this case, raised to too great a height. bursts and destroys the vessel that contains it; and mixing itself with the surrounding element, soon talls to its proper level.

So little are we commonly acquainted with this principle, that though all historians agree in relating uniformly fo recent an event, as the immense treafure amassed by HARRY VII. (which they make amount to 2,700,000 pounds), we rather reject their concurring testimony, than admit of a fact which agrees fo ill with our inveterate prejudices. indeed probable, that this fum might be three-fourths of all the money in England. But where is the difficulty in conceiving, that fuch a fum might be amassed in twenty years, by a cunning, rapacious, frugal, and almost absolute monarch? Nor is it probable, that the diminution of circulating money was ever fenfibly felt by the people, or ever did them any prejudice. The finking of the prices of all commodities would immediately replace it, by giving England the advantage in its commerce with the neighbouring kingdoms.

Have we not an instance, in the small republic of ATHENS with its allies, who, in about sifty years, between the MEDIAN and PELOPONNESIAN wars, amassed a sum not much inferior to that of HARRY VII?

2 . For

For all the GREEK historians \* and orators † agree that the ATHENIANS collected in the citadel monthan 10,000 talents, which they afterwards distipat to their own ruin, in rash and imprudent enterprise But when this money was set a running, and began to communicate with the surrounding sluid; what was the consequence? Did it remain in the state? Not For we find, by the memorable census mentioned by DEMOSTHENES † and POLYBIUS §, that in about sifty years afterwards, the whole value of the republic, comprehending lands, houses, commodities, slaves, and money, was less than 6000 talents.

What an ambitious, high-spirited people was this, to collect and keep in their treasury, with a view to conquests, a sum, which it was every day in the power of the citizens, by a single vote, to distribute among themselves, and which would have gone near to triple the riches of every individual! For we must observe, that the numbers and private riches of the ATHENIANS are said, by ancient writers, to have been ho greater at the beginning of the Peloponnesian war, than at the beginning of the Macedonian.

Money was little more plentiful in Greece during the age of Philip and Perseus, than in England during that of Harry VII.: Yet these two monarchs in thirty years collected from the small kingdom of Macedon, a larger treasure than that of the English monarch. Paulus Æmilius brought to Rome about 1,700,000 pounds sterling : Pliny says 2,400,000 \*\*. And that was but a part of the Macedonian treasure. The rest was dissipated by the resistance and slight of Perseus !!

We may learn from STANIAN, that the canton of BERNE had 300,000 pounds lent at interest, and had above six times as much in their treasury. Here then

<sup>\*</sup>THUCYDIDES, lib. ii. and DIOD. SIC. lib.xii.
†Vid. Æachibis et Demosthenis Epiff.

† High Eummostae.

S Lib. ii. cap. 62.

Trit Livii, lib. xiv. cap. 40. ¶ Vel. Paterc. lib. i. cap. 9

\*Lib. xxxiii. cap. 3.

is a fum hoarded of 1,800,000 pounds Sterling, which is at least quadruple what should naturally circulate in such a petty state; and yet no one, who travels in the Pais de Vaux, or any part of that canton, observes any want of money more than could be supposed in a country of that extent, soil, and situation. On the contrary, there are scarce any inland provinces in the continent of France or Germany, where the inhabitants are at this time so opulent, though that canton has vastly encreased its treasure since 1714, the time when Stanian wrote his judicious account of Switzerland\*.

The account given by Appiant of the treasure of the Ptolemies, is so prodigious, that one cannot admit of it; and so much the less, because the historian says, that the other successors of Alexander were also srugal, and had many of them treasures not much inferior. For this saving humour of the neighbouring princes must necessarily have checked the frugality of the Egyptian monarchs, according to the foregoing theory. The sum he mentions is 740,000 talents, or 191,166,666 pounds 13 shill and 4 pence, according to Dr. Arbuthnot's computation. And yet Appian says, that he extracted his account from the public records; and he was himself a native of Alexandria.

From these principles we may learn what judgement we ought to form of those numberless bars, obstructions, and imposts, which all nations of Europe, and none more than England, have put upon trade; from an exorbitant desire of amassing money, which never will heap up beyond its level, while it circulates; or from an ill-grounded apprehension of losing their specie, which never will fink below it. Could any thing scatter our riches, it would be such imposition.

<sup>\*</sup>The poverty which STANIAN speaks of, is only to be seen in the nost mountainous cantons, where there is no commodity to bring noney. And even there the people are not poorer than in the liocest of Saltsburgh on the one hand, or Savoy on the other

T Prosm.

litic contrivances. But this general, ill effect, however, refults from them, that they deprive neighbouring nations of that free communication and exchange which the Author of the world has intended, by giving them foils, climates, and geniuses, so different from each other.

Our modern politics embrace the only method of banishing money, the using of paper-credit; they reject the only method of amassing it, the practice of hoarding; and they adopt a hundred contrivances which serve to no purpose but to check industry, and rob ourselves and our neighbours of the common benesses of art and nature.

All taxes, however, upon foreign commodities, are not to be regarded as prejudicial or useless; but those only which are founded on the jealoufy above-mentioned. A tax on German linen encourages home manufactures, and thereby multiplies our people and industry. A tax on brandy encreases the sale of rum, and supports our fouthern colonies. And as it is necessary that imposts should be levied for the support of government, it may be thought more convenient to lay them on foreign commodities, which can eafly be intercepted at the port, and subjected to the im-We ought, however, always to remember the maxim of Dr. Swift, That, in the arithmetic of the customs, two and two make not four, but often make only one. It can fearcely be doubted, but if the duties on wine were lowered to a third, they would yield much more to the government than at present: Our people might thereby afford to drink commonly a better and more wholesome liquor; and no prejudice would ensue to the balance of trade, of which we are so jealous. The manufacture of ale beyond the agriculture is but inconfiderable, and gives employment to few hands. The transport of wine and . corn would not be much inferior.

But are there not frequent inflances, you will fay, of flates and kingdoms which were formerly rich and opulant,

opulent, and are now poor and beggarly? Has not the money left them, with which they formerly abounded? I answer, If they lose their trade, industry, and people, they cannot expect to keep their gold and filver: for these precious metals will hold proportion to the former advantages. When LISBON and AMSTER-DAM got the East-India trade from Venice, and GENOA, they also got the profits and money which arose from it. Where the seat of government is transterred, where expensive armies are maintained at a diftance, where great funds are possessed by foreigners; there naturally follows from these causes a diminution of the specie. But these, we may observe. are violent and forcible methods of carrying away money, and are in time commonly attended with the transport of people and industry: But where these remain, and the drain is not continued, the money always finds its way back again, by a hundred canals, of which we have no notion or fuspicion. What immense treasures have been spent, by so many nations, in FLANDERS, fince the revolution, in the course of three long wars? More money perhaps than the halt of what is at present in Europe: But what has now become of it? Is it in the narrow compals of the Austrian provinces? No, furely: It is most of it returned to the feveral countries whence it came, and has followed that art and industry, by which at first it was acquired. For above a thousand years, the money of Europe has been flowing to Rome, by an open and fenfible current; but it has been emptied by many fecret and infensible canals: And the want of industry and commerce renders at present the papal dominions the poorest territory in all ITALY.

In short, a government has great reason to preserve with care its people and its manufactures. Its money, it may safely trust to the course of human affairs, without fear or jealousy. Or if it ever give attention to this latter circumstance, it ought only to be so far affects the former.

# ESSAY VI.

# Of the JEALOUSY of TRADE.

**[AVING** endeavoured to remove one species of lill-founded jealousy, which is so prevalent among commercial nations, it may not be amifs to mention another, which feems equally groundlefs. Nothing is more usual among states which have made fome advances in commerce, than to look on the progress of their neighbours with a suspicious eye, to confider all trading states as their rivals, and to suppose that it is impossible for any of them to flourish, but at their expence. In opposition to this narrow and malignant opinion, I will venture to affert, that the encrease of riches and commerce in any one nation, instead of hurting, commonly promotes the riches and commerce of all its neighbours; and that a state can scarcely carry its trade and industry very far. where all the furrounding states are buried in ignorance, floth, and barbarism.

It is obvious, that the domeftic industry of a people cannot be hurt by the greatest prosperity of their neighbours; and as this branch of commerce is undoubtedly the most important in any extensive kingdom, we are so far removed from all reason of jealous. But I go farther, and observe, that where an open communication is preserved among nations, it is impossible but the domestic industry of every one must receive an encrease from the improvements of

the

the others. Compare the fituation of GREAT BRITAIN at'present with what it was two centuries ago. the arts both of agriculture and manufactures were then extremely rude and imperfect. Every improvement, which we have fince made, has arisen from our imitation of foreigners; and we ought to far to refleem it happy, that they had previously made advances in arts and ingenuity. But this intercourse is Hill upheld to our great advantage: notwithstanding the advanced state of our manufactures, we daily adopt, in every art, the inventions and improvements of our neighbours. The commodity is first imported from abroad, to our great discontent, while we imagine that it drains us of our money: Afterwards, the art itself is gradually imported, to our visible advantage: yet we continue still to repine, that our neighbours should possess any art, industry, and invention; forgetting that, had they not first instructed us, we should have been at pretent barbarians; and did they not still continue their instructions, the arts must fall into a flate of languor, and lofe that emulation and novelty which contribute fo much to their advancement.

The encrease of domestic industry lays the foundation of foreign commerce. Where a great number of commodities are raised and perfected for the homemarket, there will always be found some which can be exported with advantage. But if our neighbours have no art or cultivation, they cannot take them; because they will have nothing to give in exchange. In this respect, states are in the same condition as individuals. A single man can scarcely be industrious, where all his fellow-citizens are idle. The riches of the several members of a community contribute to encrease my riches, whatever profession I may follow. They consume the produce of my industry, and assort me, the produce of theirs in return.

Nor needs any flate entertain apprehensions, that their neighbours will improve to such a degree in every art and manufacture, as to have no demand from them. Nature, by giving a diversity of geniuses, climates, and soils, to different nations, has secured their mutual intercourse and commerce, as long as they all remain industrious and civilized. Nay, the more the arts encrease in any state, the more will be its demands from its industrious neighbours. The inhabitants, having become opulent and skilful, defire to have every commodity in the utmost perfection; and as they have plenty of commodities to give in exchange, they make large importations from every foreign country. The industry of the nations, from whom they import, receives encouragement: Their own is also encreased, by the sale of the commodities which they give in exchange.

But what if a nation has any staple commodity, fuch as the woollen manufacture is in England? Must not the interfering of our neighbours in that manufacture be a loss to us? I answer, that when any commodity is denominated the staple of a kingdom, it is supposed that this kingdom has some peculiar and natural advantages for raising the commodity; and if, notwithstanding these advantages, they lose fuch a manufacture, they ought to blame their own idleness, or bad government, not the industry of their neighbours. It ought also to be considered, that by the encrease of industry among the neighbouring nations, the confumption of every particular species of commodity is also encreased; and though foreign manufactures interfere with them in the market, the demand for their product may still continue, or even encrease; and should it diminish, ought the consequence to be esteemed so fatal? If the spirit of indutry be preserved, it may easily be diverted from one branch to another; and the manufactures of wool, for instance, be employed in linen, filk, iron, or any other commodities, for which there appears to be a demand. We need not apprehend, that all the objects of industry will be exhausted, or that our manufacturers.

nufacturers, while they remain on an equal footing with those of our neighbours, will be in danger of wanting employment. The emulation among rival nations ferves rather to keep industry alive in all of them; and any people is happier who possess a variety of manufactures, than if they enjoyed one fingle great · manufacture, in which they are all employed. Their 'fituation is less precarious; and they will feel less ienfibly those revolutions and uncertainties, to which every particular branch of commerce will always be exposed.

The only commercial state that ought to dread the improvements and industry of their neighbours. is fuch a one as the Dutch, who, enjoying no extent. of land, nor poffeffing any number of native commodities, flourish only by their being the brokers, and factors, and carriers, of others. Such a people may naturally apprehend, that as foon as the neighbouring states come to know and pursue their interest, they will take into their own hands the management of their affairs, and deprive their brokers of that profit which they formerly reaped from it. But though this consequence may naturally be dreaded, it is very long before it takes place; and by art and industry it may be warded off for many generations, if not wholly eluded. The advantage of superior stocks and correspondence is so great, that it is not easily overcome; and as all the transactions encrease by the encrease of industry in the neighbouring states, even a people whose commerce stands on this precarious basis, may at first reap a considerable profit from the slourishing condition of their neighbours. The Dutch, having mortgaged all their revenues, make not such a figure in political transactions as formerly; but their commerce is furely equal to what it was in the middle of the last century, when they were reckoned among the great powers of Europe.

\* Were our narrow and malignant politics to meet with fuccess, we should reduce all our neighbouring nations nations to the same state of sloth and ignorance that prevails in Morocco and the coast of Barbary: But what would be the consequence? They could fend us no commodities: They could take none from us: Our domestic commerce itself would languish for want of emulation, example, and instruction; and we ouselves should soon fall into the same abject condition to which we had reduced them. I shall therefore venture to acknowledge, that, not only as a man, but as a British subject, I pray for the slourishing commerce of Germany, Spain, Italy, and even France itself. I am at least certain, that Great Britain, and all those nations, would slourish more, did their sovereigns and ministers adopt such enlarged and benevolent sentiments towards each other.

## ESSAY. VII.

## Of the BALANCE of POWER.

T is a question, whether the idea of the balance of power be owing entirely to modern policy, or whether the pirase only has been invented in these latter ages. It is certain that Xenophen\*, in his institution of Cyrus, represents the combination of the Asiatic powers to have arisen from a jealousy of the encreasing force of the Medes and Persians; and though that elegant composition should be supposed altogether a romance, this sentiment, ascribed by the author to the eastern princes, is at least a proof of the prevailing notion of ancient times.

In all the politics of Greece, the anxiety, with

regard

regard to the balance of power, is apparent, and is expressly pointed out to us, even by the ancient hif-THUCYDIDES\* represents the league, which was formed against Athens, and which produced the Peloponnesian war, as entirely owing to this principle. And after the decline of ATHENS. when the THEBANS and LACEDEMONIANS disputed for fovereignty, we find, that the ATHENIANS (as well as many other republics) always threw themselves into the lighter scale, and endeavoured to preserve the balance. They supported THEBES against SPARTA, till the great victory gained by Epaminondas at LEUCTRA; after which they immediately went over to the conquered, from generolity, as they pretended, but in reality from their jealousy of the conquerors +.

Whoever will read DEMOSTHENES'S oration for the MEGALOPOLITANS, may see the utmost refinements on this principle, that ever entered into the head of a Venetian or English speculist. And upon the sirst rise of the Macedonian power, this orator immediately discovered the danger, sounded the alarm throughout all Greece, and at last assembled that confederacy under the banners of Athens, which sought the great and decisive battle of Chæ-

RONEA,

It is true, the Grecian wars are regarded by historians as wars of emulation rather than of politics; and each state seems to have had more in view the honour of leading the rest, than any well-grounded hopes of authority and dominion. If we consider, indeed, the small number of inhabitants in any one republic, compared to the whole, the great difficulty of forming sieges in those times, and the extraordinary bravery and discipline of every freeman among that noble people; we shall conclude, that the balance of power was, of itself, sufficiently secured in GREECE

GRECE, and needed not to have been guarded with that caution which may be requisite in other ages. But whether we ascribe the shifting of sides in all the GRECIAN republics to jealous emulation or cautious politics, the effects were alike, and every prevailing power was sure to meet with a confederacy against it, and that often composed of its former friends and allies.

The fame principle, call it envy or prudence, which produced the Oftracism of Athens, and Petalism of Syracuse, and expelled every citizen whose fame or power overtopped the rest; the same principle, I say, naturally discovered itself in soreign politics, and soon raised enemies to the leading state, however moderate in the exercise of its authority.

The Persian monarch was really in his force a petty prince compared to the Grecian republics; and therefore it behoved him, from views of fafety more than from emulation, to interest himself in their quarrels, and to support the weaker side in every contest. This was the advice given by Alcibiades to Tissaphernes\*, and it prolonged, near a century, the date of the Persian empire; till the neglect of it for a moment, after the first appearance of the aspiving genius of Philip, brought that losty and frail edifice to the ground, with a rapidity of which there are sew instances in the history of mankind.

The fucceffors of ALEXANDER showed great jealousy of the balance of power; a jealousy sounded on true politics and prudence, and which preserved distinct for several ages the partition made after the death of that famous conqueror. The fortune and ambition of Antigonus† threatened them anew with a universal monarchy; but their combination, and their victory at IPsus saved them. And in subsequent times, we find, that as the Eastern princes considered the Greeks and Macedonians as the only real military force with whom they had any intercourse.

<sup>\*</sup> Thucyp. lib. viii.

intercourse, they kept always a watchful eye over that part of the world. The PTOLEMIES, in particular, supported first Aratus and the Acheans, and then Cleomenes king of Sparta, from no other view than as a counterbalance to the Macedonian monarchs. For this is the account which Polybius

. gives of the EGYPTIAN politics\*.

The reason, why it is supposed that the ancients were entirely ignorant of the balance of power, seems to be drawn from the ROMAN history more than the GRECIAN; and as the transactions of the former are generally more familiar to us, we have thence formed all our conclusions. It must be owned, that the Romans never met with any fuch general combination or confederacy against them, as might naturally have been expected from their rapid conquests and declared ambition; but were allowed peaceably to fubdue their neighbours, one after another, till they extended their dominion over the whole known world. Not to mention the fabulous history of their ITALIC wars; there was, upon Hannibal's invafion of the ROMAN state, a remarkable crisis, which ought to have called up the attention of all civilized nations. It appeared afterwards (nor was it difficult to be observed at the time) + that this was a contest for universal empire; yet no prince or state seems to have been in the least alarmed about the event or iffue of the quarrel. PHILIP of MACEDON remained neuter till he saw the victories of HANNIBAL: and then most imprudently formed an alliance with the conqueror, upon terms still more imprudent. He stipulated, that he was to assist the CARTHACI-NIAN state in their conquest of ITALY: after which they engaged to fend over forces into GREECE, to affift him in fubduing the GRECIAN commmonwealths f.

The

▶ Titi Livii, lib. xxiii. cap. 33.

<sup>\*</sup> Lib. ii. cap. 51.

† It was observed by some, as appears by the speech of AgesiLAUS of Naupactum, in the general congress of Greece, See
Polyb. lib. v. cap. 104

The Rhodian and Achaean republics are much celebrated by ancient historians for their wisdom and found policy; yet both of them assisted the Romans in their wars against Philip and Antiochus. And what may be esteemed still a stronger proof, that this maxim was not generally known in those ages; no ancient author has remarked the imprudence of these measures, nor has even blamed that absurd treaty above-mentioned, made by Philip with the Carthaginians. Princes and statesmen, in all ages, may, before-hand, be blinded in their reasonings with regard to events; but it is somewhat extraordinary, that historians, afterwards, should not form a sounder judgment of them.

MASSINISSA, ATTALUS, PRUSIAS, in gratifying their private passions, were, all of them, the instruments of the Roman greatness; and never seem to have suspected, that they were forging their own chains, while they advanced the conquests of their ally. A simple treaty and agreement between Massinissa and the Carthaginians, so much required by mutual interest, barred the Romans from all entrance into Africa, and preserved liberty to mankind.

The only prince we meet with in the ROMAN history, who seems to have understood the balance of power, is Hiero king of Syracuse. Though the ally of Rome, he sent assistance to the Carthaginians during the war of the auxiliaries; "Esteeming it requisite," says Polybuss\*, "both in order to retain his dominions in Sigly, and to preserve the Roman friendship, that Carthage should be fase; lest by its fall the remainining power should be able, without contrast or opposition, to execute every purpose and undertaking. And there he acted with great wisdom and prudence: for that is given, on any account, to be overlooked; not ought such a force ever to be thrown into one

hand, as to incapacitate the neighbouring states if from defending their rights against it." Here is the aim of modern politics pointed out in express terms.

In short, the maxim of preserving the balance of power is founded so much on common sense and obtious reasoning, that it is impossible it could altogether have escaped antiquity, where we find, in other particulars, so many marks of deep penetration and discernment. If it was not so generally known and acknowledged as at present, it had, at least, an influence on all the wifer and more experienced princes and politicians. And indeed, even at present, however generally known and acknowledged among speculative reasoners, it has not, in practice, an authority much more extensive among those who govern the world.

After the fall of the ROMAN empire, the form of government, established by the northern conquerors. incapacitated them, in a great measure, for farther conquests, and long maintained each state in its proper boundaries. But when vaffalage and the feudal militia were abolished, mankind were anew alarmed by the danger of universal monarchy, from the union of fo many kingdoms and principalities in the person of the Emperor Charles. But the power of the house of Austria, founded on extensive but divided dominions, and their riches derived chiefly from mines of gold and filver, were more likely to decay, of themselves, from internal defects, than to overthrow all the bulwarks raifed against them. less than a century, the force of that violent and haughty race was shattered, their opulence dissipated. their splendor eclipsed. A new power succeeded, more formidable to the liberties of Europe, possessing all the advantages of the former, and labouring under none of its defects, except a share of that spirit of sigotry and persecution, with which the house of . Vol. I.

Austria was so long, and still is so much, infatuated.

In the general wars maintained against this a bitious power, GREAT BRITAIN has stood foremost: and the still maintains her station. Beside her advantages of riches and fituation, her people are animated with fuch a national spirit, and are so fully fensible of the bleslings of their government, that we may hope their vigour never will languish in so neceffary and fo just a cause. On the contrary, if we may judge by the past, their passionate ardour seems rather to require some moderation; and they have oftener erred from a laudable excess than from a blamable deficiency.

In the first place, we feem to have been more posfessed with the ancient GREEK spirit of jealous emulation, than actuated by the prudent views of modern politics. Our wars with France have been begun with justice, and even, perhaps, from necessity; but have always been too far pushed from obstinacy and passion. The same peace, which was afterwards made at Ryswick in 1607, was offered fo yearly as the year ninety-two; that concluded at UTRECHT in 1712 might have been finished on as good conditions at GERTRUYTENBERG in the year eight; and we might have given at FRANKFORT, in 1743, the fame terms which we were glad to accept of at AIX-LA-CHAPELLE in the year forty-eight. Here then we fee, that above half of our wars with France, and all our public debts, are owing more to our own imprudent vehemence, than to the ambition of our neighbours.

In the fecond place, we are fo declared in our opposition to French power, and so alert in defence of our allies, that they always reckon upon our force as upon their own: and expecting to carry on war at our expence, refuse all reasonable terms of accommodation. Habent subjectes, tanquam suos; viles, ut

os. All the world knows, that the factious vote

of the House of Commons, in the beginning of the last parliament, with the professed humour of the nation, made the Queen of Hungary inflexible in her terms, and prevented that agreement with Prussia, which would immediately have restored the

general tranquillity of Europe.

In the third place, we are such true combatants, that, when once engaged, we lose all concern for ourselves and our posterity, and consider only; how we may best annoy the enemy. To mortgage our revenues at so deep a rate, in wars, where we were only accessaries, was surely the most fatal delusion that a nation, which had any pretension to politics and prudence, has ever yet been guilty of. That remedy of funding, if it be a remedy, and not rather a poison, ought, in all reason, to be reserved to the last extremity; and no evil, but the greatest and most urgent, should ever induce us to embrace so dangerous an expedient.

These excesses, to which we have been carried, are prejudicial; and may, perhaps, in time, become still more prejudicial another way, by begetting, as is usual, the opposite extreme, and rendering us totally careless and supine with regard to the sate of Europe. The Athenians, from the most bustling, intriguing, warlike people of Greece, finding their error in thrusting themselves into every quarrel, abandoned all attention to foreign affairs; and in no contest ever took part on either side, except by their flatteries and complaisance to the victor.

Enormous monarchies are, probably, destructive to human nature; in their progress, in their continuance, and even in their downfal, which never can be very distant from their establishment. The military genius, and aggrandized the monarchy, soch leaves the contract the capital, and the centre of U 2

<sup>\*</sup> If the Roman empire was of advantage, it could only placed from this, that mankind were generally in a very diforderly uncivilized condition, before its establishments.

fuch a government; while the wars are carried on at a great distance, and interest so small a part ( \*) The ancient nobility, whose affections. them to their fovereign, live all at court; and it. will accept of military employments, which would carry them to remote and barbarous frontiers, where they are distant both from their pleasures and their fortune. The arms of the state must, therefore, be entrusted to mercenary stangers, without zeal, without attachment, without honour; ready on every occasion to turn them-against the prince, and join each desperate malcontent, who offers pay and plunder. This is the necessary progress of human affairs: Thus human nature thecks itself in its airy elevation: Thus ambition blindly labours for the destruction of the conqueror, of his family, and of every thing near and dear to him. The Bourbons, trufting to the support of their brave, faithful, and affectionate nobility, would push their advantage, without referve or limitation. These, while fired with glory and emulation, can bear the fatigues and dangers of war; but never would fubmit to languith in the garrisons of Hungary or Lithuania, forgot at court, and facrificed to the intrigues of every minion or miftress who approaches the prince. The troops are filled with CRAVATES and TARTARS, HUSsars and Cossacs; intermingled, perhaps, with a few foldiers of fortune from the better provinces: And the melancholy fate of the Roman emperors, from the fame cause, is renewed over and over again, till the final diffolution of the monarchy.

## ESSAY VIII.

#### Of TAXES.

THERE is a prevailing maxim among fome reafoners, that every new tax creates a new ability in the subject to bear it, and that each encrease of public buraens encreases proportionably the industry of the people. This maxim is of such a nature as is most likely to be abused; and is so much the more dangerous, as its truth cannot be altogether denied: but it must be owned, when kept within certain bounds, to have some foundation in reason and experience.

When a tax is laid upon commodities, which are confumed by the common people, the necessary contequence may feem to be, either that the poor must retrench fomething from their way of living, or raife their wages, fo as to make the burden of the tax fall entirely upon the rich. But there is a third confequence, which often follows upon taxes, namely, that the poor encrease their industry, perform more work, and live as well as before, without demanding more for their labour. Where taxes are moderate, are laid on gradually, and affect not the necessaries of life, this consequence naturally follows; and it is certain, that fuch difficulties often ferve to excite the industry of a people, and render them more opulent and laborious, than others who enjoy the greatest advantages. For we may observe, as a parallel inflance, that the most commercial nations have not always possessed the greatest extent of fertile land; but, on the contrary, that they have laboured  $\mathbf{U}_{3}$ 

under many natural disadvantages. Tyre, Athens, CARTHAGE, RDODES, GENOA, VENICE, HOLLA are strong examples to this purpose. And in history, we find only three instances of large and fertile countries, which have possessed much trade; the Netherlands, England and France. two former feem to have been allured by the advantages of their maritime fituation, and the necessity they lay under of frequenting foreign ports, in order to procure what their own climate refused them. And as to France, trade has come late into that kingdom, and feems to have been the effect of reflection and observation in an ingehious and enterprifing people, who remarked the riches acqu fuch of the neighbouring nations as cultiva gation and commerce.

The places mentioned by CICERO\*, as possessed of the greatest commerce in his time, are Alexandria, Colchus, Tyre, Sidon, Andros, Cyprus, Pamphylia, Lycia, Rhodes, Chios, Byzantium, Lesbos, Smirna, Miletum, Coos. All these, except Alexandria, were either small islands or narrow territories. And that city owed its trade en-

tirely to the happiness of its situation.

Since therefore time natural necessities or disadvantages may be thought favourable to industry, why may not artificial burdens have the same effect? Sir William Temple†, we may observe, ascribes the industry of the Dutch entirely to necessity, proceeding from their natural disadvantages; and illustrates his doctrine by a striking comparison with Ireland; "where," says he, "by the largeness and plenty of the soil, and scarcity of people, all things necessary to life are so cheap, that an industrious man, by two days labour, may gain enough to feed him the rest of the week. Which I take to be a very plain ground of the laziness attributed

pide ad ATT. lib. ix. ep. 11.

attributed to the people. For men naturally prefer ease before labour, and will not take pains if they can live idle; though when, by necessity, they have been inured to it, they cannot leave it, being grown a custom necessary to their health, and to their very entertainment. Nor perhaps is the change harder, from constant case to labour, than from constant labour to ease. After which the author proceeds to consirm his doctrine, by enumerating, as above, the places where trade has most slourished, in ancient and modern times; and which are commonly observed to be such narrow consined territories, as beget a necessity for industry.

The best taxes are such as are levied upon confumptions, especially those of luxury; because such taxes are least felt by the people. They seem in some measure, voluntary; since a man may choose how far he will use the commodity which is taxed: They are paid gradually and insensibly: they naturally produce sobriety and frugality, if judiciously imposed: And being consounded with the natural price of the commodity, they are scarcely perceived by the consumers. Their only disadvantage is, that they are expensive in the levying.

Taxes upon possessions are levied without expence; but have every other disadvantage. Most states, however, are obliged to have recourse to them, in order to supply the desiciencies of the other.

But the most pernicious of all taxes are the arbitrary. They are commonly converted, by their management, into punishments on industry; and alto, by their unavoidable inequality, are more grictous, than by the real burden which they impose. It is surprising, therefore, to see them have place among any civilized people.

In general, all poll-taxes, even when not arbitrary, which they commonly are, may be esteemed dangerous: Because it is so easy for the sovereign to add a

little more, and a little more, to the sum demanded, that these taxes are apt to become altogether opisive and intolerable. On the other hand, a upon commodities checks itself; and a prince all soon find, that an encrease of the impost is no encrease of his revenue. It is not easy, therefore, for a people to be altogether ruined by such taxes.

Historians inform us, that one of the chief causes of the destruction of the Roman state, was the alteration which Constanting introduced into the sinances, by substituting an universal poll-tax, in lieu of almost all the tithes, customs, and excises, which tormerly composed the revenue of to The people, in all the provinces, were and oppressed by the publicans, that the to take refuge under the conquering arms of the barians; whose dominion, as they had sewer necessities and less art, was found preserable to the refined tyranny of the Romans.

It is an opinion, zealously promoted by some political writers, that, fince all taxes, as they pretend, fall ultimately upon land, it were better to lay them originally there, and abolish every duty upon confumptions. But it is denied, that all taxes fall ultimately upon land. If a duty be laid upon any commodity, confumed by an artifan, he has two obvious expedients for paying it; he may retrench fomewhat of his expence, or he may encrease his labour. Both these resources are more easy and natural, than that of heightening his wages. We fee, that, in years of fearcity, the weaver either confumes less or labours more, or employs both these expedients of frugality and industry, by which he is enabled to reach the end of the year. It is but just that he should subject himself to the same hardships, if they descrive the name, for the sake of the public, which gives him protection. By what contrivance can he raise the price of his labour? The manufacturer who employs him will not give him more: Neither can he:

he; because the merchant, who exports the cloth. cannot raife its price, being limited by the price which it yields in foreign markets. Every man, to be fure, is defirous of pushing off from himself the burden of any tax which is imposed, and of laving it upon others: But as every man has the fame inclinavion, and is upon the defensive; no set of men can be supposed to prevail altogether in this contest: and why the landed gentleman should be the victim of the whole, and should not be able to defend himself. as well as others are, I cannot readily imagine. tradelmen, indeed, would willingly prey upon him, 1 divide him among them, if they could: But this in they always have, though no taxes were and the same methods, by which he guards the imposition of tradesmen before taxes, will

terve ...m afterwards, and make them share the burden with him. They must be very heavy taxes, indeed, and very injudiciously levied, which the artizan will not of himself be enabled to pay, by superior industry and frugality, without raising the price of his labour.

I shall conclude this subject with observing, that we have, with regard to taxes, an instance of what frequently happens in political inflitutions, that the confequences of things are diametrically opposite to what we should expect on the first appearance. It is regarded as a fundamental maxim of the Turkish government, that the Grand Signior, though abfolute mafter of the lives and fortunes of each individual, has no authority to impose a new tax; and every OTTOMAN prince, who has made fuch an attempt, either has been obliged to retract, or has found the fatal effects of his perfeverance. One would imagine, that this prejudice or established opinion were the firmest barrier in the world against oppression; yet it is certain, that its effect is quite contrary. The emperor, having no regular method of encreasing his revenue, must allow all the bashaws and governors to & copress

oppress and abuse the subjects; and these he squeezes after their return from their government. Whereas; if he could impose a new tax, like our European princes, his interest would so far be united with that of people, that he would immediately feel the bad effects of these disorderly levies of money; and would find, that a pound, raised by a general imposition, would have less pernicious effects, than a shilling taken in so unequal and arbitrary a manner.

## ESSAY IX.

#### Of Public Credit.

Tappears to have been the common practice of antiquity, to make provision, during peace, for the necessities of war, and to hoard up treasures beforehand, as the instruments either of conquest or defence; without trusting to extraordinary impositions, much less to borrowing, in times of disorder and confusion. Besides the immense sums above mentioned\*, which were amassed by Athens, and by the Ptolemies, and other successors of Alexander; we learn from Plato; that the frugal Lacedemonians had also collected a great treasure; and Arrian; and Plutarch take notice of the riches which Alexander got possession of on the conquest of Susa and Ecbatana, and which were reserved, some of them, from the time of Cyrus. If I remember right, the scripture

<sup>\* \*</sup> Effay V. . + Alcib. 1. ‡ Lib. iii.

<sup>||</sup> PLUT. in vita ALEX. He makes these treasures amount to 80,000 talents, or about 15 millions Sterl. QUINTUS CURTIUS. (lib. v. cap. p.) saye, that ALEXANDER found in SUSA above 50,000 talents.

ture also mentions the treasure of HEZEKIAH and the JEWISH princes; as profane history does that of Philip and Perseus, kings of Magedon. The ancient republics of Gaul had commonly large sums in referve. Every one knows the treasure seized in Rome by Julius Cæsar, during the civil wars; and we find afterwards, 'the wifer emperors, Augustus, Tiberius, Ves. IAN, Severus, &c. always discovered the prudent foresight of saving great sums against any public exigency.

On the contrary, our modern expedient, which has become very general, is to mortgage the public revenues, and to trust that posterity will pay off the intrances contracted by their ancestors: and they,

ig before their eyes fo good an example of their wite fathers, have the same prudent reliance on their politerity; who, at last, from necessity more than choice. are obliged to place the same confidence in a new posterity. But not to waste time in declaiming against a practice which appears ruinous beyond all controveriy, it feems pretty apparent, that the ancient maxims are, in this respect, more prudent than the modern; even though the latter had been confined within some reasonable bounds, and had ever, in any inflance, been attended with fuch frugality, in time of peace, as to discharge the debts incurred by an expensive war. For why should the case be so different between the public and an individual, as to make us establish different maxims of conduct for each? If the funds of the former be greater, its necessary expences are proportionably larger; if its resources be more numerous, they are not infinite; and as its frame should be calculated for a much longer duration than the date of a fingle life, or even of a family, it should embrace maxims, large, durable, and generous, agreeably to the supposed extent of its existence. To trust to chances and temporary expedients, is, indeed, what the necessity of human affairs frequent-

<sup>\*</sup>STRABO, lib. iv.

ly renders unavoidable; but whoever voluntarily depend on fuch resources, have not necessity, but their own folly, to accuse for their missortunes, when any such befal them.

If the abuses of treasures be dangerous, either by engaging the state in rash enterprizes, or making it neglect military discipline, in considence of its riches, the abuses of mortgaging are more certain and inevitable; poverty, impotence, and subjection to foreign powers.

According to modern policy, war is attended with every destructive circumstance; loss of men, encrease of taxes, decay of commerce, dislipation of money, devastation by sea and land. According to ancient maxims, the opening of the public treasure, as it produced an uncommon assume of gold and silver, served as a temporary encouragement to industry, and attoned, in some degree, for the inevitable calamities of war.

It is very tempting to a minister to employ such an expedient, as enables him to make a great figure during his administration, without overburdening the people with taxes, or exciting any immediate clamours against himself. The practice, therefore, of contracting debt, will almost infallibly be abused in every government. It would scarcely be more imprudent to give a prodigal son accredit in every banker's thop in London, than to empower a statesman to draw bills, in this manner, upon posterity.

What then shall we say to the new paradox, that public incumbrances are of themselves advantageous, independent of the necessity of contracting them; and that any state, even though it were not pressed by a foreign enemy, could not possibly have embraced a wifer expedient for promoting commerce and riches, than to create funds, and debts, and taxes, without limitation? Reasonings, such as these, might naturally have passed for trials of wit among rhotoricians, like the passegyrics on solly and a fever, on Business.

and

and Nero, had we not feen fuch abfurd maxims patronized by great ministers, and by a whole party among us.

Let us examine the confequences of public debts,

• unerce and industry; and in our foreign tranftions, by their effect on wars and negociations.

· Public fecurities are with us become a kind of money, and pass as readily at the current price as gold or filver. Wherever any profitable undertaking offers itself, how expensive soever, there are never wanting hands enow to embrace it: nor need a trader, who has fums in the public stocks, fear to 'aunch out into the most extensive trade; since he is possessed of funds which will answer the most sudden demand that can be made upon him. chant thinks it necessary to keep by him any confiderable cash. Bank-stock, or India-bonds, especially the latter, ferve all the same purposes; because he can. dispose of them, or pledge them to a banker, in a quarter of an hour; and at the same time they are not idle, even when in his escritoire, but bring him in a conftant revenue. In short, our national debts furmith merchants with a species of money, that is continually multiplying in their hands, and produces ture gain, besides the profits of their commerce. This must enable them to trade upon less profit. The small profit of the merchant renders the commodity cheaper, causes a greater confumption, quickens the labour of the common people, and helps to fpread arts and industry throughout the whole society.

There are also, we may observe, in England, and in all states, which have both commerce and public debts, a set of men, who are half merchants, half stock-holders, and may be supposed willing to trade for small profits; because commerce is not their principal or sole support, and their revenues in the funds are a sure resource for themselves and their families. Were there no funds, great merchants would have

no expedient for realizing or fecuring any part of their profit, but by making purchases of land; and land has many disadvantages in comparison of funds. Requiring more care and inspection, it divides the time and attention of the merchant; upon any tempting offer or extraordinary accident in trade, it is not so easily converted into money; and as it attracts to much, both by the many natural pleasures it affords, and the anthority it gives, it soon converts the citizen into the country gentleman. More men, therefore, with large stocks and incomes, may naturally be supposed to continue in trade, where there are public debts; and this, it must be owned, is of some advantage to commerce, by diminishing its profits, promoting circulation, and encouraging industry.

But, in opposition to these two savourable circumstances, perhaps of no very great importance, weigh the many disadvantages which attend our public debts, in the whole interior economy of the state; you will find no comparison between the ill and the good which

refult from them.

First, It is certain, that national debts cause a mighty confluence of people and riches to the capital, by the great fums levied in the provinces to pay the interest; and perhaps, too, by the advantages in trade above mentioned, which they give the merchants in the capital above the rest of the kingdom. The question is, whether, in our case, it be for the public interest, that so many privileges should be conferred on Lon-DON, which has already arrived at fuch an enormous fize, and feems still encreasing? Some men are apprehensive of the consequences. For my own part, I cannot forbear thinking, that, though the head is undoubtedly too large for the body, yet that great city is so happily situated, that its excessive bulk causes less inconvenience than even a smaller capital to a greater kingdom. There is more difference between the prices of all provisions in Paris and Lan-GUEDOC, than between those in LONDON and YORK-

shire. The immense greatness, indeed, of London, under a government which admits not of differentionary power, renders the people factious, mutinous, seditious, and even perhaps rebellious. But to this evil.

Lebts themselves tend to provide a remedy.

ble eruption, or even immediate danger of public diferders, must alarm all the stock-holders, whose property is the most precarious of any; and will make them sly to the support of government, whether menaced by Jacobitish violence or democratical frenzy.

Secondly, Public stocks, being a kind of paper-credit, have all the disadvantages attending that species of money. They banish gold and silver from the most considerable commerce of the state, reduce them to common circulation, and by that means render all provisions and labour dearer than otherwise they would be.

Thirdly, The taxes, which are levied to pay the interests of these debts, are apt either to heighten the price of labour, or be an oppression on the poorer fort.

Fourthly, As foreigners possess a great share of our national funds, they render the public, in a manner, tributary to them, and may in time occasion the transport of our people and our industry.

Fifthly, The greater part of the public stock being always in the hands of idle people, who live on their revenue, our funds, in that view, give great encou-

ragement to an useless and inactive life.

But though the injury that arises to commerce and industry from our public funds, will appear upon balancing the whole, not inconsiderable, it is trivial in comparison of the prejudice that results to the state considered as a body politic, which must support itself in the society of nations, and have various transactions with other states in wars and negociations. The ill there is pure and unmixed, without any favourable

vourable circumstance to atone for it; and it is an ili too of a nature the highest and most important.

We have, indeed, been told, that the public is no weaker upon account of its debts, fince they are mostly due among ourselves, and bring as much property to one as they take from another. It is like transferring money from the right hand to the left, which leaves the person neither richer nor poorer than before: Such loofe reasonings and specious comparisons will always pass, where we judge not upon principles. ask. Is it possible, in the nature of things, to overburden a nation with taxes, even where the fovereign refides among them? The very doubt feems extravagant; fince it is requifite, in every community, that there be a certain proportion observed between the laborious and the idle part of it. But if all our prefent taxes be mortgaged, must we not invent new-ones? And may not this matter be carried to a length that is ruinous and destructive?

In every nation, there are always fome methods of levying money more eafy than others, agreeably to the way of living of the people, and the commodities they make use of. In GREAT BRITAIN, the excises upon malt and beer afford a large revenue; because the operations of malting and brewing are tedious, and are impossible to be concealed; and at the same time, these commodities are not so absolutely necessary to life, as that the raising of their price would very much affect the poorer fort. These taxes being all mortgaged, what difficulty to find new ones! what vexation and ruin of the poor!

Duties upon confumptions are more equal and eafy than those upon possessions. What a loss to the public, that the former are all exhausted, and that we must have recourse to the more grievous method of levy-

ing taxes!

Were all the proprietors of land only stewards to the public, must not necessity force them to practise all the arts of oppression used by stewards; where the absence or negligence of the proprietor render them secure against enquiry?

It will scarcely be afferted, that no bounds ought ever to be set to national debts; and that the public would be no weaker, were twelve or fifteen shillings in the pound, land-tax, mortgaged, with all the present customs and excises. There is something, therefore, in the case, beside the mere transferring of property from the one hand to another. In 500 years, the posterity of those now in the coaches, and of those upon the boxes, will probably have changed places, without affecting the public by these revolutions.

Suppose the public once fairly brought to that condition, to which it is hastening with such amazing rapidity; suppose the land to be taxed eighteen or nineteen shillings in the pound; for it can never bear the whole twenty; suppose all the excises and customs to be screwed up to the utmost which the nation can bear, without entirely losing its commerce and industry; and suppose that all those funds are mortgaged to perpetuity, and that the invention and wit of all our projectors can find no new imposition, which may ferve as the foundation of a new loan; and let us confider the necessary confequences of this Though the imperfect state of our political knowledge, and the narrow capacities of men, make it difficult to fortel the effects which will refult from any untried measure, the feeds of ruin are here feattered with fuch profusion as not to escape the eye of the most careless observer.

In this unnatural flate of fociety, the only perfons, who possess any revenue beyond the immediate effects of their industry, are the stock-holders, who draw almost all the rent of the land and houses, besides the produce of all the customs and excises. These are men, who have no connections with the state, who can enjoy their revenue in any part of the globe in which they choose to reside, who will naturally bury themselves in the capital, or in great cities; and who voi. I.

will fink into the lethargy of a stupid and pampered luxury, without spirit, ambition, or enjoyment. Adieu to all ideas of nobility, gentry, and family. The flocks can be transferred in an inflant; and being in fuch a fluctuating state, will feldom be transmitted during three generations from father to fon. Or were they to remain ever fo long in one family, they convey no hereditary authority or credit to the possessor; and by this means, the feveral anks of men, which form a kind of independent magistracy in a state, instituted by the hand of nature, are entirely lost; and every man in authority derives his influence from the commission alone of the sovereign. dient remains for preventing or suppressing infurrections, but mercenary armies: No expedient at all remains for relifting tyranny: Elections are fwayed by bribery and corruption alone: And the middle power between king and people being totally removed, a grievous despotism must infallibly prevail. The landholders, despited for their poverty, and hated for their oppressions, will be utterly unable to make any opposition to it.

Though a resolution should be formed by the legislature never to impose any an which hurts commerce and discourages industry, it will be impossible for men, in subjects of such extreme delicacy, to reason so justly as never to be mistaken; or amidst dissiculties so urgent, never to be seduced from their resolution. The continual fluctuation, in extraneree require continual alterations in the nature of the taxes, which exposes the legislature every moment to the danger both of wilful and involuntary error. And any great blow given to trade, whether by injudicious taxes, or by other accidents, throws the whole system of government into consustion.

But what expedient can the public now employ, even suppossing trade to continue in the most flourishing condition, in order to support its foreign wars and enterprizes, and to defend its own honour and interest.

interest,

interest, or those of it when I do not ask how the public is to evert fuch a predigious power as it has mainth and during our late wars, where we have fo much an orded, not only our own natural firength, but even that of the great it empires. This extravagance the above on red of as the fource of all the dangers to which we is at prefent exposed. But lince we must suppose great commerce and opulence to remain, even after every fund in mortgaged these riches must be desented by proportional porter: and whence is the public to derive the revenue which supports it? It must plainly be from a continual taxation of the annual mrs, or, which is the fame thing. from mortgaging anew, on every exigency, a certain part of their amentary, and thus making them conterbute to their a a defence, and to this. The nacion. But the dibunities errord policy, will can't appear, o' to suppose the have to have become ablilion as an or to be fill controlled by agreeful councils, in which the annufitunts thems here must decellerily bear the principal fwuy.

If the prince has become abidiute, as may naturally be expected from this fiture on of affairs, it is fo cafy or his sac a car his exactions pon the annuitants, the see and a is " the ectriping money in his own nde, and the trackes of proper mound foon lofe trees and and the whole it there is an erry indivie gas. A degree of dead the hand oriental an anrehy hos ever yet would. It, on the contrary. the content of the aunthorist, requilite for every taxation, they will never be pertuaded to contribute fufficiently even to the support of government; as the diminution of their revenue must in that case be very fentible, would not be difguifed under the appearance! of a branch of excise or customs, and would not be shared by any other order of the state, who are already suppofed to be taxed to the utmost. There are instances, in X 2

fome republics, of a hundredth penny, and sometimes of the fiftieth, being given to the support of the state: but this is always an extraordinary exertion of power, and can never become the foundation of a constant national defence. We have always found; where a government has mortgaged all its revenues, that it necessarily sinks into a state of languor, inactivity, and impotence.

Such are the inconveniences, which may reasonably be foreseen, of this situation, to which GREAT BRITAIN is visibly tending. Not to mention the numberless inconveniences which cannot be foreseen, and which must result from so monstrous a situation as that of making the public the chief or sole proprietor of land, besides investing it with every branch of customs and excise, which the sertile imagination of ministers and projectors have been able to invent.

I must confess, that there is a strange supineness. from long custom, creeped into all ranks of men, with regard to public debts, not unlike what divines fo vehemently complain of with regard to their religious doctrines. We all own, that the most fanguine imagination cannot hope either that this, or any future ministry, will be possessed of such rigid and steady frugality, as to make a confiderable progress in the payment of our debts; or that the fituation of foreign affairs will, for any long time, allow them leifure and tranquillity for such an undertaking. What then is to become of us? Were we ever so good Christians, and ever fo refigned to Providence, this, methinks, were a curious question even considered as a speculative one, and what it might not be altogether impossible to form fome conjectural folution of. The events here will depend little upon the contingencies of battles, negociations, intrigues, and factions. Seems to be a natural progress of things, which may guide our reasoning. As it would have required but a moderate share of prudence, when we first began this practice of mortgaging; to have foretold, from

, the

the nature of men and of ministers, that things would necessarily be carried to the length we see; so now, that they have at last happily reached it, it may not be difficult to guess at the consequences. It must, indeed, be one of these two events; either the nation must destroy public credit, or public credit will destroy the nation. It is impossible that they can both substitt, after the manner they have hitherto been managed, in this, as well as in some other countries.

There was, indeed, a scheme for the payment of our debts, which was proposed by an excellent citizen. Mr Hutchinson, above thirty years ago, and which was much approved of by some men of sense, but never was likely to take effect. He afferted, that there was a fallacy in imagining that the public owed this debt; for that really every individual owed a proportional share of it, and paid, in his taxes, a proportional share of the interest, beside the expence of levying these taxes. Had we not better, then, says he, make a distribution of the debt among ourselves, and each of us contribute a fum fuitable to his property, and by that means discharge at once all our funds and public mortgages? He feems not to have confidered, that the laborious poor pay a confiderable part of the taxes by their annual confumptions, though they could not advance, at once, a proportional part of the fum required. Not to mention, that property in money and flock in trade might eafily be concealed or difguifed; and that visible property in lands and houses would really at last answer for the whole: An inequality and oppression which never would be fubmitted to. But though this project is not likely to take place, it is not altogether improbable, that, when the nation becomes heartily fick of their debts, and is cruelly oppreffed by them, fome daring projector may arise with visionary schemes for their difcharge. And as public credit will begin, by that time, to be a little frail, the least touch will destroy

it, as happened in FRANCE during the regency; and

in this manner it will die of the doctor.

But it is more probable, that the breach of national faith will be the necessary effect of wars, defeats, misfortunes, and public calamities, or ever perhapsion victories and conquests. I must con-"hen I fee , amidst their princes and states fighting and que debts, funds, and public nortgage. ... always brings to my mind a match of cudgel-playing fought in a China shop. How can it be expected, that sovereigns will spare a species of property, which is pernicious to themselves and to the public, when they have so little compassion on lives and properties that are useful to both? Let the time come (and furely it will come) when the new funds, created for the exigencies of the year, are not subscribed to, and raise not the money projected. Suppose, either that the cash of the nation is exhausted; or that our faith, which has hitherto been fo ample, begins to fail us. pose, that, in this distress, the nation is threatened with an invasion; a rebellion is suspected or broken out at home; a fquadron cannot be equipped for want of pay, victuals, or repairs; or even a foreign subsidy cannot be advanced. What must a prince or minister do in such an emergence? The right of selfprefervation is unalienable in every individual, much more in every community: And the folly of our flatefmen must then be greater than the folly of those who first contracted debt, or, what is more, than that of those who trusted or continue to trust this security, if these statesinen have the means of safety in their hands. and do not employ them. The funds, created and mortgaged, will by that time bring in a large yearly revenue, fufficient for the defence and fecurity of the nation: Money is perhaps lying in the exchequer ready for the discharge of the quarterly interest: Necessity ealls, fear urges, reason exhorts, compassion alone exclaims: The money will immediately be feized for the current fervice, under the most solemn protestations.

tions, perhaps, of being immediately replaced: But no more is requisite. The whole fabric, already tottering, falls to the ground, and buries thousands in its ruins. And this, I think, may be called the national death of public credit: For to this period it tends as naturally as an animal body to its dislolution and destruction.

So great duper are the generality of mankind, that, not with flanding fuch a violent shock to public credit, as a voluntary bankruptcy in England would oceafion, it would not probably be long ere credit would again revive in as flourishing a condition as before. The present king of FRANCE, during the late war, borrowed money at a lower interest than ever his grandfather did; and as low as the British parliament, comparing the natural rate of interest in both kingdoms. And though men are commonly more governed by what they have feen, than by what they toresee, with whatever certainty; yet promises, protestations, fair appearances, with the allurements of present interest, have such powerful influence as few are able to refift. Mankind are, in all ages, caught by the fame baits: The fame tricks, played over and over again, still trepan them. The heights of popularity and patriotism are still the beaten road to power and tyranny; flattery to treachery; flanding armies to arbitrary government; and the glory of God to the temporal interest of the clergy. The fear of an everlafting destruction of credit, allowing it to be an evil, is a needless bugbear. A prudent man in reality, would rather lend to the public immediately after we had taken a fpunge to our debts than at prefent; as much as an opulent knave, even though one could not force him to pay, is a preferable debtor to an honest bankrupt: For the former, in order to carry on business, may find it his interest to discharge his debts, where they are not exorbitant; the latter has it not in his power. The reasoning of TACITUS\*, as it is eternally true, is very applicable to our prefent case. Sed vulgus ad magnitudinem beneficiorum
aderat: Stultissimus quisque pecuniis mercabatur: Apud
sapientes cassa habebantur, quæ neque dari neque accepi,
salva republica, poterant. The public a consideration of the creditors have upon the constant of the creditors have upon the constant of the coverbalanced by a graph of an oy a difficult, and extraordinary emerge cover supposing that credit irrecoverable. Not to mention, that a present necessity often forces states into measures which are, strictly speaking, against their interest.

These two events, supposed above, are calamitous, but not the most calamitous. Thousands are thereby facrificed to the fafety of millions: But we are not without danger, that the contrary event may take place, and that millions may be facrificed for ever to the temporary fafety of thousands\*. Our popular government, perhaps, will render it difficult or dangerous for a minister to venture on fo desperate an expedient as that of a voluntary bankruptcy: And though the house of Lords be altogether composed of proprietors of land, and the house of Commons chiefly; and confequently neither of them can be supposed to have great property in the funds; yet the connections of the members may be fo great with the proprietors, as to render them more tenacious of public faith, than prudence, polic, or even justice, strictly speaking, requires: And perhaps too, our foreign enemies may be so politic as to discover, that our fafety lies in deipair, and may not, therefore, show the danger, open and barefaced, till it be inevitable. The balance of power in Europe, our grandfathers, our fathers, and we, have all deemed too unequal to be preserved without our attention and affistance: But our children, weary of the struggle, and fettered with ineambrances, may fit down fecure, and fee their neighbours. neighbours oppressed and conquered; till, at last, they themselves and their creditors lie both at the mercy of the conqueror: And this may properly enough be denominated the violent death of our published.

These seem to be the events which are not very remote, and v<sup>1</sup> reason foresees as clearly almost as she can do

And though the ined, that, in order to reach the gift of pressure a certain divine fury or madness was requisite, one may safely affirm, that, in order to deliver such prophecies as these, no more is necessary than merely to be in one's sense, free from the influence of popular madness and delusion.

# ESSAY X.

#### Of some Remarkable Customs.

Shall observe three remarkable customs in three celebrated governments; and shall conclude from the whole, that all general maxims in politics ought to be established with great caution; and that irregular and extraordinary appearances are frequently discovered in the moral, as well as in the physical world. The former, perhaps, we can better account for, after they happen, from springs and principles, of which every one has within himself, or from observation, the strongest affurance and conviction: But it is often sully as impossible for human pradence, before-hand, to foresee and foretel them.

I. One would think it essential to every supreme

council or affembly, which debates, that entire liber-

ty

ty of speech should be granted to every member, and that all motions or reasonings should be received, which can any wife tend to illustrate the point under deliberation. One would conclude, with still greater assurance, that, after a motion-was madewhich was voted and approved by that affembly in which the legislative power is lodged, the member who made the motion must for ever be exempted from future trial or enquiry. But no political maxim can, at first fight, appear more undisputable, than that he must, at least, be secured from all inferior jurisdiction; and that nothing less than the same supreme legislative affembly, in their subsequent meetings, could make him accountable for those motions and harangues, to which they had before given their approbation. But these axioms, however irrefragable they may appear, have all failed in the ATHENIAN government, from causes and principles too, which appear almost inevitable.

By the yeapn magaronwr, or indictment of illegality, (though it has not been remarked by antiquaries or commentators), any man was tried and punished in a common court of judicature, for any law which had passed upon his motion in the assembly of the people, if that law appeared to the court unjust, or prejudicial to the public. Thus DEMOSTHENES, finding that ship-money was levied irregularly, and that the poor bore the same burden as the rich in equipping vallies, corrected this inequality by a very useful law, which proportioned the expence to the revenue and income of each individual. He moved for this law in the affembly; he proved its advantages\*; he convinced the people, the only legislature in ATHENS: the law passed, and was carried into execution: Yet was he tried in a criminal court for that law, upon the complaint of the rich, who refented the alteration that he had introduced into the financest.

<sup>\*</sup> His harangue for it is ftill extant; with Discharge to Pro Crecipson a.

was indeed acquitted, upon proving anew the usefulness of his law.

CTESIPHON moved in the affembly of the people, particular honours should be conferred on DE-

HENES, as on a citizen affectionate and useful to the commonwealth: The people, convinced of this truth, voted the ' ' ' Yet was Ctesiphon tried by the γραφη πο' as afferted, among other topics, that Demosthere was not a good citizen, nor affectionate to the commonwealth: And the orator was called upon to defend his friend, and consequently himself; which he executed by that sublime piece of eloquence, that has ever since been the admiration of mankind.

After the battle of CHÆRONEA, a law was passed upon the motion of HYPERIDES, giving liberty to slaves, and inrolling them in the troops\*. On account of this law, the orator was afterwards tried by the indictment above mentioned, and defended himfels, among other topics, by that stroke celebrated by Plutarch and Longinus. It was not I, said he, that moved for this law: It was the necessities of war; it was the battle of CHÆRONEA. The orations of Demosthenes abound with many instances of trials of this nature, and prove clearly, that nothing was more commonly practised.

The Athenian Democracy was such a tumultuous government as we can scarcely form a notion of in the present age of the world. The whole collective body of the people voted in every law, without any limitation of property, without any distinction of rank, without control from any magistracy or senate; and consequently without regard to order, justice,

† The senate of the Bean was only a less numerous mob, chosen by lot from among the people; and their authority was not great.

<sup>\*</sup> PLUTARCHUS in vita decem oratorum Demosthenes gives a different account of this law. Contra Aristogiton. orat. II. He fays, that its purport was, to render the arise in those who had been declared incapable. Perhaps these were both clauses of the same law.

Justice, or prudence. The Athenians soon became ensible of the mischiefs attending this constitution: But being averse to checking themselves by any rule or restriction, they resolved, at least, to check their demagogues or counsellors, by the sear of sucrapunishment and enquiry. They accordingly instituted this remarkable law; a law esteemed so essential to their form of government, that Æschines insists on it as a known truth, that, were it abolished or neglected, it were impossible for the Democracy to substitute.

The people feared not any ill consequence to liberty from the authority of the criminal courts; because these were nothing but very numerous juries, chosen by lot from among the people. And they justly considered themselves as in a state of perpetual pupillage; where they had an authority, after they came to the use of reason, not only to retract and control whatever had been determined, but to punish any guardian for measures which they had embraced by his persuasion. The same law had place in Thebest; and for the same reason.

It appears to have been a usual practice in ATHENS, on the establishment of any law esteemed very useful or popular, to prohibit for ever its abrogation and repeal. Thus the demagogue, who diverted all the public revenues to the support of shows and specialles, made it criminal so much as to move for a repeal of this law. Thus LEPTINES moved for a law, not only to recal all the immunities formerly granted, but to deprive the people for the future of the power

<sup>\*</sup> In CTESTHONTEM. It is remarkable, that the first step after dissolution of the Democracy by Critias and the Thirty, was to annul the rearn wagaromus, as we learn from Demosthenes xara Timos. The orator in this oration gives us the words of the law, establishing the reason wagaromus, pag. 297. ex edit. Aldi. And he accounts for it, from the same principles we here reason upon.

<sup>†</sup> PLUT. in vita PELOP.

<sup>†</sup> DEMOST. Olynth 1, 2.

of granting any more\*. Thus all bills of attainder were forbid, or laws that affected one ATHENIAN, without extending to the whole commonwealth. These absurd clauses, by which the legislature vaintempted to bind itself for ever, proceeded from an universal sense in the people of their own levity

and inconstancy.

II. A wheel wishin a wheel, fuch as we observe in the GERMAN empire, is confidered by Lord SHAFTES-BURY! as an absurdity in solitics: But what must we fay to two equal wheels, which govern the fame political machine, without any mutual check, control, or subordination; and yet preserve the greatest harmony and concord? To establish two distinct legislatures, each of which possesses full and absolute authority within itself, and stands in no need of the others assistance, in order to give validity to its acts; this may appear before-hand altogether impracticable, as long as men are actuated by the passions of ambition, emulation, and avarice, which have hitherto been their chief governing principles. And should I affert, that the state I have in my eye was divided into two distinct factions, each of which predominated in a distinct legislature, and yet produced no clashing in these independent powers; the supposition may appear incredible. And if, to augment the paradox, I should affirm, that this disjointed, irregular government was the most active, triumphant. and illustrious commonwealth, that ever yet appeared: I should certainly be told, that such a political chimera was as abfurd as any vision of priests or But there is no need for fearthing long, in order to prove the reality of the foregoing suppositions; for this was actually the case with the Ro-MAN republic.

The legislative power was there lodged in the

<sup>\*</sup> DEMOST. contra LEPT.

<sup>. †</sup> DEMOST. contra Aristocratem.

<sup>‡</sup> Essay on the freedom of wit and humour, part 3. §. 2.

comitia centuriata and comitia tributa. In the former, it is well known, the people voted according to their census; so that when the first class was unanimous, though it contained not, perhaps, the hundredth part of the commonwealth, it determined the whole; and, with the authority of the senate, established a law. In the latter, every vote was equal; and as the authority of the lenate was not there requifite, the lower people entirely prevailed, and gave law to the whole fate. In all party-divisions, at first between the PATRICIANS and PLEBIANS, afterwards between the nobles and the people, the interest of the Aristocracy was predominant in the first legislature; that of the Democracy in the second: The one could always destroy what the other had established: Nay, the one, by a sudden and unforeseen motion, might take the ftart of the other, and totally annihilate its rival, by a vote, which, from the nature of the constitution, had the full authority of a But no fuch contest is observed in the history of Rome: No instance of a quarrel between these two legislatures; though many between the parties that governed in each. Whence arose this concord, which may feem fo extraordinary?

The legislature established in Rome, by the authority of Servius Tullius, was the comitia centuriata, which after the expulsion of the kings, rendered the government, for forhe time, very ariftocratical. But the people, having numbers and force on their fide, and being elated with frequent conquests and victories in their foreign wars, always prevailed when pushed to extremity, and first extorted from the senate the magistracy of the tribunes. and next the legislative power of the comitia tributa. It then behoved the nobles to be more careful than ever not to provoke the people. For befide the force which the latter were always possessed of, they had now got possession of legal authority, and could instantly break in pieces any order or institution which

which directly opposed them. By intrigue, by influence, by money, by combination, and by the respect paid to their characters, the nobles might often prevail, and direct the whole machine of government: But had they openly fet t'eir comitia centuriata in opposition to the tributa, they had soon lost the advantage of that institution, together with their confuls, prætors, ediles, and all the magistrates elected But the comitia tributa, not having the same reason for respecting the centuriata, frequently repealed laws favourable to the Aristocracy: limited the authority of the nobles, protected the people from oppression, and controlled the actions of the senate and magistracy. The centuriata found it convenient always to submit; and though equal in authority, yet being inferior in power, durst never directly give any shock to the other legislature, either by repealing its laws, or establishing laws which it forefaw would foon be repealed by it.

No instance is found of any opposition or struggle between these comitia; except one slight attempt of this kind mentioned by Appian in the third book of his civil wars. MARK ANTHONY, refolving to deprive Decimus Brutus of the government of Cisal-PINE GAUL, railed the Forum, and called one of the comitia, in order to prevent the meeting of the other, which had been ordered by the fenate. But affairs were then fallen into fuch confusion, and the ROMAN constitution was so near its final dissolution, that no inference can be drawn from such an expedient. This contest, besides, was founded more on form than party. It was the fenate who ordered the comitia tributa, that they might obstruct the meeting of the centuriata, which by the constitution, or at least forms of the government, could alone dispose of provinces.

CICERO was recalled by the comitia centuriata, though banished by the tributa, that is, by a plebiscitum. But his banishment, we may observe, never was considered as a legal deed, arising from the free choice

choice and inclination of the people. It was always ascribed to the violence alone of CLODIUS, and to the disorders introduced by him into the government.

III. The third custom, which we purpose to remark, regards England; and though it be not for important as those which we have pointed out in ATHENS and ROME, is no less singular and unexpect ed. It is a maxim in politics, which we readily admit as undiffuted and universal, that a power, however great, when granted by law to an eminent magistrate, is not so dangerous to liberty, as an authority, however inconsiderable, which he acquires from violence and usurpation. For, besides that the law always limits every power which it bestows, the very receiving it as a concession establishes the authority whence it is derived, and preserves the harmony of the constitution. By the same right that one prerogative is assumed without law, another may also be claimed, and another, with still greater facility; while the first usurpations both serve as precedents to the following, and give force to maintain them. Hence the heroism of Hampden's conduct, who fustained the whole violence of royal profecution, rather than pay a tax of twenty shillings not imposed by parliament; hence the care of all Eng-LISH patriots to guard against the first encroachments of the crown; and hence alone the existence. at this day, of English liberty.

There is, however, one occasion, where the parliament has departed from this maxim; and that ic, in the pressing of seamen. The exercise of an irregular power is here tacitly permitted in the crown; and though it has frequently been under deliberation, how that power might be rendered legal, and granted, under proper restrictions, to the sovereign, no safe expedient could ever be proposed for that purpose; and the danger to liberty always appeared greater from law than from usurpation. While this power is exercised to no other end than to man the navy, men willingly submit to it, from a sense of its ule and necessity; and the failors, who are alone afected by it, find no body to support them, in claimig the rights and privileges, which the law grants. rithout distinction, to all ENGLISH subjects. vere this power, on any occasion; made an instrunent of faction or ministerial tyranny, the opposite ction, and indeed all lovers of their country, would anmediately take the alarm, and support the injured party; the liberty of Englishmen would be afferted; juries would be implacable; and the tools of tyranny, acting both against law and equity, would meet with the feverest vengeance. On the other hand, were the parliament to grant such an authority, they would probably fall into one of these two inconveniences: They would either bestow it under fo many restrictions as would make it lose its effect. by cramping the authority of the crown; or they would render it so large and comprehensive, as might give occasion to great abuses, for which we could, in that case, have no remedy. The very irregularity of the practice, at present, prevents its abuses, by affording so easy a remedy against them.

I pretend not, by this reasoning, to exclude all possibility of contriving a register for seamen, which might man the navy, without being dangerous to liberty. I only observe, that no satisfactory scheme of that nature has yet been proposed. Rather than adopt any project hitherto invented, we continue a practice feemingly the most absurd and unaccountable. Authority, in times of full internal peace and concord, is armed against law. A continued violence is permitted in the crown, amidst the greatest jealousy and watchfulness in the people; nay proceeding from those very principles: Liberty, in a country of the highest liberty, is left entirely to its own defence, without any countenance or protection? The wild state of nature is renewed, in one of the most civilized focieties of mankind: And great violence and dif-Vol. I. order

338 Populousness of Ancient Nations. order are committed with impunity; while the one party pleads obedience to the supreme magistrate, the other, the sanction of fundamental laws.

# E S S A Y XI.

Of the Populousness of Ancient Nations,

THERE is very little ground, either from reafon or observation, to conclude the world eternal or incorruptible. The continual and rapid motion of matter, the violent revolutions with which every part is agitated, the changes remarked in the heavens, the plain traces as well as tradition of an universal deluge, or general convulsion of the elements; all these prove strongly the mortality of this fabric of the world, and its passage, by corruption or diffolution, from one flate or order to another. It must therefore, as well as each individual form which it contains, have its infancy, youth, manhood, and old age; and it is probable, that, in all these variations, man, equally with every animal and vegetable, will partake. In the flourishing ago of the world, it may be expected, that the human fpecies should possess greater vigour both of mind and body, more prosperous health, higher spirits, longer life, and a stronger inclination and power of generation. But if the general fystem of things, and human fociety of course, have any fuch gradual revolutions, they are too flow to be discernible in that fhort period which is comprehended by history and gradition. Stature and force of body, length of life, even courage and extent of genius, feem hitherto to have

have been naturally, in all ages; pretty much the Table. The arts and sciences, indeed, have flourished In one period, and have decayed in another: But we may observe, that, at the time when they role to significant among one people, they were perhaps totally unknown to all the neighbouring nations; and though they univerfally decayed in one age, yet in a fucceeding generation they again revived, and diffused themselves over the world. As far, therefore, as observation reaches, there is no universal difference discernible in the human species; and though it were allowed, that the universe, like an animal body. had a natural progrefs from infancy to old age; yet as it must still be uncertain, whether, at present, it be advancing to its point of perfection, or declining from it, we cannot thence presuppose any decay in human nature \*. To prove, therefore, or account for that fuperior populousnels of antiquity, which is commonly supposed, by the imaginary youth or vigour of the world, will feareely be admitted by any just reasoner. These general physical causes ought entirely to be excluded from this question.

There are indeed some more particular physical causes of importance. Diseases are mentioned in antiquity, which are almost unknown to modern medicine; and new difeases have arisen and propagated themselves, of which there are no traces in ancient his-In this particular we may observe, upon comparison, that the disadvantage is much on the side of the modrens. Not to mention some others of less moment; the small-pox commit such ravages, as would almost alone account for the great superiority ascribed to ancient times. The tenth or the twelfth part of mankind, destroyed every generation, should make a vast difference, it may be thought, in the numbers of the people; and when joined to venereal distempers, a new plague diffuled every where, this difeate is perhaps equivalent, by its constant operation, to the the three great scourges of mankind, war, pestilence, and famine. Were it certain, therefore, that ancient times were more populous than the present, and could no moral causes be assigned for so great a change these physical causes alone, in the opinion of many would be sufficient to give us satisfaction on the head.

But is it certain, that antiquity was fo much more populous, as is pretended? The extravagancies of Vossius, with regard to this fubject, are well known. But an author of much greater genius and discernment has ventured to affirm, that according to the best computations which these subjects will admit of. there are not now, on the face of the earth, the fiftieth part of mankind, which existed in the time of Julius Cæsar \*. It may easily be observed, that the comparison, in this case, must be imperfect, even though we confine ourselves to the scene of ancient history; EUROPE, and the nations round the MEDI-TERRANEAN. We know not exactly the numbers of any European kingdom, or even city, at prefent: How can we pretend to calculate those of ancient cities and states, where historians have left us such imperfect traces? For my part, the matter appears to me fo uncertain, that, as I intend to throw together fome reflections on that head, I shall intermingle the enquiry concerning causes with that concerning facts; which ought never to be admitted, where the facts can be ascertained with any tolerable assurance. shall, first, consider whether it be probable, from what we know of the situation of society in both periods, that antiquity must have been more populous; fecondly, whether in reality it was fo. If I can make it appear, that the conclusion is not so certain as is pretended. in favour of antiquity, it is all I aspire to.

In general, we may observe, that the question, with regard to the comparative populousness, of ages or kingdoms,

<sup>\*</sup> Lettrer Persanes. See also L' Esprit de Loix, liv. xxiii cap. (

kingdoms, implies important confequences. commonly determines concerning the preference of their whole police, their manners, and the conflitution of their government. For as there is in all men. both male and female, a defire and power of generation, more active than is ever univerfally exerted. the restraints which they lie under, must proceed from some difficulties in their situation, which it belongs to a wife legislature carefully to observe and remove. Almost every man; who thinks he can maintain a family, will have one; and the human species at this rate of propagation, would more than double every generation. How fast do mankind multiply in every colony or new fettlement, where it is an eafy matter to provide for a family; and where men are nowife straitened or confined, as in long established governments? History tells us frequently of plagues, which have fwept away the third or fourth part of a people: Yet in a generation or two, the destruction was not perceived; and the fociety had again acquired their former number. The lands were again cultivated, the houses built, the commodities raised, the riches acquired, enabled the people, who escaped, immediately to marry, and to rear families, which supplied the place of those who had perished \*. And for a like reason, every wife, just, and mild government, by rendering the condition of its subjects eafy and fecure, will always abound most in people, as well as in commodities and riches. A country, indeed, whose climate and soil are fitted for vines, will naturally be more populous than one which produces corn only; and that more populous than one which is only fitted for pasturage. In general, warm climates.

<sup>\*</sup> This too is a good reason why the small-pox does not depopulate countries so much as may at first fight be imagined. Where there is room for more people, they will always arise, even without the assistance of naturalization bills. It is remarked by Don Geronima De Ustariz, that the provinces of Spain, which fend most people to the Indies, are most populous; which proceeds from their superior riches.

## POPULOUSNESS OF ANCIENT NATIONS.

climates, as the necessities of the inhabitants are fewer, and vegitation more powerful, are likely to sa most populous: But if every thing else be equal, it feems natural to expect, that, wherever there are most happiness and virtue, and the wifest institutions,

there will also be most people.

The question, therefore, concerning the populousness of ancient and modern times, being allowed of great importance, it will be requifite, if we would bring it to some determination, to compare both the domestic and political fituation of these two periods, in order to judge of the facts by their moral causes; which is the first view in which we proposed to con-

fider them.

The chief difference between the domestic economy of the ancients and that of the moderns confifts in the practice of flavery which prevailed among the former, and which has been abolished for some centuries throughout the greater part of Europe. passionate admirers of the ancients, and zealous partizans of civil liberty (for these sentiments, as they are, both of them in the main, extremely just, are found to be almost inseparable), cannot forbear regretting the loss of this inflitution; and whilst they brand all submission to the government of a single person with the harsh denomination of slavery, they would gladly reduce the greater part of mankind to real flavery and fubication: but to one who confiders coolly on the subject, it will appear, that human nature, in general, really enjoys more liberty at prefent, in the most arbitrary government of Europe, than it ever did during the most flourishing period of ancient times. As much as fubmission to a petty prince, whose dominions extend not beyond a fingle city, is more grievous than obedience to a great monarch; much is domestic flavery more cruel and oppressive than any civil subjection whatsoever. The more the master is removed from us in place and rank, the greater liberty we enjoy; the less are our actions inipected and controlled; and the fainter that cruel

comparison becomes between our own subjection, the freedom, and even dominion of another. The remains which are found of domestic flavery. in the American colonies, and among fome Euro-PEAN nations, would never furely create a defire of rendering it more universal. The little humahity commonly observed in persons accustomed, from their infancy, to exercise so great authority over their fellow-creatures, and to trample upon human nature, were fufficient alone to disgust as with that unbounded dominion. Nor can a more probable reason be assigned for the severe, I might lay, barbarous manners of ancient times, than the practice of domestic flavery; by which every man of rank was rendered a petty tyrant, and educated amidst the flattery, submission, and low debasement of his flaves.

According to ancient practice, all checks were on the inferior, to reftrain him to the duty of fubmission; none on the superior, to engage him to the reciprocal duties of gentlehess and humanity. In modern times, a bad fervant finds not easily a good master, nor a bad master a good servant; and the checks are mutual, suitably to the inviolable and eternal laws of reason and equity.

The custom of exposing old, useless, or sick slaves in an island of the Tyber, there to starve, seems to have been pretty common in Rome; and whoever recovered, after having been so exposed, had his liberty given him by an edict of the emperor Claudius; in which it was likewise forbidden to kill any slave merely for old age or sickness\*: But supposing that this edict was strictly obeyed, would it better the domestic treatment of slaves, or render their lives much more comfortable? We may imagine what others would practise, when it was the professed maxim of the elder Cato, to sell his superannuated slaves for any price, rather than maintain what he esteemed a description.

Y 4 The \* Suetonius in vita Claudii. † Plut, in vita Catonis.

#### Populousness of Ancient Nations. 344

The ergastula, or dungeons, where flaves in chains were forced to work, were very common all giver ITALY. COLUMELLA advises\*, that they be always built under ground; and recommends + it as the duty of a careful overfeer, to call over every day the names of these slaves, like the mustering of a regiment or ship's company, in order to know presently when any of them had deferted. A proof of the frequency of these ergastula, and of the great number of slaves usually confined in them.

A chained flave for a porter, was usual in Rome, as appears from Ovint, and other authors §. Had not these people shaken off all sense of compassion towards that unhappy part of their species, would they have presented their friends, at the first entrance, with fuch an image of the severity of the master, and mi-

fery of the flave?

Nothing so common in all trials, even of civil causes, as to call for the evidence of flaves; which was always extorted by the most exquisite torments. DE-MOSTHENES fays |, that, where it was possible to produce, for the same fact, either freemen or flaves as witneffes, the judges always preferred the torturing of ilaves as a more certain evidence ¶.

Seneca draws a picture of that diforderly luxury which changes day into night, and night into day, and inverts every stated hour of every office in life. Among other circumstances, such as displacing the meals and times of bathing; he mentions, that, regularly about the third hour of the night, the neighbours of one, who indulges this false refinement, hear the noise of whips and lashes, and upon enquiry, find

<sup>\*</sup> lib. i. cap. 6. + Id. lib. xi. cap i. 1 Amor. lib. i. eleg. 6.

Surton. de claris rhetor. So also the ancient poets Jani. Soris tintinire impedimenta audio.

<sup>|</sup> In Oniterem orat. 1.

The same practice was very common in Rome; but CICERO seems not to think this evidence so certain as the testimony of free citizens. Pro Galio.

that he is then taking an account of the conduct of his fervants, and giving them due correction and difcipline. This is not remarked as an inflance of cruelty, but only of diforder, which, even in actions the most usual and methodical, changes the fixed hours that an established custom had assigned for them\*

But our present business is only to consider the influence of flavery on the populousness of a state. It is pretended, that, in this particular, the ancient practice had infinitely the advantage, and was the chief cause of that extreme populousness which is supposed in those times. At present, all masters discourage the marrying of their male fervants, and admit not by any means the marriage of the female, who are then supposed altogether in capacitated for their service. But where the property of the fervants is lodged in the master, their marriage forms his riches, and brings him a fuccession of slaves that supply the place of those whom age and infirmity have difabled. He encourages, therefore, their propagation as much as that of his cattle; rears the young with the same care; and educates them to fome art or calling, which may render them more useful or valuable to him. opulent are, by this policy, interested in the being at least, though not in the well-being, of the poor; and enrich themselves, by encreasing the number and industry of those who are subjected to them. Each man, being a fovereign in his own family, has the fame interest with regard to it, as the prince with regard to the state; and has not, like the prince, any opposite motives of ambition or vain-glory, which may lead him to depopulate his little fovereignty. All of it is, at all times, under his eye; and he has leifure to inspect the most minute detail of the marriage and education of his subjects\*.

Such

\* See NOTE [U].

<sup>†</sup> We may here observe, that if domestic slavery really encreased populousness, it would be an exception to the general rule, that the hap-

#### 346 Populousness of Ancient Nations.

Such are the confequences of domestic flavery, according to the first aspect and appearance of things: But if we enter more deeply into the subject, we shall perhaps find reason to retract our hasty determina-The comparison is shocking between the management of human creatures and that of cattle; but being extremely just, when applied to the prefent fubject, it may be proper to trace the confequences of it. At the capital, near all great cities, in all populous, rich, industrious provinces, few cattle are bred. Provisions, lodging, attendance, labour, are there dear; and men find their account better in buying the cattle, after they come to a certain age, from the remoter and cheaper countries. These are conrequently the only breeding countries for cattle; and by a parity of reason, for men too, when the latter are put on the same footing with the former. rear a child in London till he could be ferviceable. would cost much dearer, than to buy one of the same age from Scotland or Ireland, where he had been bred in a cottage, covered with rags, and fed on oatmeal or potatoes. Those who had flaves, therefore, in all the richer and more populous countries, would discourage the pregnancy of the females, and either prevent or destroy the birth. The human species would perish in those places where it ought to encrease the fastest; and a perpetual recruit be wanted from the poorer and more defert provinces. Such a continued drain would tend mightily to depopulate the state, and render great cities ten times more deflructive than with us, where every man is mafter of himself; and provides for his children from the powerful instinct of nature, not the calculations of fordid interest. If London, at present, without much encreasing, needs a yearly recruit from the country

happiness of any society and its populoushess are necessary attendants. A master, from humour or interest, may make his slaves very unhappy; yet be careful, from interest, to encrease their number. Their marriage is not a matter of choice with them, more than any other action of their life.

of 5000 people, as is usually computed, what must it require, if the greater part of the tradesmen and common people were slaves, and were hindered from breeding by their avaricious masters?

ancient authors tell us, that there was a perial flux of flaves to ITALY from the remoter proinces, particularly SYRIA, CILICIA\*, CAPPADOCIA,

re lesser Asia, Thrace, and Ægypt; Yet the of people did not encrease in Italy; and will be complain of the continual decay of industry and agriculture. Where then is that extreme fertility of the Roman flaves, which is commonly supposed? So far from multiplying, they could not, it teems, so much as keep up the stock without immense recruits: And though great numbers were continually manumitted and converted into Roman citizens, the numbers even of these did not encrease, till the freedom of the city was communicated to foreign provinces.

The term for a flave, born and bred in the family, was verna \( \); and these slaves seem to have been entitled by custom to privileges and indulgences beyond others; a sufficient reason why the masters would not be fond of rearing many of that kind \( \). Whoever is acquainted with the maxims of our planters, will reduce the instance of this enforcement.

acknowledge the justness of this observation ¶.

ÄTTICUS

\* Ten thousand slaves in a day have often been sold for the use of the Romans at Drive in Cilicia. Strabo, lib. xiv.

† COLUMELLA, lib. i. proem. et cap. 2° et 7. VARRO, lib. iii. cap. i.
HORAT. lib. ii. od. 15. Tacit. annal. lib. iii. cap. 54. Sueton.
in vita Aug. cap. xlii. Plin. lib. xviii. cap. 13.

† Minore indies plebe ingenua, says Tacitus, ann. lib. xxiv.

cap. 7. See NOTE [X].

Werna is used by Roman writers as a word equivalent to scurra, on account of the petulance and impudence of those slaves. Mart. lib. i. ep. 42. Horace also mentions the verna procaces; and Petronius, cap. 24. vernula urbanitas. Seneca, de provid. cap. 1. vernularum licentia.

It is computed in the West Indies, that a flock of flaves grow worse five per cent. every year, unless new slaves be bought to recruit them. They are not able to keep up their number, even in those warm countries, where cloaths and provisions are so easily got

# 348 Populousness of Ancient Nations

ATTICUS is much praised by his historian, for the care which he took in recruiting his family from the flaves born in it \*: May we not thence infer, that

this practice was not then very common?

The names of flaves in the Greek comedies, Syrus, Mysus, Geta, Thrax, Davus, Lydus, Phryx, &c. afford a prefumption, that, at Athens at leaft most of the flaves were imported from foreign countries. The Athenians, says Strabot, gave to their flaves, either the names of the nations whence they were bought, as Lydus, Syrus; or the names that were most common among those nations, as Manes or Midas to a Phrygian, Tibias to a Paphlagonian.

Demosthenes, having mentioned a law which forbad any man to strike the slave of another, praises the humanity of this law; and adds, that, if the barbarians, from whom the slaves were bought, had information that their countrymen met with such gentle treatment, they would entertain a great esteem fo the Athenians. Isocrates too || infinuates, that the slaves of the Greeks were generally or very commonly barbarians. Aristotle in his Politics plainly supposes, that a slave is always a foreigner. The ancient comic writers represented the slaves as speaking a barbarous language . This was an imitation of nature.

' It

got. How much more must this happen in European countries, and in or near great cities? I shall add, that, from the experience of our planters, slavery is as little advantageous to the master as to the slave, wherever hired servants can be procured. A man is obliged to cloath and feed his slave; and he does no more for his servant: The price of the first purchase is, therefore, so much loss to him: not to mention, that the sear of punishment will never draw so much labour from a slave, as the dread of being turned off, and not getting another service, will from a free-man.

\*Corn. Newos in vita Attic. We may remark, that Atticus's estate lay chiesly in Epirus; which, being a remote, descolate place, would render it profitable for him to rear slaves there.

† Lib. vii. † În Midiam, p. 221. ex edit. Aldi. Panegyr. f Lib. vii. cap. 10. sub fin.

ARISTOPH. Equites, l. 17. The ancient scholiast remark on this passage Bage Sage Sur dunde

It is well known that Demostheres, in his nonage, had been defrauded of a large fortune by his
tutors, and that afterwards he recovered, by a profecution at law, the value of his patrimony. His
orations, on that occasion, still remain, and contain
an exact detail of the whole substance left by his
\*\*, in money, merchandise, houses, and slaves,
with the value of each particular. Among
were 52 slaves, handicraftsmen, namely, 32

not a word of any wives, children, or family, which they certainly would have had, had it been a common practice at Athens to breed from the flaves: And the value of the whole must have much depended on that circumstance. No female slaves are even so much as mentioned, except some house-maids, who belonged to his mother. This argument has

great force, if it be not altogether conclusive.

Confider this passage of Plutarcht, speaking of the Elder Cato. "He had a great number of " flaves, whom he took care to buy at the fales of " prisoners of war; and he chose them young, that "they might eafily be accustomed to any diet or " manner of life, and be instructed in any business " or labour, as men teach any thing to young dogs " or horses.—And esteeming love the chief source of " all disorders, he allowed the male slaves to have a " commerce with the female in his family, upon " paying a certain fum for this privilege: But he " ftrictly prohibited all intrigues out of his family." Are there any fymptoms in this narration of that care which is supposed in the ancients, of the marriage and propagation of their flaves? If that was a common practice, founded on general interest, it would furely have been embraced by CATO, who was a great. economist, and lived in times when the an-

<sup>\*</sup> In Amphobum orat. 1.

<sup>, †</sup> κλινοποίο, makers of those beds which the ancients lay upon at meals.

In vita CATONIS.

cient frugality and fimplicity of manners were still in credit and reputation.

It is expressly remarked by the writers of the Ro-Man law, that scarcely any ever purchase slaves with

a view of breeding from them\*.

Our lackies and house-maids, I own, do not serve much to multiply their species: But the ancients, besides those who attended on their person, had almost all their labour performed, and even manufactures executed, by slaves, who lived, many of them, in their family; and some great men possessed to the number of 10,000. If there be any suspicion, therefore, that this institution was unfavourable to propagation (and the same reason, at least in part, holds with regard to ancient saves as modern servants), how destructive must slavery have proved?

History mentions a Roman nobleman, who had 400 slaves under the same roof with him: and having been assassinated at home by the surious revenge of one of them, the law was executed with rigour, and all without exception were put to death. Many other Roman noblemen had families equally, or more numerous; and I believe every one will allow, that this would scarcely be practicable, were we to suppose all the slaves married, and the semales to be

breeders !.

So early as the poet Hesion, married flaves, whether male or female, were esteemed inconvenient. How much more, where families had encreased to such an enormous fize as in Rome, and where the ancient simplicity of manners was banished from all ranks of people?

Xenophon

## \* See NOTE [Y].

<sup>†</sup> Tacit. ann. lib. xiv. cap. 43.

† The flaves in the great houses had little rooms affigned them, called cellæ. Whence the name of cell was transferred to the monks room in a convent. See farther on this head, Just. Lipsius, Saturn. i. cap. 14. These form strong presumptions against the marriage and propagation of the family slaves.

§ Opera et Dies, lib. ii. 1. 24. also 1. 220.

XENOPHON in his Occonomics, where he gives directions for the management of a farm, recommends a first care and attention of laying the male and the female slaves at a distance from each other. He seems not to suppose that they are ever married. The only slaves among the GREEKS that appear to stave continued their own race, were the Helotes, who had houses apart, and were more the slaves of the public than of individuals\*.

The same author † tells us, that Nicias's overseer, by agreement with his master, was obliged to pay him an obolus a day for each slave; besides maintaining them, and keeping up the number. Had the ancient slaves been all breeders, this last circumstance of the contract had been superstuous.

The ancients talk so frequently of a fixed, stated portion of provisions assigned to each slavet, that we are naturally led to conclude, that slaves lived almost all single, and received that portion as a kind

of board-wages.

The practice, indeed, of marrying flaves feems not to have been very common, even among the country labourers, where it is more naturally to be expected. Cato ||, enumerating the flaves requisite to labour a vineyard of a hundred acres, makes them amount to 15; the overfeer and his wife, villicus and villica, and 13 male flaves; for an olive plantation of 240 acres, the overfeer and his wife, and 11 male flaves; and fo in proportion to a greater or less plantation of vineyard.

VARROS, quoting this paffage of CATO, allows his computation to be just in every respect, except the last. For as it is requisite, says he, to have an overfeer and his wife, whether the vineyard or plantation.

be

9-Lib. i. cap. 18.

<sup>\*</sup> STRABO, lib. viii. † De ratione redituum. • ‡ See Cato de re rustica, cap. 56. Donatus in Phormion, L.1.9. Senecæ epist. 80.

<sup>. . ||</sup> De re ruft. cap. 10, 11.

352 Populousness of Ancient Nations.

be great or small, this must alter the exactness of the proportion. Had CATO'S computation been erroneous in any other respect, it had certainly been corrected by VARRO, who seems fond of discovering so trivial an error.

The same author\* as well as Columble 1, recommends it as requisite to give a wife to the overfeer, in order to attach him the more strongly to his master's service. This was therefore a peculiar indulgence granted to a slave, in whom so great confidence was reposed.

In the same place, Varro mentions it as an useful precaution, not to buy too many slaves from the same nation, lest they beget factions and seditions in the samily: A presumption, that in ITALY, the greater part, even of the country labouring slaves (for he speaks of no other), were bought from the remoter provinces. All the world knows, that the samily slaves in Rome, who were instruments of show and luxury, were commonly imported from the east. Hoc profecere, says Pliny, speaking of the jealous care of masters, mancipiorum legiones, et in domo turba externa, ac servorum quoque causa nomenclator adhibendus t

It is indeed recommended by VARRO , to propagate young shepherds in the family from the old ones. For as grazing farms were commonly in remote and cheap places, and each shepherd lived in a cottage apart, his marriage and encrease were not liable to the same inconveniences as in dearer places, and where many servants lived in the family; which was universally the case in such of the Roman sarms as produced wine or corn. If we consider this exception with regard to shepherds, and weigh the reasons of it, it will serve for a strong confirmation of all our foregoing suspicions.

<sup>\*</sup> Lib. i. cap. 17. † Lib i. cap. 18. † Lib. xxxiii. cap. 1. So likewife Tacıtus, annal. lib. xiv. cap.

<sup>||</sup> Lib. ii. cap. 10.
| Pattoris duri, est hic filius, ille hubulci. Juvan, sat. 11. 1/1

COLUMELLA\*, I own, advises the master to give a reward, and even liberty to a female flave, that had reared him above three children: A proof, that fometimes the ancients propagated from their flaves: which, indeed, cannot be denied. Were it otherwife, the practice of flavery being fo common in antiquity, must have been destructive to a degree which no expedient could repair. All I pretend to infer from these reasonings is, that slavery is in general difadvantageous both to the happiness and populousness of mankind, and that its place is much better tupplied by the practice of hired fervants.

The laws, or as some writers calls them, the seditions of the GRACCHI, were occasioned by their obferving the encrease of slaves all over ITALY, and the diminution of free citizens. Apprant ascribes this encrease to the propagation of the slaves: Plutarch! to the purchasing of barbarians, who were chained and imprisoned βαρθαρικα δεσμωτηριά . It is to be pre-

fumed that both causes concurred.

Sicily, fays Florus S., was full of ergastula, and was cultivated by labourers in chains. Eunus and ATHENIO excited the servile war, by breaking up these monstrous prisons, and giving liberty to 60,000 The younger Pompey augmented his army in Spain by the fame expedient . If the country,

Vol. I. labourers. † De bel. civ. lib. i. \* Lib. i. cap. 8.

† In vita Tib. & C. GRACCHI.

To the same purpose is that passage of the elder SENECA, ex controversia s. lib. v. " "Arata quondam populis rura, singulorum ergastulorum sunt; latiusque nunc villici, quam olim reges, impo-At nunc eadem," fays Print, " vincti pedes, damnatæ manus, inscripti vultus exercent." Lib. xviii. cap. 3. So also MARTIAL.

" Et sonet innumera compede Thuseus ager." Lib. ix. ep. 23. And Lucan. "Tum longos jungere fines

Agrorum, et quondam duro sulcata Camilli, Vomere et antiquas Curiorum passa ligones,

Longa sub ignotis extendere rura colonis."

" Vincto follore coluntur , Helperiz segetes-" Lib. vii. f Lib. iii. cap. 19.

4 Id. lib. iv.cap. 8.

354 Populousness of Ancient Nations.

labourers, throughout the Roman empire, were fo generally in this tituation, and if it was difficult or impossible to find feparate lodgings for the families of the city fervants, how unfavourable to propagation, as well as to humanity, must the institution of domestic slavery be esteemed?

Constantinople, at present, requires the same recruits of slaves from all the provinces that Rome did of old; and these provinces are of consequence

far from being populous.

EGYPT, according to Monf. MAILLET, fends continual colonies of black flaves to the other parts of the Turkish empire; and receives annually an equal return of white: The one brought from the inland parts of Africa; the other from Mingrelia, Circassia, and Tartary.

Our modern convents are, no doubt, bad infitutions: But there is reason to suspect, that anciently every great family in ITALY, and probably in other parts of the world, was a species of convent. And though we have reason to condemn all those popular institutions as nurseries of superstition, burthensome to the public, and oppressive to the poor prisoners, male as well as semale; yet may it be questioned whether they be so destructive to the populousness of a state as is commonly imagined. Were the land which belongs to a convent bestowed on a nobleman, he would spend its revenue on dogs, horses, grooms, sootmen, cooks, and house-maids; and his family would not surnish many more citizens than the convent.

The common reason why any parent thrusts his daughters into numeries, is, that he may not be overburthened with too numerous a family; but the ancients had a method almost as innocent, and more effectual to that purpose, to wit, exposing their children in early infancy. This practice was very common; and is not spoken of by any author of those

tin ec

with disapprobation. Protarch, the humane, goodnatured Protarch, mentions it as a merit in Attalus, king of Pergamus, that he murdered, or, if
you will, exposed all his own children, in order to
leave his crown to the son of his brother Eumenes;
fignalizing in this manner his gratitude and affection
to Eumenes, who had left him his heir preserably
to that son. It was Solon, the most celebrated of
the sages of Greece, that gave parents permission by
law to kill their children?

Shall we then allow there two circumstances to compensate each other, to wit, monastic vows, and the exposing of children, and to be unfavourable, in equal degrees, to the propagation of mankind? I doubt the advantage is here on the side of antiquity. Perhaps, by an odd connection of causes, the barbarous practice of the ancients might rather render those times more populous. By removing the terrors of too numerous a family it would engage many people in martiage; and such is the force of natural affection, that very sew, in comparison, would have resolution enough, when it came to the push, to carry into execution their former intentions.

CHINA, the only country where this practice of exposing children prevails at present, is the most populous country we know of; and every man is married before he is twenty. Such early marriages could scarcely be general, had not men the prospect of so easy a method of getting rid of their children. I own, that || Plutarch speaks of it as a very general maxim of the poor to expose their children; and as the rich were then averse to marriage, on account of the courtship they met with from those who expected

\* JACITUS blames it. De morib. Germ.

The fraterno amore. Seneca also approves of the exposing of fickly infirm children. De ira, lip. i. cap. 15.

<sup>†</sup> SEXT. EMP. lib. iii. cap. 24.

356 Populousness of Angient Nations.
pected legacies from them, the public must have been in a bad situation between them\*.

Of all sciences there is none, where first appearances are more deceitful than in politics. for foundlings feem favourable to the encrease of numbers; and perhaps may be fo, when kept under proper restrictions. But when they open the door to every one, without distinction, they have probably a contrary effect, and are pernicious to the state. It is computed, that every ninth child born at PARIS is fent to the hospital; though it feems certain, according to the common course of human affairs, that it is not a hundredth child whose parents are altogether incapacitated to rear and educate him. great difference for health, industry, and morals. between an education in an hospital and that in a private family, should induce us not to make the entrance into the former too eafy and engaging. kill one's own child is shocking to nature, and must therefore be formewhat unufual; but to turn over the care of him upon others, is very tempting to the natural indolence of mankind.

Having confidered the domestic life and manners of the ancients, compared to those of the moderns; where, in the main, we seem rather superior, so far as the present question is concerned; we shall now examine the *political* customs and institutions of both ages, and weigh their influence in retarding or forwarding the propagation of mankind.

Before the encrease of the Roman power, or rather till its full establishment, almost all the nations which are the scene of ancient history, were divided into small territories or petty commonwealths, where of course a great equality of fortune prevailed, and the center of the government was always very near its frontiers.

This was the fituation of affairs not only in GREEGE and ITALY, but also in SPAIN, GAUL, GERMANY,

Arric, and a great part of the Lesser Asia: And it - must be owned, that no institution could be more favourable to the propagation of mankind. For, though a man of an overgrown fortune, not being alle to consume more than another, must share it , with those who serve and attend him; yet their pos-. fesion being precarious, they have not the same encouragement to marry, as if each had a small fortune. fecure and independent. Enormous cities are, befides, destructive to society, beget vice and disorder of all kinds, starve the remoter provinces, and even flarve themselves, by the prices to which they raise all provisions. Where each man had his little house and field to himself, and each county had its capital, free and independent; what a happy fituation of mankind! How favourable to industry and agriculture; to marriage and propagation! The prolific virtue of men, were it to act in its full extent, without that restraint which poverty and necessity impose on it, would double the number every generation: And nothing furely can give it more liberty, than fuch small commonwealths, and such an equality of fortune among the citizens. All small states naturally produce equality of fortune, because they afford no opportunities of great encrease; but small commonwealths much more, by that division of power and authority which is effential to them.

When XENOPHON\* returned after the famous expedition with Cyrus, he hired himself and 6000 of the Greeks into the service of Seuthes, a prince of Thrace; and the articles of his agreement were, that each soldier should receive a daric a month, each captain two darics, and he himself, as general, sour: A regulation of pay which would not a little surprise our modern officers.

DEMOSTHENES and ÆSCHINES, with eight more, were sent ambassadors to Philip of Macedon, and their appointments for above four months were a Z 2 thousand

<sup>\*</sup> De exp. Cra. lib. vii.

358 Populousness of Angient Nations.

thousand drachmas, which is less than a drachma aday for each ambassador\*. But a drachma aday, nay sometimes two; was the pay of a common infoldier.

A centurion among the Romans had only pay to a private man in Polybius's time; a. accordingly find the gratuities after a triumph reg. lated by that proportion. But Mark Anthony and the triumvirate gave the centurions five times the reward of the other. So much had the encrease of the commonwealth encreased the inequality among the citizens.

It must be owned, that the situation of affairs in modern times, with regard to civil liberty, as well as equality of fortune, is not near fo favourable, either to the propagation or happiness of mankind. EUROPE is shared out mostly into great monarchies; and such parts of it as are divided into small territories, are commonly governed by absolute princes, who ruin their people by a mimicry of the greater monarchs, in the fplendor of their court and number of their Swisserland alone and Holland refemble the ancient republics; and though the former is far from possessing any advantage either of soil, climate, or commerce, yet the numbers of people with which it abounds, notwithstanding their enlisting themselves into every fervice in EUROPE, prove sufficiently the advantages of their political institutions.

The ancient republics derived their chief or only fecurity from the numbers of their citizens. The TRACHINIANS having lost great numbers of their people, the remainder, instead of enriching themselves by

<sup>\*</sup> Demost. de falsa leg. He calls it a considerable sum. † Thucyp. lib. iii. ‡ Lib. vi. cap. 37.

<sup>\*||</sup> Tit. Liv. lib. xli. cap. 7. 13. & alibi passim. Appian. De bell. civ. lib. iv.

CESAR gave the centurions ten times the gratuity of the common foldiers. De bello Gallico, lib viii. In the RHODIAN cartel, mentioned afterwards, no diffinction in the ranfom was made on account of ranks in the army.

the inheritance of their fellow-citizens, applied to SPARTA, their metropolis, for a new stock of inhabitants. The SPARTANS immediately collected ten thousand men; among whom the old citizens divided the lands of which the former proprietors had perished\*.

After Timoleon had banished Dionysius from Syracuse, and had fettled the affairs of Sicily, finding the cities of Syracuse and Sellinuntium extremely depopulated by tyranny, war, and faction, he invited over from GREECE fome new inhabitants to repeople them +. Immediately forty thousand men (PLUTARCH! favs fixty thousand) offered themselves: and he distributed so many lots of land among them. to the great fatisfaction of the ancient inhabitants: A proof at once of the maxims of ancient policy, which affected populousness more than riches; and of the good effects of these maxims, in the extreme populousness of that small country GREECE, which could at once supply so great a colony. The case was not much different with the Romans in early times. He is a pernicious citizen, faid M. Curius, who cannot be content with lever | acres. Such ideas of equality could not fail of producing great numbers of people.

We must now consider what disadvantages the ancients lay under with regard to populousness, and what checks they received from their political maxims and institutions. There are commonly compensations in every human condition; and though these compensations be not always perfectly equal, yet they serve, at least, to restrain the prevailing principle. To compare them and estimate their influence, is indeed difficult, even where they take place in the same age, and in neighbouring countries: But where several ages have intervened, and only scattered lights are afforded us by ancient authors, what can we do but amuse by talking pro and con, on an intere-

<sup>\*</sup> Diop. Sic. lib. xii. Thucyb. lib. iii.

<sup>†</sup> Dipp. Sic. lib. xvi # In vita Timol.

560 Populousness of Ancient Nations. fling subject, and thereby correcting all hasty and

violent determinations?

First, We may observe, that the ancient republics were almost in perpetual war; a natural effect of their martial spirit, their love of liberty, their mutual equilation, and that hatred which generally prevails among nations that live in close neighbourhood. Now, war in a small state is much more destructive than in a great one; both because all the inhabitants, in the former case, must serve in the armies; and because the whole state is frontier, and is all exposed to the inroads of the enemy.

The maxims of ancient war were much more defiructive than those of modern; chiefly by that distribution of plunder in which the soldiers were indulged. The private men in our armies are such a low set of people, that we find any abundance, beyond their simple pay, breeds confusion and disorder among them, and a total dissolution of discipline. The very wretchedness and meanness of those who fill the modern armies, render them less destructive to the countries which they invade: One instance, among many, of the deceitfulness of first appearances in all political reasonings.\*

Ancient battles were much more bloody, by the very nature of the weapons employed in them. The ancients drew up their men 16 or 20, fometimes 50, men deep, which made a narrow front; and it was not difficult to find a field, in which both armies might be marshalled, and might engage with each other. Even where any body of the troops was kept off by hedges, hillocks, woods, or hollow ways, the battle was not so foon decided between the contending parties, but that the others had time to overcome the

\* The ancient foldiers, being free citizens, above the lowest ink, were all married. Our modern soldiers are either forced to live unmarried, or their marriages turn to small account towards the increase of mankind. A circumstance which ought, perhaps, to be taken into consideration, as of some consequence in favour of the ancients:

difficulties which opposed them, and take part in the engagement. And as the whole army was thus engaged, and each man closely buckled to his antagonist, the battles were commonly very bloody, and great slaughter was made on both sides, especially on the vanquissed. The long thin lines, required by the fire-arms, and the quick decision of the fray, render our modern engagements but partial rencounters; and enable the general, who is foiled in the beginning of the day, to draw off the greater part of his army found and entire.

The battles of antiquity, both by their duration and their refemblance to fingle combats, were wrought up to a degree of fury quite unknown to latter ages. Nothing could then engage the combatants to give quarter but the hopes of profit, by making flaves of their prisoners. In civil war, as we learn from Tacitus\*, the battles were the most bloody, because the prisoners were not slaves.

What a flout resistance must be made, where the ranquished expected so hard a fate! How inveterate the rage, where the maxims of war were, in every

respect, so bloody and severe!

Inflances are frequent, in ancient history, of cities besieged, whose inhabitants, rather than open their gates, murdered their wives and children, and rushed themselves on a voluntary death, sweetened perhaps by a little prospect of revenge upon the enemy. Greeks; as well as Barbarians, have often been wrought up to this degree of fury. And the same deternshed spirit and cruelty must, in other instances less remarkable, have been destructive to human society, in those petty commonwealths which lived in close neighbourhood, and were engaged in perpetual wars and contentions.

Some.

<sup>\*</sup> Hift. lib. ii. cap. 44.

<sup>. +</sup> As Abydus, mentioned by Livy, lib. xxxi. cap. 17, 18, and. Polyb. lib. xvi. As also the Kanthians, Applan. de bell. civilalib. iv.

### 362 Populousness of Ancient Nations.

Sometimes the wars in GREECE, fays PLUTARCH \*, were carried on entirely by inroads, and robberies, and piracies. Such a method of war must be more destructive in small states, than the bloodiest battles and sieges.

By the laws of the twelve tables, possession during two years formed a prescription for land; one year for moveables \*: An indication, that there was not in ITALY, at that time, much more order, tranquillity, and settled police, than there is at present among the Tartars.

The only cartel I remember in ancient history, is that between Demetrius Politorcetes and the Rhodians; when it was agreed, that a free citizen should be restored for 1000 drachmas, a slave bearing arms for 500.

But, fecondly, It appears that ancient manners were more unfavourable than the modern, not only in times of war, but also in times of peace; and that too in every respect, except the love of civil liberty and of equality, which is, I own, of confiderable importance. To exclude faction from a free government, is very 'difficult, if not altogether impracticable; but fuch inveterate rage between the factions, and fuch bloody maxims, are found, in modern times, amongst religious parties alone. In ancient history we may always observe, where one party prevailed, whether the nobles or people (for I can observe no difference in this respect f), that they immediately butchered all of the opposite party who fell into their hands, and banished fuch as had been so fortunate as to escape their fury. No form of process, no law, no trial, no pardon. fourth, a third, perhaps near half of the city, was flaughtered, or expelled, every revolution; and the

<sup>\*</sup> In vita ARATI.

<sup>†</sup> Inst. lib. ii. cap. 6. ‡ Diod. Sieur: lib. xx.

Lysias, who was himself of the popular faction, and very narrowly escaped from the thirty tyrants, says, that the Democracy was as violent a government as the Oligarchy. Ocat. 24. de state popul.

exiles always joined foreign enemies, and did all the mitchief possible to their fellow-citizens; till fortune put it in their power to take sull revenge by a new revolution. And as these were frequent in such violent governments, the disorder, dissidence, jealously, enmity, which must prevail, are not easy for us to imagine in this age of the world.

There are only two revolutions I can recollect in ancient history, which hassed without great severity. and great effusion of blood in massacres and assassinations, namely, the restoration of the ATHENIAN Democracy by Thrasybulus, and the fubduing of the ROMAN republic by CESAR. We learn from ancient history, that Thr Asybulus passed ageneral amnesty for all past offences; and first introduced that word, as well as practice, into GREECE \*. It appears, however, from many orations of Lysias +, that the chief, and even fome of the subaltern offenders, in the preceding tyranny, were tried, and capitally punished. as to CÆSAR's clemency, though much celebrated, it would not gain great applause in the present age. butchered, for instance, all Caro's senate, when he became master of UTICA 1; and these, we may readily believe, were not the most worthless of the party. All those who had borne arms against that usurper, were attainted; and, by HIRTIUS's law, declared incapable of all public offices.

These people were extremely fond of liberty; but seem not to have understood it very well. When the thirty tyrants first established their dominion at Athens, they began with seizing all the sycophants and informers, who had been so troublesome during the Democracy, and putting them to death by an arbitary sentence and execution. Every man, says Sallust || and Lysias \ was rejoiced at these punish-

ments;

<sup>\*</sup> CICERO, PHILIP. I.

<sup>†</sup> As orat. 11. contra Eratost. crat. 12. contra Agorat. orat. 15. pro Mantith. ‡ Appian. de bel. civ. lib. ii.

<sup>||</sup> See CESAR's speech de bell. Catil.

<sup>9</sup> Orat. 24. And in orat. 29. he mentions the factious spirit of

364 Populousness of Ancient Nations.

ments; not confidering, that liberty was from that
moment annihilated.

The utmost energy of the nervous style of Thucypipes, and the copiousness and expression of the GREEK language, feem to fink under that historian, when he attempts to describe the disorders which arose from faction throughout all the GRECIAN commonwealths. You would imagine, that he still labours with a thought greater than he can find words to communicate. And he concludes his pathetic description with an observation, which is at once refined and solid: "In these contests, "fays he, "those who were the " dullest, and most stupid, and had the least foresight, " commonly prevailed. For being conscious of this " weakness, and dreading to be over-reached by " those of greater penetration, they went to work " hastily, without premeditation, by the fword and " poinard, and thereby got the start of their antagonists, who were forming fine schemes and projects " for their destruction \*."

Not to mention DIONYSIUS † the elder, who is computed to have butchered in cool blood above 10,000 of his fellow-citizens; or AGATHOGLES †, NABIS ||, and others, fill more bloody than he; the transactions, even in free governments, were extremely violent and destructive. At Athens, the thirty tyrants and the nobles, in a twelvementh, murdered, whithout trial, about 1200 of the people, and banished above the half of the citizens that remained §. In Argos, near the same time, the people killed 1200 of the nobles; and afterwards their own demagogues, because they had resuled to carry their prosecutions farther ¶. The

people the popular affemblies as the only cause why these illegal punishments should displease.

Thop. Sic. lib. xv.

<sup>\*</sup> Lib. iii. † Plut. de vist. & fort. Alex.
† Diod. Sie. lib. xviii, xix. | Tit. Liv. xxxi. xxxiii. xxxiv.
† Diod. Sic. lib. xiv. Isocrates fays there were only 5000 banished. He makes the number of those killed amount to 1500.
Arder. Aschines contra Cresiph. assigns precisely the same number. Seneca (de trang. anim. cap. v.) says 13000.

people also in Corcyra killed 1500 of the nobles, and banished a thousand\*. These numbers will appear the more surprising, if we consider the extreme smallness of these states. But all ancient history is full of such instances †.

When ALEXANDER ordered all the exiles to be reflored throughout all the cities, it was found, that the whole amounted to 20,000 men; the remains probably of itill greater flaughters and massacres. What an assonishing multitude in so narrow a country as ancient Greece! And what domestic confusion, jealousy, partiality, revenge, heart-burnings, must tear those cities, where factions were wrought up to such a degree of sury and despair!

It would be easier, says Isocrates to Philip, to raise an army in Greece at present from the vaga-

bonds than from the cities.

Even when affairs came not to fuch extremities (which they failed not to do almost in every city twice or thrice every century), property was rendered very precarious by the maxims of ancient government. XENOPHON, in the banquet of Socrates, gives us a natural, unaffected description of the tyranny of the ATHENIAN people. "In my poverty," fays CHAR-MIDES, "I am much more happy than I ever was " while possessed of riches; as much as it is happier " to be in fecurity than in terrors, free than a flave, " to receive than to pay court, to be trusted than suf-" pected. Formerly I was obliged to carefs every " informer; fome imposition was continually laid upon " me; and it was never allowed me to travel, or be " abient from the city. At present, when I am poor, "I look big, and threaten others. The rich are afraid " of me, and show every kind of civility and respect; " and I am become a kind of tyrant in the city "." In one of the pleadings of Lysias &, the orator very coolly

Dron. Sic. lib. xiii. + See NOTE [BB].

<sup>- ‡</sup> Dion. Sic. lib. xviii.

Pag. 885. ex edit. Leunclav.

<sup>5</sup> Chat. 29. in NICOM.

366 Populousness of Ancient Nations.

coolly speaks of it, by the bye, as a maxim of the ATHENIAN people, that, whenever they wanted money, they put to death some of the rich citizens as well as strangers, for the sake of the forfecture. In mentioning this, he feems not to have any intention of blaming them; still less of provoking theni, who were his audience and judges.

Whether a man was a citizen or a stranger among that people, it feems indeed sequifite, either that he should impoverish himself, or that the people would impoverish him, and perhaps kill him into the bargain. The orator last mentioned gives a pleasant account of an estate laid out in the public service\*; that is, above the third of it in rarce-shows and figured dan-

I need not infift on the GREEK tyrannies, which were altogether horrible. Even the mixed monarchies, by which most of the ancient states of GREECE were governed, before the introduction of republics. were very unfettled. Scarcely any city but ATHENS, fays Isocrates, could show a succession of kings for

four or five generations +.

Besides many other obvious reasons for the instability of ancient monarchies, the equal division of property among the brothers in private families, muft, by a necessary consequence, contribute to unsettle and diffurb the flate. The universal preference given to the elder by modern laws, though it encreases the inequality of fortunes, has, however, this good effect. that it accustoms men to the same idea in public succession, and cuts off all claim and pretention of the younger.

The new fettled colony of HERACLEA, falling immediately into faction, applied to SPARTA, who fent HERIPIDAS with full authority to quiet their diffen-. tions. This man, not provoked by any opposition, not inflamed by party rage, knew no better expedient

<sup>\*</sup> See NOTE [CC].

<sup>+</sup> Panath.

than immediately putting to death about 500 of the citizens\*. A strong proof how deeply rooted these violes maxims of government were throughout all Green

 $\mathbf{H}$ s the disposition of mens minds among people, what may be expected in the ths of ITALY, AFRIC, SPAIN, and GAUL, which were denominated barbarous? Why otherwife did the Greeks fo much value themselves on their humanity, gentleness, and moderation, above all other nations? This reasoning seems very natural; but unluckily the history of the Roman commonwealth in its earlier times, if we give credit to the received accounts, presents an opposite conclusion. No blood was ever shed in any sedition at Rome, till the murder of the GRACCHI. DIONYSIUS HALICARNASSÆUS +. observing the fingular humanity of the Roman people in this particular, makes use of it as an argument, that they were originally of GRECIAN extraction: whence we may conclude, that the factions and revolutions in the barbarous republics were usually more violent than even those of GREECE above mentioned.

If the Romans were so late in coming to blows, they made ample compensation after they had once entered upon the bloody scene; and Appian's history of their civil wars contains the most frightful picture of massacres, proscriptions, and forfeitures, that ever was presented to the world. What pleases most in that historian, is, that he seems to feel a proper resentment of these barbarous proceedings; and talks not with that provoking coolness and indifference. which custom had produced in many of the Greek historians.

The maxims of ancient politics contain, in general, fo little humanity and moderation, that it feems fuperfluous to give any particular reason for the acts of violence

<sup>\*</sup> Diod. Sic. lib. xix.

<sup>†</sup> Lib. 1

<sup>#</sup> Sec NOTE [DD].

violence committed at any particular period. Yet I cannot forbear observing, that the laws, in the later period of the Roman commonwealth, were so absurdly contrived, that they obliged the heads of varties to have recourse to these extremities. All capital pumishments were abolished: However criminal, or, what is more, however dangerous, any citizen might be, he could not regularly be punished otherwise than by banishment: And it became necessary, in the revolutions of party, to draw the iword of private vengeance; nor was it eafy, when laws were once violated, to fet bounds to these sanguinary proceedings. Had Brutus himself prevailed over the triumvirate. could he, in common prudence, have allowed Octavius and Anthony to live, and have contented himfelf with banishing them to RHODES OF MARSEILLES. where they might still have plotted new commotions and rebellions? His executing C. Antonius, brother to the triumvir, shows evidently his fense of the matter. Did not Cicero, with the approbation of all the wife and virtuous of Rome, arbitrarily put to death CATALINE's accomplices, contrary to law, and without any trial or form of process? and if he moderated his executions, did it not proceed, either from the clemency of his temper, or the conjunctures of the times? A wretched fecurity in a government which pretends to laws and liberty!

Thus one extreme produces another. In the fame manner as excessive severity in the laws is apt to beget great relaxation in their execution; so their excessive lenity naturally produces cruelty and barbarity, It is dangerous to force us, in any case, to pass their

facred boundaries.

One general cause of the disorders, so frequent in all ancient governments, seems to have consisted in the great difficulty of establishing any Aristocracy in those ages, and the perpetual discontents and seditions of the people, whenever even the meanest and most beggarly were excluded from the legislature, and from public

public offices. The very quality of freemen gave fuch a rank, being opposed to that of flave, that it feemed to intitle the policifor to every power and privilege of the commonwealth. Solon's \* laws excluded no freeman from votes or elections, but contined fome 'magistracies to a particular census; yet were the people never fatisfied till those laws were repealed. By the treaty with ANTIPATER+, no ATHE-NIAN was allowed a vote whole census was less than 2000 drachmas (about 60 l. Sterling). And though fuch a government would to us appear fufficiently democratical, it was fo difagreeable to that people, that above two-thirds of them immediately left their country 1. Cassander reduced that census to the half ||; yet still the government was considered as an oligarchical tyranny, and the effect of foreign violence.

Servius Tullius's § laws feem equal and reasonable, by fixing the power in proportion to the property: Yet the Roman people could never be brought quietly to submit to them.

In those days there was no medium between a severe, jealous Aristocracy, ruling over discontented subjects; and a turbulent, factious, tyrannical Democracy. At present, there is not one republic in Europe, from one extremity of it to the other, that is not remarkable for justice, lenity, and stability, equal to, or even beyond Marseilles, Rhodes, or the most celebrated in antiquity. Almost all of them are well-tempered Aristocracies.

But, thirdly, There are many other circumstances, in which ancient nations seem inferior to the modern, both for the happiness and encrease of mankind. Trade, manufactures, industry, were no where, in some ages, so slourishing as they are at present in Europe. The only garb of the ancients, both for males and semales, seems to have been a kind of slan-

Yor. I. A a net

<sup>\*</sup> PLUTARCHUS in vita SOLON. † DIOD. Sic. lib. xviii. † d. ibid. | Id. ibid. | Tir. Liv. lib. i. cap. 43.

nel which they wore commonly white or grey, and which they feoured as often as it became dirty. Tyre, which carried on, after Carthage, the greatest commerce of any city in the Mediterranean, before it was destroyed by Alexander, was no mighty city, if we credit Arrian's account of its inhebitaris\*. Athens is commonly supposed to have been a trading city; but it was as populous before the Median war as at any time after it, according to Herodotus†; yet its commerce, at that time, was so inconsiderable, that, as the same historian observes‡, even the neighbouring coasts of Asia were as little frequented by the Greeks as the pillars of Hercules: For beyond these he conceived nothing.

Great interest of money, and great profits of trade. are an infallible indication, that industry and commerce are but in their infancy. We read in Lysias || of 100 per cent. profit made on a cargo of two talents, fent to no greater distance than from ATHENS to the Adriatic: Nor is this mentioned as an instance of extraordinary profit. Antidorus, says DEMOSTHENESS, paid three talents and a half for a house which he let at a talent a-year: And the orator blames his own tutors for not employing his money to like advantage. My fortune, fays he, in cleven years minority, ought to have been tripled. The value of 20 of the flaves left by his father, he computes at 40 minas, and the yearly profit of their labour at 12¶. The most moderate interest at ATHENS (for there was higher \*\* often paid), was twelve per cent. # and that paid monthly. Not to infift upon

<sup>\*</sup> Lib. ii. There were 8000 killed during the fiege; and the captives amounted to 30,000. Diodokus Siculus, lib xvii. fay. only 13,000: But he eccounts for this small number, by saying that the Tyrians had fent away before hand part of their wives and children to Carthage.

<sup>†</sup> Lib. v. he makes the number of the citizens amount to 3c,eco. † 1b. v. . | Orat. 33. adverf. Diagir.

y Contra Arnor, p. 25. en edit. Aldi.

<sup>¶</sup> ld. p. 19. \*\* ld ibid.

tt Id. ibid. and Æschings contra Ctesiph.

the high interest to which the vast sums distributed in elections had raised money \* at Rome, we find, that Verres, before that sactious period, stated 24 per cenis for money which he lest in the hands of the publicans; and though Cicero exclaims against this article, it is not on account of the extravagant usury; but because it had never been customary to state any interest on such occasions. Interest, indeed, sunk at Rome after the settlement of the empire: But it never remained any considerable time so low as in the commercial states of modern times.

Among the other inconveniences, which the ATHENIANS felt from the fortifying of DACELIA by the LACEDEMONIANS, it is represented by Thucyddes §, as one of the most considerable, that they could not bring over their corn from Eubea by land, passing by Oropus; but were obliged to embark it, and to sail round the promontory of Sunium. A surprising instance of the impersection of ancient navigation! For the water-carriage is not here above double the land.

I do not remember 'a passage in any ancient author, where the growth of a city is ascribed to the establishment of a manufacture. The commerce, which is faid to flourish, is chiefly the exchange of those commodities for which different foils and climates were fuited. The fale of wine and oil into Africa, according to Diodorus Siculus ||, was the foundation of the riches of AGRICENTUM. fituation of the city of Sybaris, according to the fame author ¶, was the cause of its immense populouspess; being built near the two rivers CRATHYS and Sybaris. But these two rivers, we may observe, are not navigable; and could only produce fome fertile vallies, for agriculture and tillage; an advantage fo inconfiderable A a 2

372 Populousness of Ancient Nations. inconfiderable, that a modern writer would fearcely have taken notice of it.

The barbarity of the ancient tyrants, together with the extreme love of liberty, which gaimated those ages, must have banished every merchant and manufacturer, and have quite depopulated the state, had it subsisted upon industry and commerce. While the cruel and suspicious Dionysius was carrying on his butcheries, who, that was not detained by his landed property, and could have carried with him any art or skill to procure a subsistence in other countries, would have remained exposed to such implacable barbarity? The persecutions of Philip II. and Lewis XIV. silled all Europe with the manufacturers of Flanders and of France.

I grant, that agriculture is the species of industry chiefly requifite to the subfiftence of multitudes: and it is possible, that this industry may flourish, even where manufactures and other arts are unknown and neglected. Swisserland is at prefent a remarkable inflance; where we find at once the most skilful husbandmen, and the most bungling trades men, that are to be met with in Europe. agriculture flourished in GREEGE and ITALY, at least in some parts of them, and at some periods, we have reason to presume: And whether the mechanical arts had reached the fame degree of perfection, may not be esteemed so material; especially, if we con fider the great equality of riches in the ancient republics, where each family was obliged to cultivate, with the greatest care and industry, its own little field, in order to its sublistence.

But is it just reasoning, because agriculture may, in some instances, flourish without trade or manufactures, to conclude, that, in any great extent of country, and for any great tract of time, it would subsist alone! The most natural way, surely, of incouraging husbandry, is, first to excite other kinds of industry, and thereby afford the labourer a ready.

market for his commodities, and a return of fuch goods as may contribute to his pleafure and enjoyment. This method is infallible and universal; and, as it prevails more in modern government than in the ancient, it affords a prefumption of the superior populousness of the former.

Every man, fays Xenophon\*, may be a farmer: No art or skill is requisite: All consists in industry, and in aftention to the execution. A strong proof, as Columella hints, that agriculture was but little

known in the age of XE COPHON.

All our later improvements and refinements, have they done nothing towards the easy subsistence of men, and confequently towards their propagation and encrease? Our superior skill in mechanics; the discovery of new worlds, by which commerce has been so much enlarged; the establishment of posts; and the use of bills of exchange: These seem all extremely useful to the encouragement of art, industry, and populousness. Were we to strike off these, what a check should we give to every kind of business and labour, and what multitudes of families would immediately perish from want and hunger? And it seems not probable, that we could supply the place of these new inventions by any other regulation or institution.

Have we reason to think, that the police of ancient states was any wise comparable to that of modern, or that men had then equal security, either at home, or in their journeys by land or water? I question that, but every impartial examiner would give us the preserence in this particular †.

Thus, upon comparing the whole, it feems impossible to assign any just reason, why the world should have been more populous in ancient than in modern times. The equality of property among the ancients, liberty, and the small divisions of their states, were indeed circumstances favourable to the propagation

A a 3 + See Part I. Effay XI.

\* Occon.

of mankind: But their wars were more bloody and destructive, their governments more factious and unfettled, commerce and manufactures more feeble and languishing, and the general police more loose and irregular. These latter disadvantages seem to form a sufficient counterbalance to the former-advantages, and rather savour the opposite opinion to that which commonly prevails with regard to this subject.

But there is no reasoning, it may be said, against matter of fact. If it appear, that the world was then more populous than at prefent, we may be alfured, that our conjectures are false, and that we have everlooked fome material circumstance in the com-This I readily own: All our preceding reasonings, I acknowledge to be mere trifling, or, at leaft, small skirmishes and frivolous rencounters, which decide nothing. But unluckily the main combat, where we compare facts, cannot be rendered much more decifive. The facts delivered by ancient authors, are either so uncertain or so imperfect, as to afford us nothing positive in this matter. indeed could it be otherwise? The very facts which we must oppose to them, in computing the populous ness of modern states, are far from being either certain or complete. Many grounds of calculation procoeded on by celebrated writers, are little better than those of the Emperor Heliogabalus, who formed an estimate of the immense greatness of Rome, from ten thousand pound weight of cobwebs which had been found in that city\*.

It is to be remarked, that all kinds of numbers we uncertain in ancient manufcripts, and have been subject to much greater corruptions than any other part of the text; and that for an obvious reason. Any alteration, in other places, commonly affects the sense or grammar, and is more readily perceived by the reader and transcriber.

Few enumerations of inhabitants have been made

<sup>\*</sup> ALL I.AMERID. in vita Heliogae. cap. 26.

of any tract of country by any ancient author of good authority, so as to afford us a large enough view for conparison.

It is phobable, that there was formerly a good foundation for the number of citizens assigned to any free city; because they entered for a share in the government, and there were exact registers kept of them. But as the number of slaves is seldom mentioned, this leaves us in as great uncertainty as ever, with regard to the populousness even of single cities.

The first page of Thuckdives is, in my opinion, the commencement of real history. All preceding narrations are so intermixed with sable, that philosophers ought to abandon them, in a great measure, to the embellishment of poets and orators\*.

With regard to remote times, the numbers of people affigued are often ridiculous, and lose all credit and authority. The free citizens of Sybaris, able to bear arms, and actually drawn out in battle, were 300,000. They encountered at Siagra with 100,000 atizens of Crotona, another Greek city contiguous to them; and were defeated. This is Diodorus Sigulus's † account, and is very feriously insisted on by that historian. Strabo † also mentions the same number of Sybarites.

DIODORUS SICULUS ||, enumerating the inhabitants of Acricentum, when it was destroyed by the Carreachians, says, that they amounted to 20,000 stizens, 200,000 strangers, besides slaves, who in so opplent a city as he represents it, would probably be, a least, as numerous. We must remark, that the women and the children are not included; and that therefore, upon the whole, this city must have contained near two millions of inhabitants §. And what was the reason of so immense an encrease! They

<sup>\*</sup> See NOTE [EE].

<sup>†</sup> Lib. xii. | Lib. xii. | Lib. xiii.

of Diogenes Laertius (in vita Empenocuis) fays, the equipmentum contained only 800,000 inhabitants.

were industrious in cultivating the neighbouring fields, not exceeding a small English country; and they traded with their wine and oil to Africa hich, at that time, produced none of these comes.

Prolemy, fays Theornitus\*, come cities. I suppose the singularity of the reason of assigning it. Dior signs three millions of inhabitan number: But then he makes to be of cities amount to 18,000: An evident of antition.

He favs t, the people were fe merly feven millions. Thus remote times are always most envied and admired.

That Xerxes's army was extremely numerous, I can readily believe; both from the great extent of his empire, and from the practice among the eastern nations, of encumbering their camp with a superfluous multitude: But will any rational man cite Herodotus's wonderful narrations as an authority? There is something very rational, I own, in Lysias's army been incredibly numerous, says he, he had never made a bridge over the Hellespont: It had been much easier to have transported his men over so short a passage, with the numerous shipping of which he was masser.

Polybius & fays, that the Romans, between the first and second Funic wars, being threatened with an invasion from the Gauls, mustered all their own forces, and those of their allies, and found them amount to seven hundred thousand men able to been arms: A great number surely, and which when joined to the slaves, is probably not less, if not rather more, than that extent of country assorbs at present ¶.

\* Idyll. 17. † Lib. i. ‡ Idyll. 17. ¶ Orat. fanebis. § Lib. ii.

The country that supplied this number, was not above a third of ITALY, viz. the Pope's dominions, Tuscany, and a part of the kingdom of Napies: But perhaps in those early times there were very sew slaves, except in Rome or the great cities.

The enumeration too, feems to have been made with fome examels; and Polybius gives us the detail of the prosess. But might not the number be magnification to encourage the people?

Du outs makes the same enumeration million. These variations are suppliciou inly too supposes, that ITALY in populous: Another suspicious circumstants of that country diminished from the time of the first Punc war to that of the triumvirates?

JULIUS CASAR, according to APPIANT, encountered four millions of GAULS, killed one million, and made another million prifoners. Supposing the number of the enemy's army and that of the slain could be exactly assigned, which never is possible; how could it be known how often the same man returned into the armies, or how distinguish the new from the old levied foldiers? No attention ought ever to be given to such loose, exaggerated calculations; especially where the author does not tell us the mediums upon which the calculations were founded.

PATERCULUS | makes the number of GAULS killed by Cæsar amount only to 400,000. A more probable account, and more easily reconciled to the history of these wars given by that conqueror himself in his Commentaries §. The most bloody of his battles were fought against the Helvetti and the Germans.

One would imagine, that every circumstance of the fife and actions of Dionysius the elder might be regarded as authentic, and free from all fabulous exaggeration; both because he lived at a time when letters flourished most in Greece, and because his chief

T See NOTE [FF].

Lib. ii. † CRLTICA.
† PLUTARCH (in vita Cæs.) makes the numbers that Cæsar fought with amount to three millions; Julian (in Cæsaribus) to two.

| Lib. ii. cap. 47.

chief historian was Philistus, a man allowed to i of great genius, and who was a courtier and minuter of that prince. But can we admit, that he had. standing army of 100,000 foot, 10,000 harfe, and a fiect of 400 gallies\*? These, we may observe, we'r mercenary forces, and fublifted upon pay, like our armics in Europe. For the citize is were all air armed; and when Dion afterward, invoded Signay and called on his countrymen to vindicate their liberty, he was obliged to bring arms: 'mg with him, which he diffributed among those who joined him +. In a fate where agriculture alone flourishes. there may be man, inhabitants; and if these be all armed and disciplined, a great force may be called out upon occasion: But great bodies of mercenary troops can never be maintained, without either great trade and numerous manufactures, or extensive dominions. The United Provinces haver were mafters of fuch a force by fea and land and which is faid to belong to Dionysius; vet they post if as hirge a territory, perfectly well cultivated, and have much more refources from their commerce and industry Dioporus Sigulus allows, that, even in his time. the army of Dionysius appeared incredible; that is, as I interpret it, was entirely a fiction; and the opinion arose from the exaggerated fattery of the courtiers, and perhaps from the vanity and policy or the tyrant bimfelf.

It is a ufual fallacy, to confider all the ages of an tiquity as one period, and to compute the numbers contained in the great cities me thoused by analyse authors, as if these cities had been all notemporary. The Greek colonies flourished extremely in Signly during the age of Alexander: But in Augustus s time they were so decayed, that almost all the produce of that sertile island was consumed in Italiat.

Let us now examine the numbers of inhabitales assigned

<sup>\*</sup> Diod. Sic. lib. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11. 11.

<sup>†</sup> PLUTARICH in vita DIONY.

treed to particular cities in antiquity; and omitthe numbers of Nineveh, Babylon, and the Avetran Theres, let us confine ourselves to the here of scal history, to the Grecian and Roman ites. I must own, the more I consider this subfl the mede am I inclined to scepticism, with rerd to the great populousness ascribed to ancient ness.

ATHER file by I aro \* to be a very great by; and a substitute greatest of all the Greek the energy about the me had a lighter was a with the in had a sterwards of the first of all the foreneast, which was nearly about the me had a lighter wards of the first of the energy mentions it as a greatest of all the foreneaties in his time; not imprehending. I fore a he either Antioch or A-ika there under that all all of Demetrius Phakes, there were a letters 21,000 citizens, not be a loo flaves. This number is an indicate a three whose opinion I call in the and well as the mental fact to their at, in my so, there is no point of that Athenæus and the here mistaken, and

ante cypler, and ought not be regarded as more to 40,000.

Myt, When the number of citize a is faid to be those by Athra as I man of the age are only ideallood. For, the Historian days \*\* that Arista.

At the second great city; and the himbels with faying that it did not exceed Axbans Crat. 34 Lib vi. Secold Progress in vita Nacia.

If Trat control Merrew, bb. iv. cap. 52. Strabo, lib. vi. fays, was twenty-the mind in compass. But then we are to confider, at it contained two harbours within it, one of which was a very tge one, and might be regarded as a mind of pay.

y Lib. vi. cap. 20.

<sup>¶</sup> Demosthenes affigns 20,000; contra seristag.

ARISTAGORAS, ambassador from the Ionians, found it harder to deceive one Spartan than 30,000 A-THENIANS; meaning, in a loose way, the whole state. supposed to be met in one popular assembly, excluding the women and children. (2.) THUCYDIDES\* fays, that, making allowance for all the absentces in the fleet, army, garrifons, and for people employed in their private affairs, the AT ENIAN affembly never role to five thousand. (3.) The forces enumerated by the same historian+, being all citizens, and amounting to 13,000 heavy-armed infantry, prove the same method of calculation; as also the whole tenor of the GREEK historians, who always underfland men of full age, when they affign the number of citizens in any republic. Now, these being but the fourth of the inhabitants, the free ATHENIANS were by this account 84,000; the strangers 40,000; and the flaves, calculating by the smaller number. and allowing that they married and propagated at the same rate with freemen, were 100,000; and the whole of the inhabitants 284,000: A number furely large enough. The other number, 1,720,000, makes ATHENS larger than London and Paris united.

Secondly. There were but 10,000 houses in A-

THENS 1.

Thirdly, Though the extent of the walls, as given us by Thucydides ||, be great (to wit, eighteen miles, befide the fea-coaft); yet Xenophon's fays, there was much wafte ground within the walls. They feem indeed to have joined four diffinct and feparate cities ¶.

Fourthly. No infurrection of the flaves, or suspicion of insurrection, is ever mentioned by historians;

except one commotion of the miners\*\*.

Fifthly, The treatment of flaves by the ATHENIANS

<sup>\*</sup> Lih. viii.

<sup>+</sup> Lib. ii. Diodorus Siculus's account perfectly agrees, lib.xii,

TXENOPHON Mem. lib. ii. | Lib. ii. | De ratione rod. | See NOTE [GG]. | \*\* Athen. lib. vi.

PLAUTUST, to have been extremely gentle and indulgent: Which could never have been the case, had the disproportion been twenty to one. The disproportial is not so great in any of our colonies; yet are ged to exercise a rigorous military govern.

ever efteemed rich for poffef-Sixthly, 1 ned an equal distribution of fing what may .cry, or even triple or quadruple property in an, Thus every person in England is that wealth. computed by fome to fpend fixpence a-day: Yet is he esteemed but poor who has five times that sum. Now TIMARCHUS is faid by ÆSCHINES | to have been left in easy circumstances; but he was master only of ten flaves employed in manufactures. Lysias and his brother, two firangers, were profcribed by the thirty for their great riches; though they had but fixty a-piece §. Demosthenes was left very rich by his father, yet he had no more than fifty-two flaves ¶. His workhouse of twenty cabinet-makers, is faid to be a very confiderable manufactory \*\*.

Seventhly, During the Decelian war, as the Greek historians call it, 20,000 flaves deserted, and brought the Athenians to great distress, as we learn from Thucyddest. This could not have happened, had they been only the twentieth part. The best slaves would not desert.

Eighthly, Xenophon # proposes a scheme for maintaining by the public 10,000 slaves: And that so great a number may possibly be supported, any one will be convinced, says he, who considers the numbers we possessed before the Dicelian war. A way of speaking altogether incompatible with the larger number of Atheneus.

Ninthly.

De rep. Athen.
Contra Timarch.
Contra Aphob.

† PHILIP. 3.
§ Oral. 11.
Ibid.
†! De rat. red

† STICHO

Nintbly, The whole census of the state of ATHE'S was less than 6000 talents. And though numbers in ancient manuscripts be often suspected by critics, yet this is unexceptionable; both because Demostrenes \*, who gives it, gives also the detail, which checks him; and because Polybius + assigns the same number, and reasons upon it. Now, the most vulgar stree could yield by his labour an obolus a-day, over and above his maintenance, as we learn from LENOPHONT, who fays, that NICIAS's overfeer paid his mafter fo much for flaves whom he employed in mines. If you will take the pains to estimate an obolus a-day, and the slaves at 400,000, computing only at four years purchase, you will find the fum above 12,000 talents; even though allowance be made for the great number of holidays in ATHENS. Besides, many of the slaves would have a much greater value from their art. The lowest that Demosthenes estimates any of his || father's flaves is two minas a-head. And upon this supposition, it is a little difficult, I confess, to reconcile even the number of 40,000 flaves with the census of 6000 talents.

Tenthly, Chios is faid by Thucydides § to contain more flaves than any Greek city, except Sparta. Sparta then had more than Athens, in proportion to the number of citizens. The Spartans were 9000 in the town, 30,000 in the country ¶. The male flaves, therefore, of full age, must have been more than 78,000; the whole more than 3,120,000. A number impossible to be maintained in a narrow barren country, such as Laconia, which had no trade. Had the Helotes been so very numerous, the murder of 2000 mentioned by Thucydides\*\*, would have irritated them, without weakening them. Besides, we are to consider, that the number assign-

<sup>\*</sup> De classibus: † Lib. ii. cap. 62.

† De rat. red. || Contra. Aphobum. § Lib. viii.

¶ Plutarch in vita Lycurg. \*\*Libiv.

ed by ATHENEUS\*, whatever it is, comprehends all the inhabitants of ATTICA, as well as those of ATHENS. The ATHENIANS affected much a country life, as we learn from Thucydides; and when they were all chased into town, by the invasion of their territory dufting the Proponesian war, the city was not able to contain and they were obliged to lie in the porticoes, and even streets, for want of leading the

lodging ‡.

The tame remains is to be extended to all the other Greek cities; and when the number of citizens is affigned, we must always understand it to comprehend the inhabitants of the neighbouring country, as well as of the city. Yet, even with this allowance, it must be confessed, that Greece was a populous country, and exceeded what we could imagine concerning so narrow a territory, naturally not very sertile, and which drew no supplies of corn from other places. For, excepting Athens, which traded to Pontus for that commodity, the other cities seem to have subsisted chiefly from their neighbouring territory.

RHODES is well known to have been a city of extensive commerce, and of great fame and splendor; yet it contained only 6000 citizens able to bear arms,

when it was befieged by DEMETRIUS §.

Thebes was always one of the capital cities of Greece ¶: But the number of its citizens exceeded not those of Rhodes \*\*. Phliasia is faid to be a small city by Xenophon ††; yet we find that it contained 6000 citizens ‡‡. I pretend not to reconcile these two sacts. Perhaps, Xenophon calls Phliasia

<sup>\*</sup> The fame author affirms, that Corinth had once 460,000 flaves; ÆGINA 470,000. But the foregoing arguments hold fronger against these facts, which are indeed entirely absurd and impossible. It is however remarkable, that ATHENEUS cites so great an authority as ARISTOTLE for this last fact: And the scholiast que Piridak mentions the same number of slaves in ÆGINA.

f Lib. ii. † Thucyp. lib. ii. | See NOTE [HH].

<sup>\*\*</sup> See NOTE [11]. }† Hist. Græc. lib. vii.

<sup>‡‡</sup> Id. lib. vii.

a fmall town, because it made but a small figure in GREEGE, and maintained only a subordinate alliance with SPARTA; or perhaps the country, belonging to it, was extensive, and most of the citizens were emploved in the cultivation of it, and dwelt in the neighbouring villages.

MANTINEA was equal to any city i. Argadia \*: Gonfequently it was equal to MEGALOTOLIS, which was fifty stadia, or fix miles and a marter in circumference +. But MANTINEA had only 3000 citizens t. The GREEK cities, therefore, contained often fields and gardens, together with the houses; and we cannot judge of them by the extent of their walls. ATHENS contained no more than 10,000 houses; yet its walls, with the fea-coaft, were above twenty miles in extent. Syracuse was twenty-two miles in circumference; yet was scarcely ever spoken of by the ancients as more populous than ATHENS. BEBYLON was a square of fifteen miles, or fixty miles in circuit; but it contained large cultivated fields and inclosures, as we learn from PLINY. Though AURELIAN'S wall was fifty miles in circumference ||, the circuit of all the thirteen divitions of Rome, taken apart, according to Publius Victor, was only about forty-three miles. When an enemy invaded the country, all the inhabitants retired within the walls of the ancient cities, with their cattle and furniture, and instruments of husbandry: and the great height to which the walls were raifed, enabled a finall number to defend them with facility.

SPARTA, fays XENOPHON S, is one of the cities of GREECE that has the fewest inhabitants. Yet Poly-BIUS ¶ fays, that it was forty-eight stadia in circumference, and was round.

<sup>\*</sup> Polys lib. ii. + Polyb. lib. ix. cap. 20 ‡ Lysias, one. 34. 
§ Voriscus in vita Aurii.
§ De rep. Laced. This paffage is not early reconciled with that of PLUTARCH above, who fays, that Sparta had 9000 cit" Zena.

of Polyb. lib. ix. cap. 20.

NAll the ÆTOLIANS able to bear arms in ANTIPATER'S time, deducting some few garrisons, were but ten thousand men f.

Polybius tells us, that the Achæan league might, without an inconvenience, march 30 or 40,000 men: And this account feems probable: For that league comprehended the greater part of Peloponnesus. Yet Pausanias 5, speaking of the same period, says, that all the Achæan able to bear arms, even when several manumitted slaves were joined to them, did not amount to sifteen thousand.

The Thessalians, till their final conquest by the Romans, were in all ages, turbulent, sactious, seditious, disorderly . It is not therefore natural to suppose, that this part of GREECE abounded much in

people.

We are told by THUCYDIDES ¶, that the part of Peloponnesus, adjoining to Pylus, was defart and uncultivated. Herodotus fays \*\*, that Macedonia was full of lions and wild bulls; animals which can only inhabit vaft unpeopled forests. These were the two extremities of Greece.

All the inhabitants of EPIRUS, of all ages, fexes, and conditions, who were fold by PAULUS ÆMILIUS, amounted only to 150,000 ††. Yet EPIRUS might be double the extent of YORKSHIRE.

JUSTIN\* tells us, that when PHILIP of MACEDON was declared head of the GREEK confederacy, he called a congress of all the states, except the LACEDEMONIANS, who refused to concur; and he found the force of the whole, upon computation, to amount to 200,000 infantry, and 15,000 cavalry. This must be understood to be all the citizens capable of bearing arms.

Vol. I. Bb For

<sup>†</sup> Diod. Sic. lib. xviii.
† Legat.

Tit. Liv. lib. xxiv. cap. 51.
Plato in Critone.

Lib. vii.

\*\* Lib. vii.

\*\* Lib. ix. cap. 54.

\*\* Lib. ix. cap. 54.

For as the GREEK republics maintained no mercenally forces, and had no militia diffinct from the whole body of the citizens, it is not conceivable what other medium there could be of computation. That such an army could ever, by GREECE, be brought into the field, and be maintained there, is contrary to all hithory. Upon this supposition, there re, we may thus reason. The free Greeks of all and fexes were 860,000. The flaves, estimate ... by the number of ATHES IAN flaves as above, who feldom married or had families, were double the male citizens of full age, to wit, 430,000: And all the inhabitants of ancient Greece, excepting Laconia, were about one million two hundred and ninety thousand: No mighty number, nor exceeding what may be found at prefent in Scotland, a country of not much greater extent, and very indifferently peopled.

We may now confider the numbers of people in Rome and ITALY, and collect all the lights afforded us by feattered passages in ancient authors. We shall find, upon the whole, a great difficulty in fixing any opinion on that head; and no reason to support those exaggerated calculations so much infisted on by mo-

dern writers.

Dionysius Halicarnass # fays, that the ancient walls of Rome were nearly of the fame compass with those of Athens, but that the suburbs ran out to a great extent; and it was difficult to tell where the town ended, or the country began. In some places of Rome, it appears from the same author\*, from Jovensu 4, and from other ancient writers, that the houses were high, and families lived in separate storeys, one above another: But it is probable, that these were only the poorer citizens, and only in some sew streets. If we may judge from the \*counter Pinys\* account of his own house, and from

\* Lib. is. † Lib. xt S. NOTE [KK]. † Satyr. iii. l. 269, 270.

from Bartoli's plans of ancient buildings, the men of quality had very spacious palaces; and their buildings were like the Chinese houses at this day, where each apartment is separated from the rest, and rises no higher than a single storey. To which if we add, that the Roman nobility much affected extensive porticoes, and even woods || in town; we may perhaps allow Vossius (though there is no manner of reason for it) to read the samous passage of the elder Plint his own way, without admitting the extravagant contequences which he draws from it.

The number of citzens who received corn by the public distribution in the time of Augustus, were two hundred thousand\*\*. This one would esteem a pretty certain ground of calculation; Yet it is attended with such circumstances as throw us back into

doubt and uncertainty.

Did the poorer citizens only receive the distribution? It was calculated, to be fure, chiefly for their benefit. But it appears from a passage in Cicero+†, that the rich might also take their portion, and that it was esteemed no reproach in them to apply for it.

To whom was the corn given; whether only to heads of families, or to every man, woman, and child? The portion every month was five modii to each \* (about 5 of a bushel). This was too little for a family, and too much for an individual. A very accurate antiquary †, therefore, infers, that it was given to every man of full aga; but he allows the matter to be uncertain.

Was it strictly enquired, whether the claimant lived within the precincts of Rome; or was it sufficient,

B b 2 that

VITREY. lib. v. cap. 11. TACIT. annal. lib. xi. cap. 3. Sur-

<sup>¶,</sup> See NOTE [MM].

<sup>\*</sup> Ex monument. Ancyr. †† Tusc. Quest. lib. iii. cap 48
\* Licinius apud Sallust. hist. frag. lib. iii.

<sup>†</sup> Nicolaus Hortenfius de re frumentaria Roman.

388

that he presented himself at the monthly distribution? This last seems more probable ||.

Were there no false claimants? We are told §, that CÆSAR struck off at once 170,000, who had creeped in without a just title; and it is very little probable that he remedied all abuses.

But, lastly, what proportion of slaves must we assign to these citizens? This is the most material question, and the most uncertain. It is very doubtful, whether Athens can be established as a rule for Rome. Perhaps the Athenians had more slaves, because they employed them in manufactures, for which a capital city like Rome seems not so proper. Perhaps, on the other hand, the Romans had more slaves, on account of their superior luxury and riches.

There were exact bills of mortality kept at Rome; but no ancient author has given us the number of burials, except Suetonius\*, who tells us, that in one feason, there were 30,000 names carried to the temple of Libitina; but this was during a plague which can afford no certain soundation for any inference.

The public corn, though distributed only to 200,000 citizens, affected very considerably the whole agriculture of ITALY †: A fact no wife reconcileable to some modern exaggerations with regard to the inhabitants of that country.

The best ground of conjecture I can find concerning the greatuess of ancient Rome, is this: We are told by Herodian<sup>†</sup>, that Antioch and Alexandria were very little inferior to Rome. It appears from

Not to take the people too much from their business, Augustus ordained the distribution of corn to be made only thrice a-year: But the people, finding the monthly distributions more convenient (as preferring, I suppose, a more regular occoromy in their family), defired to have them restored. Sueton. August cap. 40. Had not some of the people come from some distance for their corn, Augustus's precaution seems superstuous.

Sueton. in Jul. cap 419

\*\* In vita Nervris. + Sueton. Aug. cap. 42. + Lib. iv. cap &

, from Diodorus Siculus s, that one straight street of Alexandria, reaching from gate to gate, was five miles long; and as Alexandria was much more extended in length than breadth, it seems to have been a city nearly of the bulk of Paris; ; and Rome might be about the size of London.

There lived in ALEXANDRIA, in DIODORUS SICULUS'S time ¶, 300,000 free people, comprehending, I suppose, women and children \*\*. But what number of slaves? Had we any just ground to fix these at an equal number with the free inhabitants, it would savour the foregoing computation.

There is a paffage in Herodian, which is a little furprifing. He fays positively, that the palace of the Emperor was as large as all the rest of the city\*. This was Nero's golden house, which is indeed represented by Suetonius † and Pliny as of an enormous extent; but no power of imagination can make us conceive it to bear any proportion to such a city as London.

We may observe, had the historian been relating Nero's extravagance, and had he made use of such an expression, it would have had much less weight;

These rhetorical exaggerations being so apt to creep into an author's slyle, even when the most chaste and correct. But it is mentioned by Herodian only by the bye, in relating the quarrels between Geta and Caracalla

It appears from the same historian s, that there was then much land uncultivated, and put to no manner of use; and he ascribes it as a great praise to Per-

Bb3 TINAX,

§ Lib. xvii. | Sec NOTE [NN]. ¶ Lib. xvii.

\*\* He fays except, not we true which lass expression must have been understood of citizens alone, and grown men.

\*Lib. iv. cap. 1. 1000 TONE OP POLITIAN interprets it " adibus " majoribus etiam reliqua urbe."

† See NOTE [OO].

‡ PLINIUS, lib. xxxvi. cap. 15. "Bis vidimus urbem totam, "cingi domibus principum, CAII ac NERONIS."

§ Lib. ii. cap 15.

TINAX, that he allowed every one to take such land either in ITALY or elsewhere, and cultivate it as he pleased, without paying any taxes. Lands uncultivated, and put to no manner of use! This is not heard of in any part of Christendom, except in some remote parts of Hungary, as I have been informed. And it surely corresponds very ill with that idea of the extreme populousness of antiquity so much insisted on.

We learn from Vopiscus\*, that there was even in Etruria much fertile land uncultivated, which the Emperor Aurelian intended to convert into vineyards, in order to furnish the Roman people with a gratuitous distribution of wine; a very proper expedient for depopulating still farther that capital, and

all the neighbouring territories.

It may not be amis to take notice of the account which Polybius + gives of the great herds of fwine to be met with in Tuscany and Lombardy, as well is in Greece, and of the method of feeding them which was then practifed. " There are great herds " of fwine" fays he, " throughout all ITALY, par-"ticularly in former times, through ETRURIA and " CISALPINE GAUL. And a herd frequently con-" fifts of a thousand or more swine. When one of " thefe herds in feeding meets with another, they " mix together; and the fwine-herds have no other " expedient for separating them than to go to diffe-" rent quarters, where they found their horn; and " these animals being accustomed to that fignal, run " immediately each to the horn of his own keeper. "Whereas in GREECE, if the herds of swine happen." " to mix in the forests, he who has the greater flock, " takes cunningly the opportunity of driving all st away. And thieves are very apt to purloin the firaggling hogs, which have wandered to a great !! distance from their keeper in search of food.

of ITALY, as well as GREECE, was then much less peopled, and worse cultivated, than at present? How could these vast herds be fed in a country so sull of inclosures, so improved by agriculture, so divided by farms, so planted with vines and corn intermingled together? I must confess, that Polybius's relation has more the air of that economy which is to be met with in our American colonies, than the management of a European country.

We meet with a reflection in Aristotle's \* Ethicks, which feems unaccountable on any supposition, and by proving too much in favour of our prefent reafoning, may be thought really to prove nothing. That philosopher, treating of friendship, and observing, that this relation ought neither to be contracted to a very few, nor extended over a great multitude, illustrates his opinion by the following argument: " In like manner" fays he, " as a city cannot fub-" fift, if it either have so few inhabitants as ten, or " fo many as a hundred thousand; so is there a me-"diocrity required in the number of friends: and " you destroy the essence of friendship by running into either extreme." What! impossible that a city can contain a hundred thousand inhabitants! Had Aristotle never feen nor heard of a city fo populous? This, I must own, passes my comprehention.

PLINY† tells us, that Seleucia, the feat of the Greek empire in the East, was reported to contain 600,000 people. Carthage is said by Strabo t to have contained 700,000. The inhabitants of Pekin are not much more numerous. London, Paris, and Constantinople, may admit of nearly the same computation; at least, the two latter cities do not exceed it. Rome, Alexandria, Antioch, we have

<sup>\*</sup> Lib. ix. cap. 10. His expression is aregorate, not worthe; inhabitant, not citizen.

† Lib. vi. cap. 28. ‡ Lib. xvii.

already fpoken of. From the experience of past and present ages, one might conjecture that there is a kind of impossibility, that any city could eyer rife much beyond the proportion. Whether the grandeur of a city be founded on commerce or on empire, there feem to be invincible obstacles, which prevent its farther progress. The seats of vast moharchies, by introducing extravagant luxury, irregular expence, idleness, dependence, and false ideas of rank and superiority, are improper for commerce. Extensive commerce checks itself, by raising ti price of all labour and commodities. When a grea court engages the attendance of a numerous nobility, possessed of overgrown fortunes, the middling gentry remain in their provincial towns, where they can make a figure on a moderate income. And if the dominions of a state arrive at an enormous size, there necessarily arise many capitals, in the remoter provinces, whither all the inhabitants, except a few courtiers, repair for education, fortune, and amusement\*. London, by uniting extensive commerce and middling empire, has, perhaps, arrived at a greatness, which no city will ever be able to exceed.

Choose Dover or Calais for a center: Draw a circle of two hundred miles radius: You comprehend London, Paris, the Netherlands, the United Provinces and some of the best cultivated parts of France and England. It may safely, I think, be affirmed, that no spot of ground can be found in antiquity, of equal extent, which contained near so many great and populous cities, and was so stocked with riches and inhabitants. To balance in both periods, the states which possessed most art, knowledge, civility, and the best police, seems the truest method of comparison.

It

<sup>\*</sup> Such were ALEXANDRIA, ANTIOCH, CARTHAGE, EPHESUS, LYONS, &c. in the Roman empire. Such are even Bourdeaux, Tholouse, Dijon, Rennes, Rouen, Aix, &c. in France, Dunin, Edinburgh, York, in the British dominions.

It is an observation of L'ABBE DU Bos, that ITALY s warmer at present than it was in acient times. The annals of Rome tell us," says he, " that in the year 480 ab U. G. the winter was so severe that it destroyed the trees. The Tyber froze in Rome, and the ground was covered with snow for forty days. When JUVENAL\* describes a superstitious woman, he represents her as breaking the ice of the Tyber, that she might perform her ablutions:

" Hybernum fracta glacie descendet in amnem,

" Ter matutino Tyberi mergetur.

He speaks of that rivers freezing as a common event. Many passages of Horace suppose the streets of Rome full of snow and ice. We should have more certainty with regard to this point, had the ancients known the use of thermometers: But their writers, without intending it, give us information, sufficient to convince us, that the winters are now much more temperate at Rome than formerly. At present the Tyber no more freezes at Rome than the Nile at Cairo. The Romans esteem the winters very rigorous, if the snow lie two days, and if one see for eight and forty hours a few icicles hang from a sountain that has a north exposure."

The observation of this ingenious critic may be extended to other European climates. Who could liscover the mild climate of France in Diodorus Siculus's description of that of Gaul? "As it is a northern climate," says he, "it is insessed with cold to an extreme degree. In cloudy weather, instead of rain there fall great snows; and in clear weather, it there freezes so excessive hard, that the rivers acquire bridges of their own substance, over which, not only single travellers may pass, but large armies, accompanied with all their baggage and loaded waggons. And there being many

many rivers in GAUL, the RHONE, the RHINE, &c.

almost all of them are frozen over; and it is usual,

in order to prevent falling, to cover the ice with

chaff and straw at the place where the road passes

fes." Colder than a GALLIC Winter, is used by

Petro vius as a proverbial expression. Asistotle
fays, that GAUL is so cold a climate that an ass could

not live in it\*.

North of the CEVENNES, fays STRABO†, GAUL produces not figs and olives: And the vines which have been planted, bear not grapes that will ripen.

Ovid positively maintains, with all the series affirmation of prose, that the Euxine sea was frozen over every winter in his time; and he appeals to Roman governors, whom he names, for the truth of his assertion. This seldom or never happens at present in the latitude of Tomi, whither Ovid was banished. All the complaints of the same poet seem to mark a rigour of the seasons, which is scarcely experienced at present in Petersburgh or Stock-holm.

Tournefort, a *Provençal*, who had travelled into the fame country, observes, that there is not a finer climate in the world: And he afferts, that nothing but Ovap's melancholy could have given him such difinal ideas of it. But the facts mentioned by that poet are too circumstantial to bear any such interpretation.

Polybius | fays, that the climate in Arcadia was

very cold, and the air moift.

"ITALY," fays VARRO §, " is the most temperate " climate in EUROPE. The inland parts" (GAUL, GERMANY, and PANNONIA, no doubt) " have almost " perpetual winter."

The northern parts of Spain, according to Stra-

• De generat. anim. lib. ii. 
† Lib. iv. 
† Triff. lib. iii. eleg. 9. De Ponte. lib. iv eleg. 7. 9. 10. 
† Lib. iv. cap. 21. 
° § Lib. i. cap. 2.

Bo\*, are but ill inhabitated, because of the great cold.

Allowing, therefore, this remark to be just, that Europe is become warmer than formerly; how can we account for it? Plainly by no other method, than by supposing, that the land is at present much better cultivated, and that the woods are cleared, which formerly threw a shade upon the earth, and kept the rays of the sun from penetrating to it. Our northern colonies in America become more temperate, in proportion as the woods are felled; but in general, every one may remark, that cold is still much more severely felt, both in North and South America, than in places under the same latitude in Europe.

SASERNA, quoted by COLUMELLA, affirmed, that the disposition of the heavens was altered before his time, and that the air had become much milder and warmer; as appears hence, says he, that many places now abound with vineyards and olive plantations, which, sormerly, by reason of the rigour of the climate, could raise none of these productions. Such a change, if real, will be allowed an evident sign of the better cultivation and peopling of countries before the age of SASERNA; and if it be continued to the present times, is a proof, that these advantages have been continually encreasing throughout this part of the world.

Let us now cast our eye over all the countries which are the scene of ancient and modern history, and compare their past and present situation: We shall not, perhaps, find such foundation for the complaint of the present emptiness and desolation of the world.

<sup>\*</sup> Lib. iii.

<sup>†</sup> The warm fouthern colonies also become more healthful: And it is remarkable, that in the Spanish histories of the first discovery and conquest of these countries, they appear to have been very healthful; being then well peopled and cultivated. No account of the sickness or decay of Cortes's or Pizarro's small armies.

<sup>‡</sup> Lib. i. cap. 1.

He feems to have lived about the time of the younger AFR:-CABUS; lib. i. cap. i.

world. ÆGYPT is represented by MAILLET, to whom we owe the best account of it, as externely populous; though he esteems the number of its inhabitants to be diminished. Syria, and the Lesser Asia, as well as the coast of BARBARY, I can readily own to be defart in comparison of their ancient condition. depopulation of GREECE is also obvious. But whether the country now called Turky in Europe may not. in general, contain more inhabitants than during the flourishing period of GREECE, may be a little doubtful. The THRACIANS seem then to have lived like the TARTARS at present, by pasturage and plunder \*: The GETES were still more uncivilized +: And the Illyrians were no letter t. These occupy nine-tenths of that country: And though the government of the Turks be not very favouable to industry and propagation; yet it preserves at least peace and order among the inhabitants; and is preferable to that barbarous, unfettled condition, in which they anciently lived.

POLAND and Muscovy in Europe are not populous; but are certainly much more so than the ancient SARMATIA and SCYTHIA; where no husbandry or tillage was ever heard of, and pasturage was the sole art by which the people were maintained. observation may be extended to DENMARK and SWE-DEN. No one ought to esteem the immense swarms of people, which formerly came from the North, and over-ran all Europe, to be any objection to this opinion. Where a whole nation, or even half of it, remove their feat; it is eafy to imagine, what a prodigious multitude they must form; with what desperate valour they must make their attacks; and how the terror they strike into the invaded nations will make these magnify, in their imagination, both the courage and multitude of the invaders. Scotland is neither extensive nor populous; but were the half

of

<sup>\*</sup> Xenoph. Exp. lib. vii. Polyb. lib.iv. cap. 45. † Ovid. passun, &c. Strabo, lib. vii. † Polyb. lib. ii. cap. 12

of its inhabitants to feek new feats, they would form a colony as numerous as the Teurons and Cimeri; and would shake all Europe, supposing it in no better condition for defence than formerly.

\*GERMANY has furely at prefent twenty times more inhabitants than in ancient times, when they cultivated no ground, and each tribe valued itself on the extensive desolation which it spread around; as we learn from Cæsar\*, and Tacitus†, and Strabot. A proof, that the division into small republics will not alone render a nation populous, unless attended with the spirit of peace, order, and industry.

The barbarous condition of BRITAIN in former times is well known, and the thinness of its inhabitants may easily be conjectured, both from their barbarity, and from a circumstance mentioned by HERODIAN, that all BRITAIN was marshy, even in SEVERUS'S time, after the ROMANS had been fully

fettled in it above a century.

It is not easily imagined, that the Gauls were anciently much more advanced in the arts of life than their northern neighbours; since they travelled to this island for their education in the mysteries of the religion and philosophy of the Druids. I cannot therefore think, that Gaul was then near so populous as France is at present.

Were we to believe, indeed, and join together the testimony of Appian, and that of Diodorus Siculus, we must admit of an incredible populousness in Gaul. The former historian ¶ says, that there were 400 nations in that country; the latter \*\* affirms, that the largest of the Gallic nations consisted of 200,000 men, besides women and children, and the least of 50,000. Calculating, therefore, at

GAULS were not much more improved than the GERMANS.

T Celt. pars 1. \*\* Lib. v.

<sup>\*</sup> De Bello Gallico, lib. vi. † De Moribus Germ.

‡ Lib. vii. || Lib. iii. cap. 47.

§ Cæsar de Bello Gallico, lib. vii. Strabo, lib. vii. fays, the

a medium, we must admit of near 200,000,000 of a people, in a country which we esteem populous at present, though supposed to contain little more than twenty\*. Such calculations, therefore, by their extravagance, lose all manner of authority. We may observe, that the equality of property, to which the populousness of antiquity may be ascribed, had no place among the Gauls†. Their intestine wars also, before Cæsar's time, were almost perpetual‡. And Strabo observes, that though all Gaul was cultivated, yet it was not cultivated with any skill or care; the genius of the inhabitants leading them less to arts than arms, tillstheir slavery under Rome produced peace among themselves.

CESARS enumerates very particularly the great forces which were levied in Brigium to oppose his conquests; and makes them amount to 208,000. These were not the whole people able to bear arms: For the same historian tells us, that the Bellovaci could have brought a hundred thousand men into the field, though they engaged only for fixty. Taking the whole, therefore, in this proportion of ten to fix, the fum of fighting men in all the states of BEL-GIUM was about 350,000; all the inhabitants a million and a half. And Belgium being about a fourth of GAUL, that country might contain fix millions, which is not near the third of its present inhabitants ¶. We are informed by CESAR, that the GAULS had no fixed property in land; but that the chieftains, when any death happened in a family, made a new divifion of all the lands among the feveral members of the family. This is the custom of Tanistry, which fo long prevailed in IRELAND, and which retained that country in a flate of mifery, barbarism, and defolation.

2 The'.

<sup>\*</sup> Ancient GAUL was more extensive than modern FRANCE.

† CESAR de Bello Gallico, lib. vi. 

† 1d. ibid. || Lib. iv.

† De Bello Gallico, lib. ii. || See NOTE. [PP].

The ancient Helvetia was 250 miles in length, and 180 in breadth, according to the same author\*; yet contained only 360,000 inhabitants. The canton of Berne alone has, at present, as many people.

After this computation of APPIAN and DIODORUS BIGULUS, I know not whether I dare affirm, that the modern DUTCH are more numerous than the ancient BATAVI.

Spain is, perhaps, decayed from what it was three centuries ago; but if we step backward two thound years, and confider the reftless, turbulent, unctled condition of its inhabitants, we may probably be inclined to think, that it is now much more populous. Many Spaniards killed themselves, when deprived of their arms by the Romanst. It appears from Plutarcht, that robbery and plunder were effected honourable among the SPANIARDS. HIR-TIUS | represents in the same light the situation of that country in CESAR's time; and he fays, that every man was obliged to live in castles and walled towns for his fecurity. It was not till its final conquest under Augustus, that these disorders were repressed &. The account which STRABO I and Jus-TIN \*\* give of SPAIN, corresponds exactly with those How much, therefore, must it above mentioned. diminish from our idea of the populousness of antiquity, when we find, that TULLY, comparing ITALY, Afric, Gaul, Greece, and Spain, mentions the great number of inhabitants, as the peculiar circumthance which rendered this latter country formidable ++?

<sup>\*</sup> De, Bello Gallico, lib. i. † Titi Livii, lib. xxxiv. cap. 17.

† In vita Marii. || De Bello Hifp

§ Vell. Paterc. lib. ii. § 90. ¶ Lib. iii. \*\* Lib. xliv.

†† "Nec numero Hifpanos, nec robore Gallos, nec calliditate

† Pœnos, nec artibus Græcos, nec denique hoc ipso hujus gentis,

ac terræ domestico nativoque sensu, Italos ipsos ac Latinos

"superavimus." De harusp. resp. cap. 9. The disorders of Spain

seem to have been almost proverbial: "Nec impacatos a tergo

"shorrebis Iberos." Virg. Geerg. lib. iii. The Iberi are here

inly taken, by a poetical figure, for robbers in general.

ITALY, however, it is probable, has decayed: But how many great cities does it still contain? Venice, Genoa, Pavia, Turin, Milan, Naples, Florence, Leghorn, which either subsisted not in ancient times, or were then very inconsiderable? If we reslect on this, we shall not be apt to carry matters to so great an extreme as is usual with regard to this subject.

When the Roman authors complain, that ITALY, which formerly exported corn, became dependent on all the provinces for its daily bread, they never ascribe this alteration to the encrease of its inhabitants, but to the neglect of tillage and agriculture\*. A natural effect of that pernicious practice of importing corn, in order to distribute it gratis among the Roman citizens, and a very bad means of multiplying the inhabitants of any country†. The sportula, so much talked of by Martial and Juvenal, being presents regularly made by the great lords to their smaller clients, must have had a like tendency to produce idleness, debauchery, and a continual decay among the people. The parish-rates have at present the same bad consequences in England.

Were I to assign a period, when I imagine this part of the world might possibly contain more inhabitants than at present, I should pitch upon the age of Trajan and the Antonines; the great extent of the Roman empire being then civilized and cultivated, settled almost in a prosound peace both foreign and domestic, and living under the same regular police and government. But we are told, that all extensive governments, especially absolute monarchies, are pernicious to population, and contain a secret vice

<sup>\*</sup> VARRO de re rustica, liv. ii. præf: Columella præf: Sueton. August. cap. 42.

<sup>†</sup> Though the observations of L'Abbè du Bos should be admitted, that ITALY is now warmer than in former times, the consequence may not be necessary, that it is more populous or better cultivated. If the other countries of Europe were more savage and woody, the cold winds that blew from them might affect the climate of ITALY.

<sup>‡</sup> See NOTE [QQ].

and poison which destroy the effect of all these promising appearances. To confirm this, there is a passage cited from Plutarch, which being some-

what fingular, we shall here examine it.

The author, endeavouring to account for the filence of many of the oracles, fays, that it may be afcribed to the present desolation of the world, proceeding from former wars and factions; which common calamity, he adds, has fallen heavier upon Greece than on any other country; infomuch, that the whole could scarcely at present furnish three thousand warriors; a number which, in the time of the Median war, were supplied by the single city of Median The gods, therefore, who affect works of dignity and importance, have suppressed many of their oracles, and deign not to use so many interpreters of their will to so diminutive a people.

I must confess, that this passage contains so many difficulties, that I know not what to make of it. You may observe, that Plutarch assigns, for a cause of the decay of mankind, not the extensive dominion of the Romans, but the former wars and factions of the several states; all which were quietted by the Roman arms. Plutarch's reasoning, therefore, is directly contrary to the inference which is drawn from the

fact he advances.

Polybius supposes, that Greece had become more prosperous and flourishing after the establishment of the Roman yoke; and though that historian wrote before these conquerors had degenerated, from being the patrons, to be the plunderers of mankind; yet as we find from Tacitus, that the severity of the emperors afterwards corrected the licence of the governors, we have no reason to think that extensive monarchy so destructive as it is often represented.

We learn from STRABOS, that the ROMANS, from Vol. I. C.c. their

<sup>\*</sup> L'Esprit de Loix, liv. xxiii. chap. 19. † De Orac. Desellus. 1 See NOTE [RR]. Annal. lib. i. cap. 2. § Lib. viii. and ix.

their regard to the GREEKS, maintained, to his time, most of the privileges and liberties of that celebrated nation; and Nero afterwards rather encreased them\*. How therefore can we imagine, that the ROMAN yoke was so burdensome over that part of the world? The oppression of the proconsuls was checked; and the magistracies in GREECE being all bestowed, in the several cities, by the free votes of the people, there was no necessity for the competitors to attend the emperor's court. If great numbers went to seek their fortunes in ROME, and advance themselves by learning or eloquence, the commodities of their native country, many of them would return with the fortunes which they had acquired, and thereby enrich the GRECIAN commonwealths.

But PLUTARCH fays, that the general depopulation had been more fensibly felt in GREECE than in any other country. How is this reconcileable to its su-

perior privileges and advantages?

Befides, this passage, by proving too much, really proves nothing. Only three thousand men able to bear arms in all Greece! Who can admit so strange a proposition, especially if we consider the great number of Greece titles, whose names still remain in history, and which are mentioned by writers long after the age of Plutarch? There are there surely ten times more people at present, when there scarcely remains a city in all the bounds of ancient Greece. That country is still tolerably cultivated, and surnishes a sure supply of corn, in case of any scarcity in Spain, Italy, or the South of France.

We may observe, that the ancient frugality of the GREEKS, and their equality of property, still subsisted during the age of PLUTARCH, as appears from Lucian to Nor is there any ground to imagine, that that country was possessed by a few masters, and a great

number of flaves.

<sup>\*</sup> PLUTARCH. De his qui sero a Numine puniuntur.

It is probable, indeed, that military discipline, being entirely useless, was extremely neglected in Greece after the establishment of the Roman empire; and if these commonwealths, formerly so warlike and ambitious, maintained each of them a small city-guard to prevent mobbish disorders, it is all they had occasion for; and these perhaps did not amount to 3000 men throughout all Greece. I own, that if PruTARCH had this fact in his eye, he is here guilty of a
gross paralogism, and assigns causes no wife proportioned to the effects. But is it so great a prodigy,
that an author should fall into a mistake of this nature \*,

But whatever force may remain in this passage of PLUTARCH. we shall endeavour to counterhalance it. by as remarkable a paffage in Droporus Sicurus. where the historian, after mentioning Ninus's army of 1,700,000 foot, and 200,000 horse, endeavours to support the credibility of this account by some poiterior facts; and adds, that we must not form a notion of the ancient populousness of manking from the prefent emptiness and depopulation which is foread over the world. Thus an author, who lived at that very period of antiquity which is represented as most populous!, complains of the defolation which then prevailed, gives the preference to former times, and has recourse to ancient fables as a foundation for his opinion. The humour of blaming the present, and admiring the past, is strongly rooted in human nature, and has an influence even on persons endued with the profoundest judgment and most extensive learning.

Cc2 ESSAY

<sup>\*</sup> See NOTE [SS]. # Lib. ii.

<sup>#</sup> He was cotemporary with Casaa and Augustus.

## E S S A Y XII.

### Of the ORIGINAL CONTRACT.

S no party, in the present age, can well support itself, without a philosophical or speculative syflem of principles, annexed to its political or practical one, we accordingly find, that each of the factions, into which this nation is divided, has reared up a fabric of the former kind, in order to protect and cover that scheme of actions which it pursues. people being commonly very rude builders, especially in this speculative way, and more especially still when actuated by party-zeal; it is natural to imagine, that their workmanship must be a little unshapely, and discover evident marks of that violence and hurry in which it was raised. The one party, by tracing up government to the DEITY, endeavour to render it fo facred and inviolate, that it must be little less than facrilege, however tyrannical it may become, to touch or invade it in the smallest article. The other party, by founding government altogether on the confent of the Propie, suppose that there is a kind of original contract, by which the subjects have tacitly reserved the power of relifting their fovereign, whenever they find themselves aggrieved by that authority, with which they have, for certain purposes, voluntarily entrusted him. These are the speculative principles of the two parties; and these too are the practical consequences deduced from them.

I shall venture to affirm, That both these systems of speculative principles are just; though not in the sense intended by the parties: And, That both the schemes.

of practical consequences are prudent; though not in the extremes to which each party, in opposition to the other,

has commonly endeavoured to carry them.

That the DEITY is the ultimate author of all government, will never be denied by any who admit a general providence, and allow, that all events in the universe are conducted by an uniform plan, and directed to wife purposes. As it is impossible for the human race to subsist, at least in any comfortable or fecure state, without the protection of government; this institution must certainly have been intended by that beneficient Being, who means the good of all his creatures: And as it has univerfally, in fact, taken place, in all countries, and all ages; we may conclude, with still greater certainty, that it was intended by that omniscient Being, who can never be deceived by any event or operation. But fince he gave rife to it, not by any particular or miraculous interposition, but by his concealed and universal efficacy; a fovereign cannot, properly speaking, be called his vicegerent, in any other sense than every power or force, being derived from him, may be faid to act by his commission. Whatever actually happens is comprehended in the general plan or intention of providence; nor has the greatest and most lawful prince any more reason, upon that account, to plead a peculiar facredness or inviolable authority, than an inferior magistrate or even an usurper, or even a robber and a pirate. The fame divine superintendent, who, for wife purposes, invested a Titus or a Tra-IAN with authority, did also, for purposes, no doubt, equally wife, though unknown, befrow power on a Borgia or an Angria. The same causes, which gave rife to the fovereign power in every state, established likewise every petty jurisdiction in it, and every limited authority. A conflable, therefore, no less than a king, acts by a divine commission, and possesses an indefeasible right.

When we confider how nearly equal all men are in C c 3 their

their bodily force, and even in their mental name and faculties, till cultivated by education; v necessarily allow, that nothing but their own could, at first, affociate them together, and them to any authority. The people, if we government to its first origin in the woods and defaits, are the fource of all power and jurisdiction, and voluntarily, for the fake of peace and order, abandoned their native liberty, and received laws from their equal and companion. The conditions, upon which they were willing to fubmit, were either expressed, or were fo clear and obvious, that it might well be esteemed superfluous to express them. If this, then, be meant by the original contract, it cannot be denied, that all government is, at first, founded on a contract, and that the most ancient rude combinations of mankind were formed chiefly by that principle. In vain, are we asked in what records this charter of our liberties is registered. It was not written on parchment, nor yet on leaves or barks of trees. It preceded the use of writing and all the other civilized arts of life. But we trace it plainly in the nature of man, and in the equality, or fomething approaching equality, which we find in all the individuals of that fpecies. The force, which now prevails, and which is founded on fleets and armies, is plainly political, and derived from authority, the effect of established government. A man's natural force confifts only in the vigour of his limbs, and the firmness of his courage; which could never subject multitudes to the command of one. Nothing but their own confent, and their sense of the advantages resulting from peace and order, could have had that influence.

Yet even this confent was long very imperfect, and could not be the basis of a regular administration. The chieftain, who had probably acquired his influence during the continuance of war, ruled more by persuasion than command; and till he could employ force to reduce the refractory and disobedient,

the

the fociety could fearcely be faid to have attained a state of civil government. No compact or agreement, it is evident, was expressly formed for general substitution; an idea far beyond the comprehension of twages: Each exertion of authority in the chieftain must have been particular, and called forth by the present exigencies of the case: The sensible utility, resulting from his interposition, made these exertions become daily more frequent; and their frequency gradually produced an habitual, and, if you please to call it so, a voluntary, and therefore precarious, acquiescence in the people.

But philosophers, who have embraced a party (if that be not a contradiction in terms) are not contented with these concessions. They affert, not only that government in its earliest infancy arose from confent, or rather the voluntary acquiescence of the people; but also, that, even at present, when it has attained its full maturity, it rests on no other foundation. They affirm, that all men are still born equal, and owe allegiance to no prince or government, unless bound by the obligation and fanction of a promise. And as no man, without fome equivalent, would forego the advantages of his native liberty, and subject himself to the will of another; this promise is always understood to be conditional, and imposes on him no obligation, unless he meet with justice and protection from his fovereign. These advantages the fovereign promifes him in return; and if he fail in the execution, he has broken, on his part, the articles of engagement, and has thereby freed his subject from all obligations to allegiance. Such, according to these philosophers, is the foundation of authority in every government; and such the right of resistance possessed by every subject.

But would these reasoners look abroad into the world, they would meet with nothing that, in the least, corresponds to their ideas, or can warrant so refined and philosophical a system. On the contrary,

we find, every where, princes, who claim their fubjects as their property, and affert their inder right of fovereignity, from conquest or suc We find also, every where, subjects, who acknowled ledge this right in their prince, and suppose themfelves born under obligations of obedience to a certain fovereign, as much as under the ties of reverence and duty to certain parents. These connections are always conceived to be equally independent of our consent, in Persia and China; in France and SPAIN: and even in HOLLAND and ENGLAND, wherever the doctrines above-mentioned have not been carefully inculcated. Obedience or subjection becomes fo familiar, that most men never make any enquiry about its origin or cause, more than about the principle of gravity, refistance, or the most universal laws of nature. Or if curiosity ever move them, as foon as they learn, that they themselves and their ancestors have, for several ages, or from time immemorial, been subject to such a form of government or fuch a family; they immediately acquiesce, and acknowledge their obligation to allegiance. Were you to preach, in most parts of the world, that political connections are founded altogether on voluntary confent or a mutual promife, the magistrate would foon imprison you, as seditious, for loofening the ties of obedience; if your friends did not before that you up as delirious for advancing fuch abfurdities. It is ftrange, that an act of the mind, which every individual is supposed to have formed, and after he came to the use of reason too, otherwise it could have no authority; that this act, I fay, should be so much unknown to all of them, that, over the face of the whole earth, there scarcely remain any traces or memory of it:

But the contract, on which government is founded, is faid to be the original contract; and confequently may be supposed too old to fall under the knowledge of the present generation. If the agreement, by which

which favage men first associated and conjoined their he here meant, this is acknowledged to be real; ing so ancient, and being obliterated by a dehanges of government and princes, it cannow be supposed to retain any authority. If we would say any thing to the purpose, we must assert, that every particular government, which is lawful, and which imposes any duty of allegiance on the subject, was, at first, sounded on consent and a voluntary compact. But besides that this supposes the consent of the fathers to bind the children, even to the most remote generations (which republican writers will never allow), besides this, I say, it is not justified by history or experience, in any age or country of the world.

Almost all the governments, which exist at present, or of which there remains any record in ftory, have been founded originally, either on usurpation or conquest, or both, without any pretence of a fair confent, or voluntary subjection of the people. When an artful and bold man is placed at the head of an army or faction, it is often easy for him, by employing, fometimes violence, fometimes false pretences, to establish his dominion over a people a hundred times more numerous than his partizans. He allows no fuch open communication, that his enemies can know, with certainty, their number or force. gives them no leifure to affemble together in a body to oppole him. Even all those, who are the instruments of his usurpation, may wish his fall; but their ignorance of each others intention keeps them in awe, and is the fole cause of his security. By such arts as these, many governments have been established; and this is all the original contract which they have to boast of.

The face of the earth is continually changing, by the encrease of small kingdoms into great empires, by the dissolution of great empires into smaller kingdoms, by the planting of colories, by the migration of tribes. Is there any thing discoverable in all these events, but force and violence? Where is the mutual agreement or voluntary affociation so much calked of?

Even the smoothest way, by which a nation may receive a foreign master, by marriage or a will, is not extremely honourable for the people; but supposes them to be disposed of, like a dowry or a legacy, according to the pleasure or interest of their rulers.

But where no force interposes, and election takes place; what is this election so highly vaunted? It is either the combination of a few great men, who decide for the whole, and will allow of no opposition: Or it is the fury of a multitude, that follow a feditious ringleader, who is not known, perhaps, to a dozen among them, and who owes his advancement merely to his own impudence, or to the momentary caprice of his fellows.

Are these disorderly elections, which are rare too, of such mighty authority, as to be the only lawful foundation of all government and allegiance?

In reality, there is not a more terrible event, than a total dissolution of government, which gives liberty to the multitude, and makes the determination or choice of a new establishment depend upon a number, which nearly approaches to that of the body of the people: For it never comes entirely to the whole body of them. Every wife man, then, wishes to see at the head of a powerful and obedient army, a general, who may speedily seize the prize, and give to the people a master, which they are so unfit to choose for themselves. So little correspondent is fact and reality to those philosophical notions.

Let not the establishment at the Revolution deceive us, or make us so much in love with a philosophical origin to government, as to imagine all others monstrous and irregular. Even that event was far from corresponding to these refined ideas. It was only

the

reflion, and that only in the regal part of the nent, which was then changed: And it was a majority of feven hundred, who determined unge for near ten millions. I doubt not, induct, but the bulk of those ten millions acquiesced willingly in the determination: But was the matter left, in the least, to their choice? Was it not justly supposed to be, from that moment, decided, and every man punished, who refused to submit to the new sovereign? How otherwise could the matter have ever been brought to any issue or conclusion?

The republic of ATHENS was, I believe, the most extensive democracy that we read of in history: Yet if we make the requifite allowances for the women, the flaves, and the strangers, we shall find, that that establishment was not at first made, nor any law ever voted, by a tenth part of those who were bound to pay obedience to it: Not to mention the island and foreign dominions, which the ATHENIANS claimed as theirs by right of conquest. And as it, is well known, that popular affemblies in that city were always full of licence and diforder, notwithflanding the inflitutions and laws by which they were checked; how much more disorderly must they prove, where they form not the established constitution, but meet tumultuously on the dissolution of the ancient government, in order to give rafe to a new one? How chimerical must it be to talk of a choice in fuch circumftances?

The ACHEANS enjoyed the freest and most perfect democracy of all antiquity; yet they employed force to oblige some cities to enter into their league, as we learn from Polybius\*.

HARRY the IVth and HARRY the VIIth of Eng-LAND, had really no title to the throne but a parliamentary election; yet they never would acknowledge it, left they should thereby weaken their authority.

Strange,

Strange, if the only real foundation of all authority

be confent and promise!

It is in vain to fay, that all governments are or should be, at first, sounded on popular consent, as much as the necessity of human affairs will adher. This favours entirely my pretension. I maintain that human affairs will never admit of this consent; seldom of the appearance of it. But that conquest or usurpation, that is, in plain terms, force, by diffolving the ancient governments, is the origin of almost all the new ones which were ever established in the world. And that in the few cases where consent may seem to have taken place, it was commonly so irregular, so confined, or so much intermixed either with fraud or violence, that it cannot have any great authority.

My intention here is not to exclude the confent of the people from being one just foundation of government where it has place. It is furely the best and most facred of any. I only pretend, that it has very seldom had place in any degree, and never almost in its full extent: And that therefore some other foundation of government must also be

admitted.

Were all men possessed of so inflexible a regard to justice, that, of themselves, they would totally abstain from the properties of others; they had for ever remained in a state of absolute liberty, without subjection to any magistrate or political society: But this is a state of persection of which human nature is justly deemed incapable. Again; were all men possessed of so persect an understanding, as always to know their own interests, no form of government had ever been submitted to, but what was established on consent, and was sully canvassed by every member of the society: But this state of persection is likewise much superior to human nature. Reason, history, and experience show us, that all political societies have had an origin much less accurate and

regular; and were one to chuse a period of time, when the peoples consent was the least regarded in public transactions, it would be precisely on the establishment of a new government. In a settled constitution, their inclinations are often consulted; but during the fury of revolutions, conquests, and public convulsions, military force or political craft

usually dicides the controversy.

When a new government is established, by whatever means, the people are commonly diffatisfied with it, and pay obedience more from fear and neceflity, than from any idea of allegiance or of moral obligation. The prince is watchful and jealous, and must carefully guard against every beginning or appearance of infurrection. Time, by degrees, removes all these difficulties, and accustoms the nation to regard, as their lawful or native princes, that family which, at first, they considered as usurpers or foreign conquerors. In order to found this opinion, they have no recourse to any notion of voluntary consent or promife, which, they know, never was, in this case, either expected or demanded. The original eflablishment was formed by violence, and submitted to from necessity. The subsequent administration is also supported by power, and acquiesced in by the people, not as a matter of choice, but of obligation. They imagine not, that their confent gives their prince a title: But they willingly consent, because they think, that, from long possession, he has acquired a title, independent of their choice or inclination.

Should it be faid, that, by living under the dominion of a prince, which one might leave, every individual has given a tacit confent to his authority, and promifed him obedience; it may be answered, that such an implied confent can only have place, where a man imagines that the matter depends on his choice. But where he thinks (as all mankind do who are born under established governments) that by his birth he owes allegiance to a certain prince

or certain form of government; it would be abfurd to infer a confent or choice, which he expressly, in this case, renounces and disclaims.

Can we feriously say, that a poor peasant or artizan has a free choice to leave his country, when he knows no foreign language or manners, and lives, from day to day, by the small wages which he acquires? We may as well affert, that a man, by remaining in a vessel, freely consents to the dominion of the master; though he was carried on board while asleep, and must leap into the ocean, and perish the moment he leaves her.

What if the prince forbid his subjects to quit his dominions; as in Tiberius's time, it was regarded as a crime in a Roman knight that he had attempted to fly to the Parthians, in order to escape the tyranny of that emperor\*? Or as the ancient Muscovites prohibited all travelling under pain of death? And did a prince observe, that many of his subjects were seized with the frenzy of migrating to foreign countries, he would doubtless, with great reason and justice, restrain them, in order to prevent the depopulation of his own kingdom. Would he forseit the allegiance of all his subjects, by so wise and reasonable a law? Yet the freedom of their choice is surely in that case ravished from them.

A company of men, who should leave their native country; in order to people some inhabited region, might dream of recovering their native freedom; but they would soon find, that their prince still laid claim to them, and called them his subjects, even in their new settlement. And in this he would but acconformably to the common ideas of mankind.

The truest tacit consent of this kind, that is ever observed, is when a foreigner settles in any country, and is before hand acquainted with the prince, and government, and laws, to which he must submit: Yet is his allegiance, though more voluntary, much

lefa :

<sup>\*</sup> TACIT. Ann. lib. vi. cap. 14.

less expected or depended on, than that of a natural 'rn subject. On the contrary, his native prince ll afferts a claim to him. And if he punish not the renegade, when he seizes him in war with his new prince's commission; this elemency is not founded on the municipal law, which in all countries condemns the prisoner; but on the consent of princes, who have agreed to this indulgence, in order to prevent reprifals.

Did one generation of men go off the flage at once. and another fucceed, as is the case with filk-worms and butterflies, the new race, if they had fense enough to choose their government, which furely is never the case with men, might voluntarily, and by general confent, establish their own form of civil polity, without any regard to the laws or precedents which prevailed among their ancestors. But as human society is in perpetual flux, one man every hour going out of the world, another coming into it, it is necesfary, in order to preferve stability in government, that the new brood flould conform themselves to the established constitution, and nearly follow the path which their fathers, treading in the footsteps of theirs, had marked out to them. Some innovations must necessarily have place in every human institution; and it is happy where the enlightened genius of the age give these a direction to the side of reason, liberty, and juffice: But violent innovations no individual is intitled to make: They are even dangerous to be attempted by the legislature: More ill than good is ever to be expected from them: And if history affords examples to the contrary, they are not to be drawn into precedent, and are only to be regarded as proofs, that the science of politics affords few rules, which will not admit of some exception, and which may not fometimes be controlled by fortune and accident. The violent innovations in the reign of HENRY VIII. proceeded from an imperious monarch, seconded by the appearance of legislative authority:

authority: Those in the reign of Charles I. were derived from faction and fanaticism; and both of them have proved happy in the issue: But even the former were long the fource of many disorders, and still more dangers; and if the measures of allegiance were to be taken from the latter, a total anarchy must have place in human society, and a final period at

once be put to every government.

Suppose that an usurper, after having banished his lawful prince and royal family, should establish his dominion for ten or a dozen years in any country, and should preserve so exact a discipline in his troops, and fo regular a disposition in his garrisons, that no infurrection had ever been raifed, or even murmur heard, against his administration; can it be afferted, that the people, who in their hearts abhor his treason, have tacitly confented to his authority, and promifed him allegiance, merely because, from necessity, they live under his dominion? Suppose again their native prince reftored, by means of an army, which he levies in foreign countries: They receive him with joy and exultation, and show plainly with what reluctance they had submitted to any other yoke. may now ask, upon what foundation the prince's title flands? Not on popular confent furely: For though the people willingly acquiesce in his authority, they never imagine, that their confent made him fovereign. They confent; because they apprehend him to be already, by birth, their lawful fovereign. And as to that tacit confent, which may now be inferred from their living under his dominion, this is no more than what they formerly gave to the tyrant and usurper.

When we affert, that all lawful government arises from the consent of the people, we certainly do them a great deal more honour than they deserve, or even expect and desire from us. After the Roman dominions became too unwieldy for the republic to govern them, the people, over the whole known world,

were extremely grateful to Augustus for that au-. thority, which, by violence, he had established over them; and they showed an equal disposition to submit to the fuccessor, whom he left them by his last will and testament. It was afterwards their misfortune, that there never was, in one family, any long regular fuccession; but that their line of princes was continually broken, either by private affaffinations or public rebellions. The pratorian bands, on the tailure of every family, fet up one emperor; the legions in the East a second; those in GERMANY. perhaps, a third: And the fword alone could decide the controversy. The condition of the people in that mighty monarchy, was to be lamented, not because the choice of the emperor was never left to them; for that was impracticable: But because they never fell under any fuccession of masters, who might regularly follow each other. As to the violence and wars and bloodfhed, occasioned by every new fettlement; these were not blameable, because they were inevitable.

The house of Lancaster ruled in this island about fixty years; yet the partizans of the white role feemed daily to multiply in England. The prefent establishment has taken place during a still longer period. Have all views of right in another family been utterly extinguished; even though scarce any man now alive had arrived at years of difcretion, when it was expelled, or could have confented to its dominion, or have promifed it allegiance? A fufficient indication furely of the general fentiment of mankind on this head. For we blame not the partizans of the abdicated family, merely on account of the long time during which they have preferved their imaginary loyalty: We blame them for adhering to a family, which we affirm, has been justly expelled, and which, from the moment the new fettlement took place, had forfeited all title to authority.

But would we have a more regular, at least a more Vol. I. Dd philosophical

philosophical refutation of this principle of an original contract or popular consent; perhaps the follow-

ing observations may suffice.

All moral duties may be divided into two kinds. The first are those to which men are impelled by a natural instinct, or immediate propensity, which operates on them, independent of all ideas of obligation, and of all views, either to public or private utility. Of this nature are, love of children, gratitude to benefactors, pity to the unfortunate. When we reslect on the advantage which results to society from such humane instincts, we pay them the just tribute of moral approbation and esteem: But the person, actuated by them, feels their power and instincts antecedent to any such reslection.

The fecond kind of moral duties are fuch as are not supported by any original instinct of nature, but are performed entirely from a fense of obligation. when we confider the necessities of human fociety, and the impossibility of supporting it, if these duties were neglected. It is thus justice or a regard to the property of others, fidelity or the observance of promifes, becomes obligatory, and acquire an authority over mankind. For as it is evident, that every man loves himself better than any other person, he is naturally impelled to extend his acquifitions as much as possible; and nothing can restrain him in this propenfity, but reflection and experience, by which he learns the pernicious effects of that licence, and the total diffolution of fociety which must ensue from it. His original inclination, therefore, or inftinct, is here checked and restrained by a subsequent judgment or obtervation.

The case is precisely the same with the political or civil duty of allegiance, as with the natural duties of justice and fidelity. Our primary instincts lead us, either to indulge ourselves in unlimited freedom, or to seek dominion over others: And it is reslection only, which engages us to facrifice such strong pass-

fions

tions to the interests of peace and public order. A small degree of experience and observation suffices to teach us, that society cannot possibly be maintained without the authority of magistrates, and that this aethority must soon fall into contempt, where exact obedience is not paid to it. The observation of these general and obvious interests is the source of all allegiance, and of that moral obligation which we attribute to it.

What necessity, therefore, is there to found the duty of allegiance or obedience to magistrates on that of fidelity or a regard to promifes, and to suppose, that it is the confent of each individual, which subjects him to government; when it appears that both allegiance and fidelity fland precifely on the fame foundation, and are both submitted to by mankind. on account of the apparent interests and necessities of human fociety? We are bound to obey our fovereign, it is faid; because we have given a tacit promile to that purpose. But why are we bound to obferve our promise? It must here be afferted, that the commerce and intercourse of mankind, which are of such mighty advantage, can have no fecurity where men pay no regard to their engagements. In like manner, may it be faid, that men could not live at all in fociety, at least in a civilized fociety, without laws and magistrates and judges, to prevent the encroachments of the strong upon the weak, of the violent upon the just and equitable. The obligation to allegiance being of like force and authority with the obligation to fidelity, we gain nothing by refolving the one into the other. The general interests or necessities of society are sufficient to establish both.

If the reason be asked of that obedience, which we are bound to pay to government, I readily answer, because society could not otherwise substitute. And this saftwer is clear and intelligible to all mankind. Your enswer is, because we should keep our word. But D d 2 besides

befides, that no body, till trained in a philosophical fystem, can either comprehend or relish this answer: Besides this, I say, you find yourself embarrassed, when it is asked, why we are bound to keep our word? Nor can you give any answer, but what would immediately, without any circuit, have accounted for our obligation to allegiance.

But to whom is allegiance due? And who is our lawful fovereign? This question is often the most difficult of any, and liable to infinite discussions. When people are fo happy, that they can answer, Our prefent sovereign, who inherits, in a direct line, from anceftors that have governed us for many ages; this anfwer admits of no reply; even though historians, in tracing up to the remotest antiquity, the origin of that royal family, may find, as commonly happens, that its first authority was derived from usurpation and violence. It is confessed, that private justice, or the abstinence from the properties of others, is a most cardinal virtue: Yet reason tells us, that there is no property in durable objects, fuch as lands or houses. when carefully examined in paffing from hand to hand, but must, in some period, have been sounded on fraud and injustice. The necessities of human fociety, neither in private nor public life, will allow of fuch an accurate inquiry: And there is no virtue or moral duty, but what may with facility be refined away, if we indulge a false philosophy, in fifting and ferutinizing it, by every captious rule of logic, in every light or position, in which it may be

The questions with regard to private property have filled infinite volumes of law and philosophy, if in both we add the commentators to the original text; and in the end, we may safely pronounce, that many of the rules, there established, are uncertain, ambiguous, and arbitrary. The like opinion may be formed with regard to the succession and rights of princes and forms of government. Several

rafes, no doubt, occur, especially in the infancy of any constitution, which admit of no determination from the laws of justice and equity: And our historian Rapin pretends, that the controverty between Enward the Third and Philip de Valois was of this nature, and could be decided only by an appeal to heaven, that is, by war and violence.

Who shall tell me, whether Germanicus or Drusus jought to have fucceeded to Tiberius, had he died, while they were both alive, without naming any of them for his fuccessor? Ought the right of adoption to be received as equivalent to that of blood, in a nation, where it had the fame effect in private families, and had already, in two inflances, taken place in the public? Ought GERMANICUS to be c-Reemed the elder son because he was born before DRUSES: or the younger, because he was adopted after the birth of his brother? Ought the right of the elder to be regarded in a nation, where he had no advantage in the fuccession of private families? Ought the ROMAN empire at that time to be deemed hereditary, because of two examples? or ought it, even fo early, to be regarded as belonging to the itronger or to the prefent possessor, as being sounded on fo recent an usurpation?

Commonus inounted the throne after a pretty long fuccession of excellent emperors, who had acquired their title, not by birth, or public election, but by the fictitious rite of adoption. That bloody debauchee being murdered by a conspiracy, suddenly formed between his wench and her gallant, who happened at that time to be Prætorian Præfect: there immediately deliberated about choosing a mafter to human kind, to speak in the style of those ages; and they cast their eyes on Pertinax. the tyrant's death was known, the Prafect went fecretly to that fenator, who, on the appearance of the toldiers, imagined that his execution had been ordered by Commonus. He was immediately faluted Dd3 emperor emperor by the officer and his attendants; cheerfully proclaimed by the populace; unwillingly fubmitted to by the guards; formerly recognized by the fenate; and paffively received by the provinces and

armies of the empire.

The discontent of the *Pratorian* bands broke out in a fudden fedition, which occasioned the murder of that excellent prince: And the world being now without a master and without government, the guards thought proper to fet the empire formally to fale. Julian, the purchaser, was proclaimed by the soldiers, recognized by the fenate, and fubmitted to by the people; and must also have been submitted to by the provinces, had not the envy of the legions begotten opposition and refistance. Pescennius NIGER in SYRIA elected himself emperor, gained the tumultary confent of his army, and was attended with the fecret good-will of the fenate and people of Rome. Albinus in Britain found an equal right to fet up his claim; but Severus, who governed l'Annonia, prevailed in the end above both of them. That able politician and warrior, finding his own birth and dignity too much inferior to the imperial crown, professed at first an intention only of revenging the death of Pertinax. He marched as general into ITALY; defeated Julian; and without our being able to fix any precise commencement even of the foldiers confent, he was from necessity acknowledged emperor by the fenate and people; and fully established in his violent authority by subduing Ni-GER and ALBINUS \*.

Inter bæc Gordianus Cæsar (fays Capitolinus, fpeaking of another period) fublatus a militibus. Imperator est appellatus, quia non erat alius in præsenti. It is to be remarked, that Gordian was a boy of fourteen years of age.

Frequent instances of a like nature occur in the history of the emperors; in that of ALEXANDER'S,

fraccessors; and of many other countries: Nor can any thing be more unhappy than a despotic government of this kind; where the succession is disjointed and irregular, and must be determined, on every vacancy, by force or election. In a free government the matter is often unavoidable, and is also much less dangerous. The interests of liberty may there frequently lead the people, in their own desence, to alter the succession of the crown. And the constitution, being compounded of parts, may still maintain a sufficient stability, by resting on the aristocratical or democratical members, though the monarchical be altered, from time to time, in order to accommodate it to the former.

In an absolute government, when there is no legal prince who has a title to the throne, it may safely be determined to belong to the sirst occupant. Instances of this kind are but too frequent, especially in the eastern monarchies. When any race of princes expires, the will or destination of the last sovereign will be regarded as a title. Thus the edict of Lewis the XIVth, who called the bastard princes to the succession in case of the failure of all the legitimate princes, would, in such an event, have some authority\*. Thus the will of Charles the Second disposed of the whole Spanish monarchy. The cession of the ancient proprietor, especially when joined to conquest, is likewise deemed a good title.

The general obligation, which binds us to government is, the interest and necessities of society; and this obligation is very strong. The determination of it to this or that particular prince or form of government, is frequently more uncertain and dubious. Present possession has considerable authority in these cases, and greater than in private property; because of the disorders which attend all revolutions and changes of government.

We shall only observe, before we conclude, that, D d 4 though though an appeal to general opinion may justly, in the speculative sciences of metaphysics, natural philosophy, or astronomy, be deemed unfair and inconclufive, yet in all questions with regard to morals, as well as criticism, there is really no other stand, ard, by which any controverfy can ever be decided. And nothing is a clearer proof, that a theory of this kind is erroneous, than to find, that it leads to paradoxes, repugnant to the common fentiments of inankind, and to the practice and opinion of all nations and all ages. The doctrine, which founds all lawful government on an original contract, or confent of the people, is plainly of this kind; nor has the most noted of its partizans, in profecution of it, scrupled to affirm, that absolute monarchy is inconfishent with civil fociety, and fo can be no form of civil government at all \*; and that the supreme power in a state cannot take from any man, by taxes and impositions, any part of his property, without his own confent or that of his representatives . What authority any moral reasoning can have, which leads into opinions, fo wide of the general practice of mankind, in every place but this fingle kingdom, it is eafy to determine.

The only passage I meet with in antiquity, where the obligation of obedience to government is ascribed to a promise, is in Plato's Crito; where Socrates results to escape from prison, because he had tacitly promised to obey the laws. Thus he builds a tory consequence of passive obedience on a whig foundation of the original contract.

New discoveries are not to be expected in these matters. If scarce any man, till very lately, ever imagined that government was founded on compact, it is certain, that it cannot, in general, have any such foundation.

The crime of rebellion among the ancients was commonly expressed by the terms rewregizer, novas-resembliri.

<sup>\*</sup> Sec Locke on Government, chap. vii. § 90.

<sup>†</sup> Id. chap. xi. § 138, 139, 140,

## E S S A Y XIII.

#### Of PASSIVE OBEDIENCE.

N the former effay, we endeavour to refute the *speculative* systems of politics advanced in this nation; as well the religious system of the one party, as the philosophical of the other. We come now to examine the *practical* consequences, deduced by each party, with regard to the measures of submission due to sovereigns.

As the obligation to justice is founded entirely on the interests of fociety, which require mutual abstinence from property, in order to preferve peace among mankind; it is evident, that when the execution of inflice would be attended with very pernicious confequences, that virtue must be suspended, and give place to public utility, in fuch extraordinary and fuch pressing emergencies. The maxim, fiat Justitia et ruat Cœlum, "let justice be performed, though the " universe be destroyed," is apparently false; and by facrificing the end to the means, thows a prepofterous idea of the subordination of duties. What governor of a town makes any feruple of burning the fuburbs. when they facilitate the approaches of the enemy? Or what general abstains from plundering a neutral country, when the necessities of war require it, and he cannot otherwife fubfift his army? The cafe is the fame with the duty of allegiance; and common fense teaches us, that as government binds us to obedience only on account of its tendency to public utility, that duty must always, in extraordinary cases, when public

lic ruin would evidently attend obedience, yield to the primary and original obligation. Salus populi fuprema lex, "The fafety of the people is the fupreme law." This maxim is agreeable to the fentiments of mankind in all ages: Nor is any one, when he, reads of the infurrections against Nero or Phillip the. Second, so infatuated with party systems, as not to wish success to the enterprize, and praise the undertakers. Even our high monarchical party, in spite of their sublime theory, are forced, in such cases, to judge, and feel, and approve, in conformity to the rest of mankind.

Refishance, therefore, being admitted in extraordinary emergencies, the question can only be among good reasoners, with regard to the degree of necessity which can juffify refiffance, and render is lawful or commendable. And here I must confess, that I shall always incline to their fide who draw the bond of al-Jeginnee very close, and confider an infringement of it, as the last refuge in desperate cases, when the publie is in the highest danger from violence and tyranny. For besides the mischiefs of a civil war which commonly attends infurrection; it is certain, that where a disposition to rebellion appears among any people, it is one chief cause of tyranny in the rulers, and forces them into many violent measures which they never would have embraced, had every one been inclined to submission and obedience. Thus the tyrannicide or affaffination, approved of by ancient maxims, instead of keeping tyrants and usurpers in awe, made them ten times more fierce and unrelenting; and is now justly, upon that account, abolished by the laws of nations, and universally condemned as a base and treacherous method of bringing to justice these diffurbers of fociety.

Besides, we must consider, that as obedience is our duty in the common course of things, it ought chiefly to be inculcated; nor can any thing be more preposterous than an anxious care and solicitude in star-

ting all the cases in which resistance may be allowed. In like manner, though a philosopher reasonably acknowledges in the course of an argument, that the rules of justice may be dispensed with in cases of urgent necessity: What should we think of a preacher or casuist, who should make it his chief study to find out such cases, and ensore them with all the vehemence of argument and eloquence? Would he not be better employed in inculcating the general doctrine, than in displaying the particular exceptions, which, we are perhaps but too much inclined of ourselves, to embrace and to extend?

There are, however, two reasons which may be pleaded in defence of that party among us, who have, with so much industry, propagated the maxims of resistance; maxims which, it must be confessed, are, in general, so pernicious, and so destructive of civil society. The first is, that their antagonists carrying the doctrine of obedience to such an extravagant height, as not only never to mention the exceptions in extraordinary cases (which might, perhaps, be exusable), but even positively to exclude them; it became necessary to insist on these exceptions, and detend the rights of injured truth and liberty. The second, and, perhaps, better reason, is sounded on the nature of the British constitution and form of government.

It is almost peculiar to our constitution to establish a sirst magistrate with such high pre-eminence and dignity, that, though limited by the laws, he is, in a manner, so far as regards his own person, above the laws, and can neither be questioned nor punished for any injury or wrong which may be committed by him. His ministers alone, or those who act by his commission, are obnoxious to justice; and while the prince is thus allured, by the prospect of personal safety, to give the laws their free course, an equal security is, in effect, obtained by the punishment of lesser offenders, and at the same time a civil war is avoided, which would

would be the infallible confequence, were an attack, at every turn, made directly upon the fovereign. Rut though the conftitution pays this falutary complement to the prince, it can never reasonably be understood, by that maxim, to have determined its own deftruction, or to have established a tame submission, where, he protects his ministers, perseveres in injustice, and usurps the whole power of the commonwealth. This case, indeed, is never expressly put by the laws; because it is impossible for them, in their ordinary course, to provide a remedy for it, or establish any magiftrate, with fuperior authority, to chaftife the exorbitancies of the prince. But as a right without a remedy would be an abfurdity, the remedy, in this cafe, is the extraordinary one of refiftance, when affairs come to that extremity, that the conftitution can be defended by it alone. Refistance therefore must, of course, become more frequent in the British government than in others which are simpler, and consist of fewer parts and movements. Where the king is an absolute sovereign, he has little temptation to commit fuch enormous tyranny as may justly provoke rebellion: But where he is limited, his imprudent ambition, without any great vices, may run him into that perilous fituation. This is frequently supposed to have been the case with Charles the First; and if we may now speak truth, after animosities are ceased, this was also the case with JAMES the Second. These were harmless, if not, in their private character, good men; but mistaking the nature of our conflitution, and engroffing the whole legislative power, it became necessary to oppose them with some vehemence; and even to deprive the latter formally of that authority, which he had used with such imprudence and indiferction.

## ESSAY XIV.

#### Of the COALITION of PARTIES.

O abolish all distinctions of party may not be practicable, perhaps not desirable, in a free go The only dangerous parties are fuch as entertain opposite views with regard to the essentials of government, the fuccession of the crown, or the more confiderable privileges belonging to the feveral members of the conflitution; where there is no room for any compromife or accommodation, and where the controversy may appear so momentous as to justify even an opposition by arms to the pretentions of antagonists. Of this nature was the animosity, continued for above a century past, between the parties in England; an animolity which broke out sometimes into civil war, which occasioned violent revolutions, and which continually endangered the peace and tranquillity of the nation. But as there have appeared of late the strongest symptoms of an universal defire to abolish these party distinctions; this tendency to a coalition affords the most agreeable prospect of future happiness, and ought to be carefully cherished and promoted by every lover of his country..

There is not a more effectual method of promoting fo good an end, than to prevent all unreasonable infult and triumph of the one party over the other; to encourage moderate opinions, to find the proper medium in all disputes, to persuade each that its antagonist may possibly be sometimes in the right, and to keep

keep a balance in the praise and blame which we bestow on either side. The two former Eslays, concerning the original contrast and passive obedience, are calculated for this purpose with regard to the philosophical and prastical controversies between the passies, and tend to show that neither side are in these respects so fully supported by reason, as they endeavour to flatter themselves. We shall proceed to exercise the same moderation with regard to the historical disputes between the parties, by proving that each of them was justified by plausible topic; that there were on both sides wise men who meant well to their country; and that the past animosity between the factions had no better foundation than narrow preju-

dice or interested passion.

The popular party, who afterwards acquired the name of whigs, might justify, by very specious arguments, that opposition to the crown, fro a which our prefent free conflitution is derived. Though obliged to acknowledge, that precedents in livour of prerogative had uniformly taken place during many reigns before Charles the First, they thought, that there was no reason for submitting any longer to so dangerous an authority. Such might have been their reafoning: As the rights of mankind are for ever to be deemed facred, no prescription of tyranny or arbitrary power can have authority fufficient to abolish them. Liberty is a bleffing to ineftimable, that wherever there appears any probability of recovering it, a nation may willingly run many hazards, and ought not even to repine at the greatest estation of blood or disfination of treasure. All human institutions, and none more than government, are in continual fluctuation. Kings are fure to embrace every opportunity of extending their prerogatives; and if favourable incidents be not also laid hold of for extending and fecuring the privileges of the people, an universal despotifm must for ever prevail amongst mankind. The example of all the neighbouring nations proves, that

It is no longer fafe to entrust with the crown the same igh prerogatives which had formerly been exercised during rude and simple ages. And though the example of many late reigns may be pleaded in favour of a power in the prince somewhat arbitrary, more remote reigns afford instances of stricter limitations imposed on the crown; and those pretensions of the parliament, now branded with the title of innovations, are only a re-

covery of the un sats of the people.

Thefe view tar from being odious, are furely large, and a ble: To their prevalence and nd gener niccel it andom owes its liberty; perhaps its (earnit commerce, and naval power-વાલી 💮 the English name is diftinguished By the in c more he the freet and most illustrious commonwith thus wealths were so let. But as all these mighty conrequences total reasonably be foreseen at the time so can the context began, the royalists of that age wantea not specious arguments on their side, by which nev could juffit the defence of the then established prerogatives of the prince. We shall state the quettion as it might have appeared to them at the affembling of that parliament, which, by its violent encroachon hits on the crown, began the . I wars.

The only rule of government, may might have find, known and acknowledged among men, is use and practice: Reason is so uncertain a guide, that it will always be exposed to doubt and controversy: Could it ever render itself prevalent over the people, men had always retained it as their sole rule of conduct: They seal still continued in the primitive, unconnected state of nature, without submitting to political government, whose sole basis is, not pure reason, but authority and precedent. Dissolve these ties, you break all the bonds of civil society, and leave every man at liberty to consult his private interest, by those expedients, which his appetite, disguissed under the appearance of reason, shall distance to him. The spi-

rit of innovation is in itself pernicious, however savourable its particular object may sometimes appear: A truth so obvious, that the popular party themselves are sensible of it; and therefore cover their encreachments on the crown by the plausible pretence of their recovering the ancient liberties of the people.

But the present prerogatives of the crown, allowing all the suppositions of that party, have been incontestibly established ever since the accession of the House of Tudor; a period which, as it now comprehends a hundred and sixty years, may be allowed sufficient to give stability to any constitution. Would it not have appeared ridiculous, in the reign of the Emperor Adrian, to have talked of the republican constitution as the rule of government; or to have supposed that the former rights of the senate, and consuls, and tribunes, were still subsisting?

But the prefent claims of the English monarchs are much more favourable than those of the ROMAN emperors during that age. The authority of Augus-TUS was a plain usurpation, grounded only on military violence, and forms such an epoch in the ROMAN history as is obvious to every reader. But if HENRY VII. really, as fome pretend, enlarged the power of the crown, it was only by infenfible acquisitions which escaped the apprehension of the people, and have fcarcely been remarked even by historians and poli-The new government, if it deserve the epithet, is an imperceptible transition from the former; is entirely engrafted on it; derives its title fully from that root; and is to be confidered only as one of those gradual revolutions, to which human affairs, in every nation, will be for ever subject.

The House of Tudor, and after them that of Stuart, exercised no prerogatives, but what had been claimed and exercised by the Plantagenets. Not a single branch of their authority can be said to be an innovation. The only difference is, that, perhaps, former kings exerted these powers only by in-

tervals, and were not able, by reason of the opposition of their barons, to render them so steady a rule of administration. But the sole inference from this saction, that those ancient times were more turbulent and seditions; and that royal authority, the constitution, and the laws, have happily of late gained the association.

Under what pretence can the popular party now speak of recovering the ancient constitution? The former control over the kings was not placed in the commons, but in the barons: The people had no authority, and even little or no liberty; till the crown, by suppressing these factious tyrants, enforced the execution of the laws, and obliged all the fubjects equally to respect each others rights, privileges, and properties. If we must return to the ancient barbarous and feudal constitution; let those gentlemen, who now behave themselves with so much insolence to their fovereign, fet the first example. Let them make court to be admitted as retainers to a neighbouring baron; and by submitting to slavery under him, acquire fome protection to themselves; together with the power of exercifing rapine and oppression over their inferior flaves and villains. This was the condition of the commons among their remote ancef-

But how far back must we go, in having recourse to ancient constitutions and governments? There was a constitution still more ancient than that to which these innovators affect so much to appeal. During that period there was no magna charta: The barons themselves possessed few regular, stated privileges: And the house of commons probably had not an existence.

It is ridiculous to hear the commons, while they are assuming, by usurpation, the whole power of government, talk of reviving ancient institutions. Is it not known, that, though representatives received wages from their constituents; to be a member of the Vol. I. ... Ee lower

lower house was always considered as a burden, and an exemption from it as a privilege? Will they perfuade us, that power, which, of all human acquisitions, is the most coveted, and in comparison of which even reputation and pleasure and riches are slighted, could ever be regarded as a burden by any man

The property, acquired of late by the comr it is faid, intitles them to more power than the cestors enjoyed. But to what is this encrease of property owing, but to an encrease of their liberty and their security? Let them therefore ackowledge, that their ancestors, while the crown was restrained by the seditious barons, really enjoyed less liberty than they themselves have attained, after the sovereign acquired the ascendant: And let them enjoy that liberty with moderation; and not forfeit it by new exorbitant claims, and by rendering it a pretence for endless innovations.

The true rule of government is the present established practice of the age. That has most authority, because it is recent: It is also best known, for the same reason. Who has assured those tribunes, that the PLANTAGENETS did not exercise as high acts of authority as the Tudors? Historians, they fay, do not mention them. But historians are also filent with regard to the chief exertions of prerogative by the Tudors. Where any power or prerogative is fully and undoubtedly established, the exercise of it passes for a thing of course, and readily escapes the notice of history and annals. Had we no other monuments of ELIZABETH's reign, than what are preserved even by CAMDEN, the most copious, judicious, and exact of our historians, we should be entirely ignorant of the most important maxims of her government.

Was not the present monarchical government, in its full extent, authorized by lawyers, recommended by divine, acknowledged by politicians, acquisced in, nay passionately cherished, by the people in general; and all this during a period of at least a hun-

dred

dred and fixty years, and till of late, without the finallest murmur or controversy? This general confers furely, during fo long a time, must be sufficient to render a conflitution legal and valid. If the origin of all power be derived, as is pretended, from the people; here is their confent in the fullest and most ample terms that can be defired or imagined.

But the people must not pretend, because they can, by their confent, lay the foundations of government, that therefore they are to be permitted, at their pleasure, to overthrow and subvert them. There is no end of these seditious and arrogant claims. power of the crown is now openly ftruck at: The nobility are also in visible peril: The gentry will foon follow: The popular leaders, who will then assume the name of gentry, will next be exposed to danger: And the people themselves, having become incapable of civil government, and lying under the restraint. of no authority, must, for the sake of peace, admit, instead of their legal and mild monarchs, a succession of military and despotic tyrants.

These consequences are the more to be dreaded, as the present fury of the people, though glossed over by pretentions to civil liberty, is in reality incited by the fanaticism of religion; a principle the most blind, headstrong, and ungovernable, by which human nature can possibly be actuated. Popular rage is dreadful, from whatever motive derived: But must be attended with the most pernicious consequences, when it arises from a principle, which disclaims all control by human law, reason, or authority.

These are the arguments which each party may. make use of to justify the conduct of their predecessors during that great crisis. The event, if that can be admitted as a reason, has shown, that the arguments of the popular party were better founded; but perhaps, according to the established maxims of lawyers and politicians, the views of the royalists ought, be-

E e 2

fore-hand, to have appeared more folid, more fafe, and more legal. But this is certain, that the greater moderation we now employ in representing past events, the nearer shall we be to produce a full coalition of the parties, and an entire acquiscence in our present establishment. Moderation is of advantage establishment: Nothing but zeal can overt settled power: And an over-active zeal in frience apt to beget a like spirit in antagonists. The transition from a moderate opposition against an establishment, to an entire acquirence in it, is easy and infensible.

There are many invincible arguments, which should induce the malcontent party to acquifee entirely in the present settlement of the constitution. They now find, that the spirit of civil liberty, though at first connected with religious fanaticism, could purge itfelf from that pollution, and appear under a more genuine and engaging aspect; a friend to toleration, and an encourager of all the enlarged and generous fentiments that do honour to human nature. may observe, that the popular claims could flop at a proper period; and after retrenching the high claims of prerogative, could still maintain a due respect to monarchy, to nobility, and to all ancient inflitutions. Above all, they must be sensible, that the very principle, which made the strength of their party, and from which it derived its chief authority, has now deferted them, and gone over to their antagonists, The plan of liberty is fettled; its happy effects are proved by experience; a long tract of time has given it stability; and whoever would attempt to overturn it, and to recal the past government or abdicated family, would, besides other more criminal imputations, be exposed, in their turn, to the reproach of faction and innovation. While they peruse the hiflory of past events, they ought to reslect, both that those rights of the crown are long since annihilated. and that the tyranny, and violence, and oppression,

to which they often gave rife, are ills, from which the established liberty of the constitution has now at that happily protected the people. These reflections will prove a better security to our freedom and privileges, than to deny, contrary to the clearest evidence of facts, that such regal powers ever had an existence. There is not a more essectival method of betraying a cause, than to lay the stress of the argument on a wrong place, and by disputing an untenable post, enure the adversaries to success and victory.

# ESS'AY XV.

Of the Protestant Scucession.

Suppose, that a member of parliament in the reign of King William or Queen Anne, while the establishment of the *Protestant Succession* was yet uncertain, were deliberating concerning the party he would choose in that important question, and weighing, with impartiality, the advantages and disadvantages on each side: I believe the following particulars would have entered into his consideration.

He would easily perceive the great advantage refulting from the refloration of the STUART family; by which we should preserve the succession clear and undisputed, free from a pretender, with such a specious title as that of blood, which, with the multitude, is always the claim, the strongest and most easily comprehended. It is in vain to say, as many have done, that the question with regard to governors, independent of government, is frivolous, and little worth disputing, much less sighting about.

Ee 3

The generality of mankind never will enter into these sentiments; and it is much happier, I believe, for fociety, that they do not, but rather continue in their natural prepofications. How could stability its preserved in any monarchical government (which, though, perhaps, not the best, is, and always have been, the most common of any), unless men ha passionate a regard for the true heir of their ro, family; and even though he be weak in understanding, or infirm in years, gave him to fentible a preference above persons the most acomplished in ning talents, or celebrated for great atchievem Would not every popular leader put in his clam ... every vacancy, or even without any vacancy; and the kingdom become the there of perpetual wars and convultions? The condition of the ROMAN empire, furely, was not, in this respect, much to be envied; nor is that of the *Eastern* nations, who pay little regard to the titles of their fovereign, but facrifice them, every day, to the caprice or momentary humour of the populace or foldiery. It is but a foolish wisdom, which is so carefully displayed, in undervaluing princes, and placing them on a level with the meanest of mankind. To be sure, an anatomist finds no more in the greatest monarch than in the lowest peasent or day-labourer; and a moralist may, perhaps, frequently find lefs. But what do all these reflections tend to? We, all of us, still retain these prejudices in favour of birth and family; and neither in our ferious occupations, nor most careless amusements, can we ever get entirely rid of them. A tragedy, that should represent the adventures of failors, or porters, or even of private gentlemen, would prefently difgust us; but one that introduces kings and princes, acquires in our eyes an air of importance and dignity. Or should a man be able, by his superior widdom, to get entirely above such pre-possessions, he would soon, by means of the same wisdom, again bring himself down to them, for the

fake of fociety, whose welfare he would perceive to be intimately connected with them. Far from en-Vouring to undeceive the people in this particular. would cherish such sentiments of reverence to their princes, as requisite to preserve a due subordination in fociety. And though the lives of twenty thousand men be often facrificed to maintain a king in possession of his throne, or preserve the right of fuccession undisturbed, he entertains no indignation at the lofs, on pretence that every individual of these was, perhaps, in himfelf, as valuable as the prince he ferved. He confiders the confequences of violating the hereditary right of kings: Confequences which may be felt for many centuries; while the loss of feveral thousand men, brings so little prejudice to a large kingdom, that A may not be perceived a few vears after.

The advantages of the Hanover succession are of an opposite nature, and arise from this very circumstance, that it violates hereditary right; and places on the throne a prince, to whom birth gave no title to that dignity. It is evident, from the history of this island, that the privileges of the people have, during near two centuries, been continually upon the encrease, by the division of the church-lands, by the alienations of the barons estates, by the progress of trade, and above all, by the happiness of our fituation; which, for a long time, gave us fufficient fecurity, without any flanding army or military effablishment. On the contrary, public liberty has, almost in every other nation of EUROPE, been, during the fame period, extremely upon the decline; while the people were difgusted at the hardships of the old feudal militia, and rather chose to entrust their prince with mercenary armies, which he eafily turned against themselves. It was nothing extraordinary, therefore, that some of our British sovereigns mistook the nature of the constitution, at least the genius of the people; and as they embraced all the favourable

able precedents left them by their ancestors, they overlooked all those which were contrary, and which fupposed a limitation in our government. They with encouraged in this mistake, by the example of al nighbouring princes, who, bearing the same appellation, and being adorned with the fame cmis. of authority, naturally led them to claim the powers and prerogatives. It appears from the spec and proclamations of JAMES I. and the whole tranof that prince's actions, as well as his ion's, that he regarded the English government as a simple monarchy, and never imagined that any confider. of his fubjects entertained a contrary ide. opinion made those monarchs discover their pretentions without preparing any force to support them; said even without referve or disgusse, which are always employed by those who enter upon any new project, or endeavour to innovate in any government. flattery of courtiers farther confirmed their prejudices; and above all, that of the clergy, who from feveral passages of scripture, and these wrested too, had erected a regular and avowed fystem of arbitrary power. The only method of destroying, at once, all these high claims and pretenfions, was to depart from the true hereditary line, and choose a prince, who, being plainly a creature of the public, and receiving the crown on conditions, expressed and avowed, found his authority established on the same bottom with the privileges of the people. By electing him in the royal line, we cut off all hopes of ambitious subjects, who might, in future emergencies, disturb the government by their cabals and pretentions: By rendering the crown hereditary in his family, we avoided all the inconveniences of elective monarchy; and by excluding the lineal heir, we fecured all our conflitutional limitations, and rendered our government uniform and of a piece. The people cherish monarchy. because protected by it: The monarch favours liberty, because created by it. And thus every advan-· Thefé 1

time is obtained by the new establishment, as far as yan skill and wisdom can extend itself.

bese are the separate advantages of fixing the on, either in the house of Stuart or in that over. There are also disadvantages in each blishment, which an impartial patriot would pond examine, in order to form a just judgment on the whole.

Phe difadvantages of the proteflant fuccession confit in the foreign dominions, which are possessed by the princes of the Hanover line, and which, it

fupposed, would engage us in the intrigues and wars of the continent, and lose us, in some measure, the inestimable advantage we posses, of being suitounded and guarded by the sea, which we command. The disadvantages of recalling the abdicated family, consist chiefly in their religion, which is more prejudicial to society than that established amongst us is contrary to it, and affords on toleration, or peace or security to any other communion.

It appears to me, that these advantages and disadvantages are allowed on both fides; at least by every one who is at all susceptable of argument or reasoning. No subject, however loyal, pretends to deny, that the disputed title and foreign dominions of the present royal family are a loss. Nor is there any partizan of the STUARTS, but will confess, that the claim of hereditary, indefeafible right, and the Roman Catholic religion, are also disadvantages in that family. It belongs, therefore, to a philosopher alone, who is of neither party, to put all the circumflunces in the scale, and affign to each of them its proper poise and influence. Such a one will readily, at first, acknowledge, that all political questions are infinitely complicated, and that there fearcely ever occurs, in any deliberation, a choice, which is either purely good or purely ill. Confequences, mixed and varied, may be foreseen to flow from every measure: And many con-. fequences, unforefeen, do always, in fact, refult from every saic. Hesitation, and referve, and suspence,

are, therefore, the only fentiments he brings to this effay or trial. Or if he indulges any passion, it is that of derision against the ignorant multitude, with are always clamorous and dogmatical, even in incest questions, of which, from want of temper, perhaps still more than of understanding, they are altogether unsit judges.

But to fay fomething more determinate on this head, the following reflections will, I hope, show the temper, if not the understanding, of a philoso-

pher.

Were we to judge merely by first appearances, and by past experience, we must allow that the advantages of a parliamentary title in the house of Hanover are greater than those of an andisputed heredicary title in the house of STUART; and that our fathers acted wifely in preferring the former to the latter. long as the house of STUART ruled in GREAT BRITAIN, which, with fome interruption, was above eighty years, the government was kept in a continual fever, by the contention between the privileges of the people and the prerogatives of the crown. If arms were dropped, the noise of disputes continued: Or if these were filenced, jealoufy still corroded the heart, and threw the nation into an unnatural ferment and diforder. And while we were thus occupied in domestic disputes, a foreign power, dangerous to public liberty, erected itself in Europe, without any opposition from us. and even fometimes with our affiftance.

But during these last sixty years, when a parliamentary establishment has taken place; whatever factions may have prevailed either among the people or in public assemblies, the whole force of our constitution has always fallen to one side, and an uninterrupted harmony has been preserved between our princes and our parliaments. Public liberty, with internal peace and order, has flourished almost without interruption: Trade and manufactures and expiculture have encreased: The arts, and sciences, and

philosophy,

philosophy, have been cultivated. Even religious parties have been necessitated to lay aside their mutuations. And the glory of the nation has spread it all over Europe; derived equally from our progress in the arts of peace, and from valour and success in war. So long and so glorious a period no nation almost can boast of: Nor is there another instance in the whole history of mankind, that so many milions of people have, during such a space of time, been held together, in a manner so free, so rational, and so suitable to the dignity of human nature.

ough this recent experience feems clearly o decide in favour of the prefent establishment, here are some circumstances to be thrown into the other scale; and it is dangerous to regulate our

judgment by one event or example.

We have had two rebellions during the flourishing period above mentioned, besides plots and conspiracies without number. And if none of these have produced any very satal event, we may ascribe our escape chiefly to the narrow genius of those princes who disputed our establishment; and we may esteem ourselves so far fortunate. But the claims of the banished samily, I-sear, are not yet antiquated; and who can foretel, that their future attempts will produce no greater disorder?

The disputes between privilege and prerogative may easily be composed by laws, and votes, and conferences, and concessions: where there is tolerable temper or prudence on both sides, or on either side. Among contending titles, the question can only be determined by the sword, and by devastation,

and by civil war.

A prince, who fills the throne with a disputed title, dares not arm his subjects; the only method of securing a people fully, both against domestic opportion and foreign conquest.

Notwithstanding our riches and renown, what a critical escape did we make, by the late peace, from

dangers,

dangers, which were owing not so much to bad conduct and ill success in war, as to the pernicious practice of mortgaging our finances, and the more pernicious maxim of never paying off our incumbrances? Such fatal measures would not probably have been embraced, had it not been to seem a precarious establishment.

But to convince us, that an hereditary title is to be embraced rather than a parliamentary one, which is not supported by any other views or motives; a man needs only transport himself back to the æra of the restoration, and suppose, that he had had a fear in that parliament which recalled the royal family, and put a period to the greatest disorders that ever arose from. the opposite pretentions of prince and people. What would have been thought of one, that had propofed at that time, to fet aside CHARLES II. and settle the crown on the Duke of York or Gloucester, merely in order to exclude all high claims, like those of their father and grandfather? Would not fuch a one have been regarded as an extravagant projector, who loved dangerous remedies, and could tamper and play with a government and national conflitution, like a quack with a fickly patient?

In reality, the reason assigned by the nation for excluding the race of STUART, and so many other branches of the royal samily, is not on account of their hereditary title (a reason which would, to vulgar apprehensions, have appeared altogether absurd), but on account of their religion. Which leads us to compare the disadvantages above mentioned in

each establishment.

I confess, that, confidering the matter in general, it were much to be wished, that our prince had no foreign dominions, and could confine all his attention to the government of this island. For not to mention some real inconveniences that may result from territories on the continent, they afford such a handle for calumny and defamation, as is greedily seized

px-

he people, always disposed to think ill of their ors. It must, however, be acknowledged, that over, is, perhaps, the spot of ground in Europe cast inconvenient for a King in England. It in the heart of Germany, at a distance from the great powers, which are our natural rivals: It is protected by the laws of the empire, as well as by the arms of its own sovereign: And it serves only to connect us more closely with the house of Austria, our natural ally.

The religious persuasion of the house of STUART is an inconvenience of a much deeper dye, and would threaten us with much more dismal consequences. The Roman Catholic religion, with its train of priests and friars, is more expensive than ours: Even though unaccompanied with its natural attendants of inquisitors, and stakes, and gibbets, it is less tolerating: And not content with dividing the sacerdotal from the regal office (which must be prejudicial to any state), it bellows the former on a so-reigner, who has always a separate interest from that of the public, and may often have an opposite one.

But were this religion ever so advantageous to society, it is contrary to that which is established among us, and which is likely to keep possession, for a long time, of the minds of the people. And though it is much to be hoped, that the progress of reason will, by degrees, abate the acrimony of opposite religions all over Europe; yet the spirit of moderation has, as yet, made too slow advances to be entirely trusted.

Thus, upon the whole, the advantages of the fettlement in the family of STUART, which frees us from a disputed title, seem to bear some proportion with those of the settlement in the family of HANOVER, which frees us from the claims of prerogative: But at the same time, its disadvantages, by placing on the throne a Roman Catholic, are greater than those of the other establishment, in settling the crown on a foreign prince. What party an impartial parties in the reign of K. WILLIAM or Q. ANNE, where have chosen amidst these opposite views, may, perhaps, to some appear hard to determine.

But the settlement in the house of Hanover has actually taken place. The princes of that family, without intrigue, without cabal, without folicitation on their part, have been called to mount our throne. by the united voice of the whole legislative body. They have, fince their accession, displayed in all their actions, the utmost mildness, equity, and regard to the laws and conflitution. Our own ministers, our own parliaments, ourselves have governed us; and it " aught ill has befallen us, we can only blame fortune or ourselves. What a reproach must we become among nations, if, disgusted with a settlement so deliberately made, and whose conditions have been to religiously observed, we should throw every thing again into confusion, and by our levity and rebellious disposition, prove ourselves totally unfit for any state but that of absolute slavery and subjection?

The greatest inconvenience attending a disputed title is, that it brings us in danger of civil wars and rebellions. What wise man, to avoid this inconvenience, would run directly into a civil war and rebellion? Not to mention, that so long possession, secured by so many laws, must, ere this time, in the apprehension of a great part of the nation, have begotten a title in the house of Hanover, independent of their present possession: So that now we should not, even by a revolution, obtain the end of avoiding a disputed title.

No revolution made by national forces, will ever be able, without fome other great necessity, to abolish our debts and incumbrances, in which the interest of so many persons is concerned. And a revolution made by foreign forces, is a conquest: A calamity, with which the precarious balance of power threatons us, and which our civil diffentions are likely, and there irrumitances, to bring upon us.

## ESSAY XVI.

#### IDEA of a PERFECT COMMONWEALTH.

This not with forms of government as with other artificial contrivances, where an old engine may be rejected, if we can discover another more accurate and commodious; or where trials may fafely be made. even though the fuccess be doubtful. An established government has an infinite idvantage, by that very circumstance, of its being established; the bulk of mankind being governed by authority, not reason, and never attributing authority to any thing that has not the recommendation of antiquity. To tamper, therefore, in this affair, or try experiments merely upon the credit of supposed argument and philosophy. can never be the part of a wife magistrate, who will bear a reverence to what carries the marks of age; and though he may attempt fome improvements for the public good, yet will he adjust his innovations as much as possible to the ancient fabric, and preserve entire the chief pillars and supports of the constitution.

The mathematicians in Furore have been much divided concerning that figure of a ship, which is the most committious for failing; and Huygens, who at last determined the controversy, is justly thought to have obliged the learned as well as commercial world; though Columbus had failed to America, and Sir Francis Drake made the tour of the world,

without

without any fuch discovery. As one form of government must be allowed more perfect than another, independent of the manners and humours of particular men; why may we not enquire what is the most perfect of all, though the common botched and inaccurate governments feem to ferve the purposes of fociety, and though it be not fo eafy to establish a new fystem of government, as to build a vessel upon a new construction? The subject is surely the most worthy curiofity of any the wit of man can possibly devise. And who knows, if this controverfy were fixed by the univerfal confent of the wife and learned; but, in fome future age, an opportunity might be afforded of reducing the theory to practice, either by a diffolution of fome old government, or by the combination of men to form a new one in some distant part of the world? In all cases, it must be advantageous to know what is most perfect in the kind, that we may be able to bring any real conflitution or form of government as near it as possible, by such gentle alterations and innovations as may not give too great disturbance to fociety.

All I pretend to in the present essay is, to revive this subject of speculation; and therefore I shall deliver my sentiments in as sew words as possible. A long differtation on that head would not, I apprehend, be very acceptable to the public, who will be apt to regard such disquisitions both as useless and chimerical.

All plans of government, which suppose great reformation in the manners of mankind, are plainly imaginary. Of this nature are the *Republic* of PLATO, and the *Utopia* of Sir Thomas More. The OCEANA is the only valuable model of a commonwealth that has yet been offered to the public.

The chief defects of the OCEANA feem to be thefe. First, Its rotation is inconvenient, by throwing men, of whatever abilities, by intervals, out of public employment. Secondly. Its Agrarian is impracticable.

Men

Men will foon learn the art which was practifed in arcient Rome, of concealing their possessions under other people's name; till at last the abuse will become to continon, that they will throw off even the appearance of restraint. Thirdly, The Oceana provides not a sufficient security for liberty, or the redress of The fenate must propose, and the peogrievances. ple confent; by which means, the fenate have not only a negative upon the people, but, what is of much reater consequence, their negative goes before the votes of the people. Were the King's negative of the same nature in the English constitution, and could he prevent any bill from coming into parliament, he would be an absolute monarch. As his negative follows the votes of the houses, it is of little consequence: Such a difference is there in the manner of placing the fame thing. When a popular bill has been debated in parliament, is brought to maturity, all its conveniences and inconveniences, weighed and balanced; if afterwards it be presented for the royal affent, few princes will venture to reject the unanimous defire of the people. But could the King crush a disagreeable bill in embryo (as was the case, for some time, in the Scottish parliament, by means of the lords of the articles), the British government would have no balance, nor would grievances ever be redreffed; and it is certain, that exorbitant power proceeds not, in any government, from new laws, fo much as from neglecting to remedy the abuses which frequently rife from the old ones. A government. fays Machiavel, must often be brought back to its' original principles. It appears then, that, in the O-CEANA, the whole legislature may be faid to rest in the fenate, which HARRINGTON would own to be an inconvenient form of government, especially after the Agrarian is abolished.

Here is a form of government, to which I cannot, a theory, discover any confiderable objection.

Vol. I. Ff J.et

Let Great Britain and Ireland, or any territory of equal extent, be divided into 100 counties, and each county into 100 parishes, making in all 10,000. If the country, proposed to be crected into a commonwealth, be of more narrow extent, we may diminish the number of counties; but never bring them below thirty. If it be of greater extent, it were befter to enlarge the parishes, or throw more parishes into a county, than encrease the number of counties,

Let all the freeholders of twenty pounds a-year in the county, and all the householders worth 500 pounds in the town parishes, meet annually in the parish church, and choose by ballot, some freeholder of the county for their member, whom we shall call the county representative.

Let the 100 county representatives, two days after their election, meet in the county town, and choose by hallot, from their own body, ten county magistrates and one fenator. There are, therefore, in the whole commonwealth, 100 senators, 1100 county magisfrates, and 10,000 county representatives. For we shall bestow on all senators the authority of county magisfrates, and on all county magisfrates the authority of county representatives.

Let the senators meet in the capital, and be endowed with the whole executive power of the commonwealth, the power of peace and war, of giving orders to generals, admirals, and ambassadors; and, in short, all the prerogatives of a British King, except his negative.

Let the county representatives meet in their particular counties, and possess the whole legislative power of the commonwealth; the greater number of counties deciding the question; and where these are equal, let the senate have the casting vote.

Every new law must first be debated in the senate; and though rejected by it, if ten senators insist and protest, it must be sent down to the counties. The senate.

fenate, if they please, may join to the copy of the law

their reasons for receiving or rejecting it.

• Because it would be troublesome to assemble all the county representatives for every trivial law that may be requisite, the senate have their choice of sending down the law either to the county magistrates or county representatives.

The magistrates, though the law be referred to them, may, if they please, call the representatives,

and fubmit the affair to their determination.

Whether the law be referred by the senate to the county magistrates or representatives, a copy of it, and of the senate's reasons, must be sent to every representative eight days before the day appointed for the assembling, in order to deliberate concerning it; and though the determination be, by the senate, referred to the magistrates, if five representatives of the county order the magistrates to assemble the whole court of representatives, and submit the affair to their determination, they must obey.

Either the county magistrates or representatives may give to the senator of the county the copy of a law to be proposed to the senate; and if sive counties concur in the same order, the law, though resused by the senate, must come either to the county magistrates or representatives as is contained in the order of the

five counties.

Any twenty counties, by a vote either of their magistrates or representatives, may throw any man out of all public offices for a year. Thirty counties for three years.

The fenate has a power of throwing out any member or number of members of its own body, not to be re-elected for that year. The fenate cannot throw out twice in a year the fenator of the same county.

The power of the old fenate continues for three weeks after the annual election of the county representatives. Then all the new fenators are flut up in F conclave like the cardinals; and by an intricate

F f 2

ballot

ballot fuch as that of Venice or Malta, they chuse the following magistrates; a protector who represents the dignity of the commonwealth, and presides in the senate; two secretaries of state; these six coucils, a council of state, a council of religion and leganing, a council of trade, a council of laws, a council of war, a council of the admiralty, each council confissing of sive persons, together with six commissioners of the treasury and a first commissioner. All these must be senators. The senate also names all the ambassadors to foreign courts, who may either be senators or not.

The senate may continue any or all of these, but

must re-elect them every year.

The protector and two fecretaries have fession and suffrage in the council of state. The business of that council is all foreign politics. The council of state has session and suffrage in all the other councils.

The council of religion and learning inspects the universities and clergy. That of trade inspects every thing that may affect commerce. That of laws inspects all the abuses of law by the inferior magistrates, and examines what improvements may be made of the municipal law. That of war inspects the militia and its discipline, magazines, stores, &c. and when the republic is in war, examines into the proper orders for generals. The council of admiralty has the same power with regard to the navy, together with the nonlination of the captains and all inserior officers.

None of these councils can give orders themselves, except where they receive such powers from the senate. In other cases, they must communicate every thing to the senate.

When the fenate is under adjournment, any of the councils may affemble it before the day appoint-

ed for its meeting.

Besides these councils or courts, there is another called the court of competitors; which is thus conficuted. If any candidates for the office of senator

have more votes than a third of the representatives, that candidate, who has most votes, next to the senator elected, becomes incapable for one year of all public offices, even of being a magistrate or representative: But he takes his seat in the court of competitors. Here then is a court which may sometimes consist of a hundred members, sometimes have no members at all; and by that means, be for a year abolished

The court of competitors has no power in the commonwealth. It has only the inspection of public accounts, and the accusing of any man before the senate. If the senate acquit him, the court of competitors may, if they please, appeal to the people, either magistrates or representatives. Upon that appeal, the magistrates or representatives meet on the day appointed by the court of competitors, and choose in each county three persons; from which number every senator is excluded. These, to the number of 300, meet in the capital, and bring the person accused to a new trial.

The court of competitors may propose any law to the senate; and if refused, may appeal to the people, that is, to the magistrates or representatives, who examine it in their counties. Every senator, who is thrown out of the senate by a vote of the court, takes

his feat in the court of competitors.

The fenate possesses all the judicative authority of the house of Lords, that is all the appeals from the inferior courts. It likewise appoints the Lord Chan-

cellor, and all the officers of the law.

Every county is a kind of republic within itself, and the representatives may make bye-laws; which have no authority till three months after they are voted. A copy of the law is sent to the senate, and to every other county. The senate, or any single county, may, at any time, annul any bye-law of another county.

F'f 3 he

The representatives have all the authority of the British justices of peace in trials, commitments, &c.

The magistrates have the appointment of all the officers of the revenue in each county. All chases with regard to the revenue are carried ultimately by appeal before the magistrates. They pass the accompts of all the officers; but must have their own accompts examined and passed at the end of the year by the representatives.

The magistrates name rectors or ministers to all

the parishes.

The Prefbyterian government is established; and the highest ecclesiastical court is an assembly or synod of all the presbyters of the county. The magistrates may take any cause from this court, and determine it themselves.

The magistrates may try, and depose or suspend

any presbyter.

The militia is established in imitation of that of Swisserland; which being well known, we shall not inside upon it. It will only be proper to make this addition, that an army of 20,000 men be annually drawn out by rotation, paid and encamped during six weeks in summer; that the duty of a

camp may not be altogether unknown.

The magistrates appoint all the colonels and downwards; the senate all upwards. During war, the general appoint, the colonel and downwards, and his commission is good for a twelvemonth. But after that, it must be confirmed by the magistrates of the county, to which the regiment belongs. The magistrates may break any officer in the county regiment; and the senate may do the same to any officer in the service. If the magistrates do not think proper to confirm the general's choice, they may appoint another officer in the place of him they reject.

All crimes are tried within the county by themagistrates magistrates and a jury. But the senate can stop any trial, and bring it before themselves.

Any county may findict any man before the fenate

for any crime.

The protector, the two fecretaries, the council of little, with any five or more that the fenate appoints, are paffeffed, on extraordinary emergencies, of dictatorial power for fix months.

The protector may pardon any person condemned

by the inferior courts. .

In time of war, no officer of the army that is in the field can have any civil office in the commonwealth.

The capital, which we shall call London, may be allowed four members in the senate. It may there fore be divided into four counties. The representatives of each of these choose one senator and ten magnificates. There are therefore in the city four senators, forty-four magnificates, and four hundred representatives. The magnificates have the same authority as in the counties. The representatives also have the same authority; but they never meet in one general court: They give their votes in their particular county, or division of hundreds.

When they enact any bye-law, the greater number of counties or divisions determines the matter. And where these are equal, the magistrates have the

caffing vote.

The magistrates choose the mayor, sheriss, record.

er, and other officers of the city.

In the commonwealth, no reprefentative, magifirate, or fenator, as fuch, has any falary. The protector, fecretaries, councils, and ambafladors, have folaries.

The first year in every century is set apart for correcting all inequalities, which time may have produced in the representative. This must be done by the legislature.

77711:

The following political aphorisms may explain the reason of these orders.

The lower fort of people and small proprietors are good judges enough of one not very distant from them in rank or habitation; and therefore, in their parochial meetings, will probably choose the best, or nearly the best, representative: But they are wholly unfit for county-meetings, and for electing into the higher offices of the republic. Their ignorance gives the grandees an opportunity of deceiving them.

Ten thousand, even though they were not annually elected, are a basis large enough for any free government. It is true, the nobles in Poland are more than 10,000, and yet these oppress the people. But as power always continues there in the same persons and families, this makes them, in a manner, a different nation from the people. Besides the nobles are there united under a few heads of families.

All free governments must consist of two councils, a lesser and greater; or, in other words, of a senate and people. The people, as Harrington observes, would want wisdom, without the senate: The senate, without the people, would want honesty.

A large affembly of 1000, for inflance, to repretent the people, if allowed to debate, would fall into diforder. If not allowed to debate, the fenate has a negative upon them, and the worst kind of negative, that before resolution..

Here therefore is an inconvenience, which no government has yet fully remedied, but which is the easiest to be remedied in the world. If the people debate, all is confusion: If they do not debate, they can only resolve; and then the senate carves for them. Divide the people into many separate bodies; and then they may debate with safety, and every inconvenience seems to be prevented.

Cardinal de Retz fays, that all numerous affomblies, however composed, are mere mob, and swayed

in their debates by the least motive. This we find confirmed by daily experience. When an absurdity strikes a member, he conveys it to his neighbour, and so on, till the whole be infected. Separate this great it dy; and though every member be only of middling sense, it is not probable, that any thing but reason can prevail over the whole. Influence and example being removed, good sense will always get

the better of bad among a number of people.

There are two things to be guarded against in every fenate: Its combination, and its division. Its combination is most dangerous. And against this inconvenience we have provided the following remedies. 1. The great dependence of the senators on the people by annual elections; and that not by an undistinguishing rabble, like the English electors, but by men of fortune and education. 2. The small power they are allowed. They have sew offices to dispose of. Almost all are given by the magistrates in the counties. 3. The court of competitors, which being composed of men that are their rivals, next to them in interest, and uneasy in their present situation, will be sure to take all advantages against them.

The division of the senate is prevented, 1. By the fmallness of their number. 2. As faction supposes 2 combination in a separate interest, it is prevented by their dependence on the people. 3. They have a power of expelling any factious member. It is true. when another member of the same spirit comes from the county, they have no power of expelling him: Nor is it fit they should; for that shows the humour to be in the people, and may possibly arise from some ill conduct in public affairs. 4. Almost any man in a fenate fo regularly chosen by the people, may be supposed fit for any civil office. It would be proper, therefore, for the senate to form some general resolutions with regard to the disposing of offices among the members: Which resolutions would not confine them in critical times, when extraordinary parts on the one hand, or extraordinary stupidity on the other, appears in any senator; but they will be sufficient to prevent intrigue and faction by making the disposal of the offices a thing of course. For instance, let it be a resolution, That no man shall enjoy any office, till he has sat four years in the senate: That except ambassadors, no man shall be in office two years following: That no man shall attain the higher offices but through the lower: That no man shall be protector twice, &c. The senate of Venice govern themselves by such resolutions.

In foreign politics the interest of the senate can scarcely ever be divided from that of the people; and therefore it is sit to make the senate absolute with regard to them; otherwise there could be no secrecy or refined policy. Besides, without money no alliance can be executed; and the senate is still sufficiently dependent. Not to mention, that the legislative power being always superior to the executive, the magistrates or representatives may interpose whenever they think proper.

The chief support of the British government is the opposition of interests: but that, though in the main serviceable, breeds endless factions. In the forcgoing plan, it does all the good without any of the harm. The competitors have no power of controlling the senate: They have only the power of accusing and

appealing to the people.

It is necessary, likewise, to prevent both combination and division in the thousand magistrates. This is done sufficiently by the separation of places and interests.

But lest that should not be sufficient, their dependence on the 10,000 for their elections, serves to the same purpose.

Nor is that all: For the 10,000 may refume the power whenever they please; and not only when they all please, but when any five of a hundred please;

IDEA of a Perfect Commonwealth. 459 hich will happen upon the very first suspicion of a parate interest.

The 10,000 are too large a body either to unite or ivide, except when they meet in one place, and fall uniter the guidance of ambitious leaders. Not to mention their annual election, by the whole body of

the people, that are of any confideration.

A finall commonwealth is the happiest-government in the world within itself, because every thing lies under the eye of the rulers: But it may be subdued by great force from without. This scheme seems to have all the advantages both of a great and a little commonwealth.

Every county-law may be annulled either by the fenate or another county; because that shows an opposition of interest: In which case no part ought to decide for itself. The matter must be referred to the whole, which will best determine what agrees with general interest.

As to the clergy and militia, the reasons of these orders are obvious. Without the dependence of the clergy on the civil magistrates, and without a militia, it is in vain to think that any free government will

ever have fecurity or stability.

In many governments, the inferior magistrates have no rewards but what arise from their ambition, vanity, or public spirit. The salaries of the French judges amount not to the interest of the sums they pay for their offices. The Dutch burgo-matters have little more immediate profit than the English justices of peace, or the members of the house of commons formerly. But lest any should suspect, that this would beget negligence in the administration (which is little to be feared, considering the natural ambition of mankind), let the magistrates have competent salaries. The senators have access to so many honourable and lucrative offices, that their attendance needs not be bought. There is little attendance required of the representatives.

That

That the foregoing plan of government is practicable, no one can doubt who confiders the refempliance that it bears to the commonwealth of the United Provinces; a wife and renowned government.

The alterations in the present scheme seem all exidently for the better. 1. The representation is more equal. 2. The unlimited power of the burgo-masters in the towns, which forms a perfect aristocracy in the Dutch commonwealth, is corrected by a well-tempered democracy, in giving to the people the annual election of the county representatives. 3. The negative, which every province and town has upon the whole body of the Dutch republic, with regard to alliances, peace and war, and the imposition of taxes, is here removed. 4. The counties, in the present plan, are not so independent of each other, nor do they form separate bodies so much as the seven provinces; where the jealoufy and envy of the smaller provinces and towns against the greater, particularly HOLLAND and AMSTERDAM, have frequently difturbed the government. 5. Larger powers, though of the fafest kind, are intrusted to the senate than the States-General posses; by which means, the former may become more expeditious and fecret in their refolutions, than it is possible for the latter.

The chief alterations that could be made on the British government, in order to bring it to the most perfect model of limited monarchy, seem to be the following. First, the plan of Cromwell's parliament ought to be restored, by making the representation equal, and by allowing none to vote in the county elections who possess not a property of 200 pounds value. Secondly, As such a house of Commons would be too weighty for a frail house of Lords, like the present, the Bishops and Scotch Peers ought to be restored: The number of the upper house ought to be raised to three or four hundred: Their seats not hereditary, but during life: They ought to have the

election of their own members; and no commoner thould be allowed refuse a seat that was offered him. By this means the house of Lords would consist entirely of the men of chief credit, abilities, and interest with entiron; and every turbulent leader in the house of Commons might be taken off, and connected by interest with the house of Peers. Such an aristocracy would be an excellent barrier both to the monarchy and against it. At present, the balance of our government depends in some measure on the abilities and behaviour of the sovereign, which are variable and uncertain circumstances.

This plan of limited monarchy, however corrected, feems still liable to three great inconveniences. First, It removes not entirely, though it may fosten, the parties of court and country. Secondly, The king's personal character must still have great influence on the government. Thirdly, The sword is in the hands of a single person, who will always neglect to discipline the militia, in order to have a pretence for keep-

ing up a standing army.

We shall conclude this subject, with observing the falsehood of the common opinion, that no large state, fuch as France or Great Britain, could ever be modelled into a commonwealth, but that fuch a form of government can only take place in a city or small territory. The contrary feems probable. Though it his more difficult to form a republican government in an extensive country than in a city; there is more facility, when once it is formed, of preserving it steady and uniform, without tumult and faction. It is not eafy for the distant parts of a large state to combine in any plan of free government; but they eafily confpire in the esteem and reverence for a single person, who, by means of this popular favour, may feize the power, and forcing the more obstinate to submit, may establish a monarchical government. • On the other hand, a city readily concurs in the same notions of government,

government, the natural equality of property favoure liberty, and the nearness of habitation enables the citizens mutually to affift each other. Even under absolute princes, the subordinate government of cities is commonly republican; while that of counties and provinces is monarchical: But these same circumstatices which facilitate the erection of commonw calths in cities, render their conflitution more frail and uncertain. Democracies are turbulent. For however the people may be separated or divided into small parties. either in their votes or elections; their near habitation in a city will always make the force of popular tides and currents very fensible. Aristocracies are better adapted for peace and order, and accordingly were most admired by ancient writers; but they are jealous and oppressive. In a large government, which is modelled with mafterly ikill, there is compass and room enough to refine the democracy, from the lower people who may be admitted into the first elections or first concoction of the commonwealth, to the higher magistrates, who direct all the movements." At the fame time, the parts are fo distant and remote, that it is very difficult, either by intrigue, prejudice, or pafficn, to hurry them into any measures against the public intereft.

It is needless to enquire, whether such a government would be immortal. I allow the justness of the poet's exclamation on the endless projects of human race, Man and for ever! The world itself probably is not immortal. Such consuming plagues may arise as would leave even a perfect government a weak prey to its neighbours. We know not to what length enthusiasm, or other extraordinary movements of the human mind, may transport men, to the neglect of all order and public good. Where difference of interest is removed, whimsical and unaccountable factions often arise from personal favour or enmity. Perhaps, rust may grow to the springs of the most accu-

TDEA of a PERFECT COMMONWEALTH. 463 rate political machine, and diforder its motions. Lastly, extensive conquests, when pursued, must be the ruin of every free government; and of the more perfect governments sooner than of the imperfect; because of the very advantages which the former possess above the latter. And though such a state ought to establish a fundamental law against conquests; yet republics have ambition as well as individuals, and present interest makes men forgetful of their posterity. It is a sufficient incitement to human endeavours, that such a government would flourish for many ages, without pretending to bestow, on any work of man, that immortality which the Almighty seems to have resused to his own productions.

NOTES

### NOTES

TOTHE

### FIRST VOLUME.

NOTE [A], p. 31. THAVE taken it for granted, according to the supposition of MACHIAVEL, that the ancient PERSIANS had no nobility; though there is reason to suspect, that the FLORENTINE secretary, who feems to have been better acquainted with the Roman than the GREEK authors, was mistaken in this particular. The more ancient Persians, whose manners are described by Xenophon, were a free people, and had nobility. Their outring were preserved even after the extending of their conquests and the consequent change of their government. ARRIAN mentions them in DARIUS's time, De exped. ALEX. lib. ii. Hillorians also speak often of the persons in command as men of family. TYGRANES, who was general of the MEDIS under XERKES, was of the race of ACHMENES, HEROD. lib. vii. cap. 62. ARTACHEAS, who directed the cutting of the canal about mount Athos, was of the same family. Id. cap. 117. MEGABYSUS was one of the feven eminent Persians who conspired against the MAGI. His fon, ZOPYRUS, was in the highest command under DARIUS, and delivered BABYLON to him. His grandson, MEGA-Bysus, commanded the army defeated at MARATHON. His greatgrandson, Zopyrus, was also eminent, and was banished Persia. HERODNib. iii. Thuc. lib. i. Rosaces, who commanded an army in Egypt under ARTAXERXES, was also descended from one of the seven conspirators, Diod. Sic. lib. xvi. Agesilaus, in Xenophon, Hift. GREC. lib. iv. being defirous of making a marriage betwixt king. Corrs his ally and the daughter of Spithridates, a Per-SIAN of rank, who had deferted to him, first asks Cotys what family "SPITHRIDATES is of. One of the most considerable in Persia, says COTYS. ARIEUS, when offered the sovereignty by CLEARCHUS and the ten thousand GREEKS, refused it as of too low a rank, and faid, that so many aminent Persians would never endure his rule. Ich de exped. lib. ii. Some of the families descended from the seven PERSIANS above mentioned remaining during all ALEXANDER'S acceffors; and MITHRIDATES, in ANTIOCHUS's time, is said by POCYBIUS to be descended from one of them, lib. y cap. 43. ARTA-Wor. I. Gg BAST'S And when ALEXAGDER married in one day 80 of his captains to Persian women, his intention plainly was to ally the Macedonians with the most eminent Persian families. Id. lib. vi. Diobo are Siculus says they were of the most noble birth in Persia, lib. xvii. The government of Persian was despotic, and conducted a many respects, after the eastern manner, but was not carried so as to extirpate all nobility, and confound all ranks and orders. left men who were still great, by themselves and their family, independent of their office and commission. And the reason why the Macedonians kept so easily dominion over them was owing to other causes easy to be found in the historians; though it must be sowned that Macharel's reasoning is, in itself, just, lowever doubtiful its application to the present case.

NOTE [B], p. 48.

By that influence of the crown which I would justify, I mean only that which arifes from the offices and honours that are at the different of the crown. As to private heibery, it may be confidered in the fame light as the practice of employing spies, which is scarcely justifiable in a good minister, and is infamous in a bad one: But to be a spy, or to be corrupted, is always infamous under all ministers, and is to be regarded as a shameless proflitation. Polybrus justly effects the pecuniary influence of the senate and confors to be one of the regular and constitutional weights, which preserved the balance, of the Roman government. Lib. vi. cap. 15.

NOTE [C], p. 60.

I SAY, in part; for it is a vulgar error to imagine, that the ancient were as great friends to toleration as the ENGLISH or DUTCH are at present. The laws against external superstition, amongst the Romans, were as ancient as the time of the twelve tables; and the JEWS as well as CHRISTIANS were fometimes punished by them; though, in general, these laws were not rigorously executed. Immediately after the conquest of GAU, they forbad all but the natives to be initiated into the religion of the DRUIDS; and this was a kind of perfecution. In about a century after this conquell, the emperor CLAUDIUS, quite abolished that superstition by penal "laws; which would have been a very grievous perfecution, if the imitation of the ROMAN manners had not, before-hand, weaned the GAULS from their ancient prejudices. Sue Tonius in vita CLAUDU. PLINY ascribes the abolition of the Druidical funerstitions to Tibe-RIUS, probably because that emperor had take, some steps towards. restraining them (lib. xxx. cap. i.) This is an instance of the usual caution and moderation of the ROMANS in fuch cases; and very different from their violent and fanguinary method of treating the Christians. Hence we may entertain a fuspicion, that those furious perfecutions of Christianity were in some measure owing to the-imprudent zeal and bigotry of the first propagators of that sect; and Ecclefiaft al Feelefialtical history affords us many reasons to confirm this suspi-

NOT1 [D], p. 97.

THE oragors formed the taile of the Athenian people, not the people of the oralors. Gorgias Leontinus was very taking with them, till they became acquainted with a better manner. His figures of speech, says Dodorus Siculius, his antithesis, his indicated, his in the sum, which are now despited, had a great effect upon the archience. Lib. xii page 106. ex editione Rhod. It is it vain therefore for modern orators to plead the taste of their hearers as an apology for their lame performances. It would be strange prejudice in favour of antiquity, not to allow a British parfiament to be naturally superior in judgment and delicacy to an Athenian mob.

NOTE [F], p. 111.

If it be asked, how we can reconcile to the foregoing principles the happinels, riches, and good police of the Chinest, who have always been governed by a monarch, and can fearcely form an idea f of a free government? I would answer, that though the CHINESE government be a pure monarchy, it is not, properly speaking, absolute. This proceeds from a peculiarity in the fituation of that country: They have no neighbours, except the TARTARS, from whom they were, in some measure, secured, at least seemed to be fecured, by their famous wall, and by the great superiority of their By this means, military discipline has always been much reglected amongst them; and their standing forces are mere militia, of the worst kind; and unfit to suppress any general insurrection in countries fo extremely populous. The fword, therefore, may properly be faid to be always in the hand, of the people, which is a fufficient reflraint upon the monarch, and obliges him to lay his mandarins or governors of provinces under the reftraint of general hws, in order to prevent those rebellions, which we learn from hiflory to have been fo frequent and dangerous in that government. Perhaps, a pure monarchy of this kind, were it fitted for defences against foreign enemics, would be the best of all governments, an having both the tranquillity attending kingly power, and the mar deration and liberty of popular affemblics.

NOTE [F], p. 149.

ERE I not afraid of appearing too philosophical, I should remind my reader of that famous doctrine, supposed to be fully proved in moderr imes, "That tastes and colours, and all other fensible qualities, lie not in the bodies, but merely in the senses." The case is the same with beauty and deformity, virtue and vice. This doctrine, however, takes off no more from the reality of the latter qualities than from that of the former; nor need it give any umbrage either to critics or moralists. Though colours were allowed to lie only in the eye, would dyers or painters ever be less grarded or esteemed? there is a sufficient uniformity in the senses.

and feelings of mankind, to make all these qualities the objects of art and reasoning, and to have the greatest influence on life and manners. And as it is certain, that the discovery above-mentioned in natural philosophy, makes no alteration on action and conduct; surhy should a like discovery in moral philosophy make any alteration?

NOTE [G], p. 161.

THE Sceptic, perhaps, carries the matter too far, when he limits all philosophical topics and reflections to these two. There seem to be others, whose truth is undeniable, and whose natural tendency is to tranquillize and soften all the passions. Philosophic greedily seizes these, studies them, weighs them, commits them to the memory, and familiarizes them to the mind: And their influence on tempers, which are thoughtful, gentle, and moderate, may be considerable. But what is their influence, you will say, if the temper be antecedently disposed after the same manner as that to which they pretend to form it? They may, at least, fortify that temper, and surnish it with views, by which it may entertain and nourish itself. Here are a few examples of such philosophical reflections.

1. Is it not certain, that every condition has concealed ills? Then

why envy any body?

2. Every one has known ills; and there is a compensation throughout. Why not be contented with the present?

3. Cultom deadens the fense both of the good and the ill, and levels every thing.

4. Health and humour all. The rest of little consequence, except these be affected.

5. How many other good things have I? Then why be vexed for

6. How many are happy in the condition of which I complain?

How many envy me?

7. Every good must be paid for: Fortune by labour, favour by flattery. Would Pkeep the price, yet have the commodity?

8. Expect not too great happiness in life. Human nature admits

it not

9. Propose not a happiness too complicated. But does that depend on me? Yes: The first choice does. Life is like a game: One may choose the game: And passion, by degrees, seizes the proper object.

10. Anticipate by your hopes and fancy future confolation, which

time is fallibly brings to every affliction.

objects; houses, gardens, equipage, &c. How many fine objects; houses, gardens, equipage, &c. How many fine objects does nature offer to every one without expence? If enjoyed, sufficient; if not, see the effect of custom or of temper, which would soon take off the relish of the riches.

12. 4

r2. I defire fame. Let this occur: If I act well, I shall have the efteem of all my acquaintance. And what is all the rest to me?

These reflections are so obvious, that it is a wonder they occur not to every man: So convincing, that it is a wonder, they perfuade not every man. But perhaps they do occur to and perfuade most men, when they confider human life by a general and calm furvey: But where any real, affecting incident happens; when passion is awakened, fancy agitated, example draws, and council urges; the Lhilosopher' is lost in the man, and he seeks in vain for that persuation which before feemed to firm and unshaken. What remedy for this inconvenience? Affift yourfelf by a frequent perufal of the en-Atertaining moralists: Have recourse to the learning of PLUTARCH, the imagiration of Lucian, the eloquence of Cicero, the wit of SENECA, the gaiety of Montaigne, the fublimity of Shartesbury. Moral precepts, fo couched, strike deep, and fortify the mind against the illusions of passion. But trust not altogether to external aid: By habit and study acquire that philosophical temper which both gives force to reflection, and by rendering a great part of your happiness independent, takes off the edge from all disorderly passions, and tranquillizes the mind. Despise not these helps; but conside not too much in them neither, unless nature has been favourable in the temper with which she has endowed you.

NOTE [H], p. 179.

T is a faying of Menander, κομέρες εξαίωτης, ωδ αν ει πλατία διος ουθει, γενοίτ αν. Μεν. apud Stobæum. It is not in the power even of God to make a polite foldier. The contrary observation with regard to the manners of foldiers takes place in our days. This seems to me a presumption, that the ancients owed all their refinement and civility to books and study; for which, indeed, a foldier's life is not so well calculated. Company and the world is their sphere: And if there be any politeness to be learned from company, they will certainly have a considerable share of it.

NOTE [1], p. 179.

Hough all mankind have a strong propensity to religion at certain times and in certain dispositions; yet are there sewer none who have it to that degree, and with that constancy, which is requisite to support the character of this profession. It must therefore supponent that elergymen, being drawn from the common mass of mankind, as people are to other employments, by the views of profit, the greater part, though no atheids or free-thinkers, will find it necessary, on particular occasions, to seign more devotion than they are, at that time, possession and maintain the appearance of servour and seriousness, even when jaded with the exercises of their religion, or when they have their minds engaged in the common occupations of life. They must not, like the rest of the world, give scope to their matural movements and sentiments: They must set a guard over their tooks, and words, and actions: And, in order to support the vene-

ration paid them by the multitude, they must not only keep a rest markable referve, but shuft promote the spirit of superstition by a continued grimace and hypocrify. This dissimulation often destroys, the candour and ingenuity of their temper, and makes an i reparable breach in their character.

If by chance any of them be possessed of a temper more susceptible of devotion than usual, to that he has but little occasion for hypocrify to support the character of his profession; it is so natural for him to over-rate this advantage, and to think that it attones for every violation of morality, that frequently he is not more virtuous than the hypocrite. And though few date openly arow those exploded opinions, that every thing is lanuful to the faints, and that they alone. pave projecty in their goods; yet may we observe, that these principles lurk in every bolom, and represent a zeal for religious observances as fo giert a merit, that it may compensate for many vices and enormities. This observation is so common, that all prudent men are on their guard, when they meet with any extraordinary appearance of religion; though at the lame time they confels, that there are many exceptions to this general rule, and that probity and Superflition, or even probity and fanaticism, are not altogether, and in every inflance, incompatible.

Most men are ambittous; but the ambition of other men may commonly be fatisfied, by excelling in their particular profession, and thereby promoting the interests of fociety. The ambition of the clergy can often be fatisfied only by promoting ignorance, and suppersistion, and implicit faith, and pious frauds: And having got what Archimidus only wanted (namely, another world, on which he could fix his engines), no wonder they move this world at their pleasure.

picanue.

Most men have an overweaning conceit of themselves; but the have a peculiar temptation to that vice who are regarded with such sencration, and are even deemed facred by the ignorant rentitude.

Most men are apt to bear a particular regard for members of their own proballion: but as a lawyer, or physician, or merchant, does, each of them tollow out his butmess apart, the interests of gen of these professions are not so closely united as the interests of therepy-men, of the same religion; where the whole body gains by the veneration paid to their common tenets, and by the suppression of antagon do.

For men can beer contradiction with patience; but the clergy too often proceed even to a degree of tury on this head: Because all their rectit and findihe of depend upon the belief which their opinions neet with; and they alone pretend to a divine and supernatural authority, or have any colour for representing their antagonists as impious and prophane. The Odium Theologicum, or Theological Hatrel, is noted even to a proverb, and means that degree of rancour which is the most turious and implacable.

R wenge

Revenge is a natural passion to mankind; but seems to reign with the greatest force in priests and women: Because, being deprived of the immediate exertion of anger, in violence and combat, they are 'pt to sarey themselves despised on that account; and their pride supports their vindictive disposition.

Thus many of the vices of human nature are, by fixed moral causes, inflamed in that profession; and though several addividuals escape the contagion, yet all wise governments will be on their guard against the attempts of a society, who will for ever combine into one faction, and while it acts as a society, will for ever be actuated by ambition,

pride, revenge, and a perfecuting spirit.

The temper of religion is grave and ferious; and this is the character required of pricits, which confines them to first rules of decency, and commonly prevents irregularity and intemperance amongst them. The gaiety, much less the excesses of pleasure, is not permitted in that body; and this virtue is, perhaps, the only one which they owe to their profession. In religions, indeed, founded on speculative principles, and where public disconsses make a part of religious service, it may also be supposed that the clergy will have a considerable thare in the learning of the times; though it is certain that their taste in eloquence will always be greater than their profesioncy in reasoning and philosophy. But whoever possesses the other noble virtues of humanity, meckness, and moderation, as very many of them no doubt do, is beholden for them to nature or reflection, not to the genius of his calling.

It was no bad expedient in the old Romans, for preventing the strong effect of the priestly character, to make it a law, that no one should be received into the facerdotal office, till he was pull lifty years of age, Dion. Hall lib. i. The living a layman till that age, it is prefumed, would be able to fix the character.

NOTE [K], p. 180.

ASAR (de Bello Gallico, lib. 1.) fays, that the Gallic horfes were very good; the Girman very bad. We und in lib. vin. that he was obliged to mount fome Girman cavalry with Gallic horfes. At prefent, no part of Europe has to bad horfes of all kinds as France; but Girmany abounds with excellent war horfes. This may beget a little fefpicion, that even animals depend not on the climate, but on the different breeds, and on the skill and care in reading them. The north of England abounds in the best horfes of all kinds which are perhaps in the world. In the neighbouring counties, north side of the Tweed, no good horses of any kind are to be met with. Strabo, lib. ii. rejects, in a great measure, the influence of climates upon men. All is custom and education, says he. It is not from nature, that the Athernature are learned, the Locademonians ignorant, and the Thebans too, who are still nearer neighbours to the former. Even the difference of animals, he adds, depends not on climate.

NOTE [L], p. 182.

Small fect or fociety amidit a greater are commonly most regular in their morals; because they are more remarked, and the faults of individuals draw dishonour on the whole. The only exception to this rule is, when the superstition and prejudices of the large society are so strong as to throw an infamy on the smaller society, independent of their morals. For in that case, having no character either to save or gain, they become careless of their behaviour, except among themselves.

NOTE [M], p. 185.

Am apt to suspect the negroes to be naturally inferior to the whites. There fearcely ever was a civilized nation of that complexion, nor even any individual eminent either in action fr fpeculation. No ingenious manufactures amongst them, no arts, no sciences. On the other hand, the most rude and barbarous of the whites, such as the ancient Germans, the present Tartars, have still something eminent about them, in their valour, form of government, or fome other particular. Such a uniform and constant difference could not happen in fo many countries and ages, if nature had not made an original diffinction between these breeds of men. Not to mention , our colonies, there are NIGRO Saves dispersed all over EUROPE, of whom none ever discovered any symptoms of ingenuity; though low people, without education, will flart up amongst us, and diffinguish themselves in every profession. In JAMAICA, indeed, they talk of Fone negro as a man of parts and learning; but it is likely he is admired for slender accomplishments, like a parrot who speaks a few words plainly.

NOTE [N], p. 197.

P Ainters make no feruple of representing distress and forrow as well as any other passion: But they seem not to dwell so much on these melancholy affections as the poets, who, though they copy every motion of the human breast, yet pass quickly over the agreeable sentiments. As painter represents only one instant; and if that be passionate enough, it is sure to affect and delight the spectator: But nothing can surpsish to the poet a variety of seenes and incidents and sentiments, except distress, terror, or anxiety. Complete joy and satisfaction is attended with security, and leaves no farther room for action.

NOTE [O], p. 232.

THE more ancient ROMANS lived in perpetual war with all their neighbours: And in old LATIN, the term hossis, expressed both a stranger and an enemy. This is remarked by Cicrro; but by him ascribed to the lumanity of his ancestors, who softened as much as possible the denomination of an enemy, by calling him by the same appellation which signified a stranger. Do Off. lib. ii. It is however much more probable, from the manners of the times, that the service of those people was so great as to make them regard all strangers.

firangers as enemics, and call them by the same name. It is not, besides, consistent with the most common maxims of policy or of nature, that any state should regard its public enemies with a friendly eye, prepreserve any such sentiments for them as the Roman orator would ascribe to his ancestors. Not to mention, that the early Romans really exercised piracy, as we learn from their first treatice with Carthage, preserved by Polybius, lib. iii. and consequently like the Sallee and Algerine rovers, were actually at war with most nations, and a stranger and an enemy were with them almost synonymous.

NOTE [P], p. 254. Private foldier in the ROMAN infantry had a denarius a day, fomewhat less than eightpence. The ROMAN emperors had commonly 25 legions in pay, which allowing 5000 men to a tegion, makes 125,000. Tacit. Ann. lib. iv. It is true, there were also auxiliaries to the legions; but their numbers are uncertain, as well as their pay. To confider only the legionaries, the pay of the private men could not exceed 1,600,000 pounds. Now the parliament in the last war commonly allowed for the sleet 2,500,000. We have therefore 900,000 over for the officers and other expenses of the Ro-MAN legions. There feem to have been but few officers in the Ro-MAN armies, in comparison of what are employed in all of our modern troops, except fome Swiss corps. And these officers had very fmall pay: A centurion, for instance, only double a common foldier, And as the foldiers from their pay (TACIT. Ann. lib. 1.) bought their own clothes, arms, tents, and baggage; this must also diminish confiderably the other charges of the army. So little expensive was that mighty government, and fo easy was its yoke over the world. And, indeed, this is the more natural conclusion from the foregoing calculations. For money, after the conqueit of ÆGYPT, feems to have been nearly in as great plenty at Rome as it is at prefent in the richest of the EUROPFAN kingdoms.

NOTE [Q], p. 259.

THESE facts I give upon the authority of Monf. du Tor in his Refigitions politiques, an author of reputation. Though I must confess, that the facts which he advances on other occasions, are often so suspicious, as to make his authority less in this matter. However, the general observation, that the augmenting of the money in France does not at first proportionably augment the prices, is certainly just.

By the by, this feems to be one of the best reasons which can begiven, for a gradual and universal encrease of the denomination of money, though it has been entirely overlooked in all those volumes which have been written on that question by Melon Du Tot, and Paris de Verney Were all our money, for instance, recoined, and a penny's worth of silver taken from every shilling, the new shilling would probably purchase every thing that could have been

bought

# Notes to the First Volume.

bought by the old; the prices of every thing would thereby be infensibly diminished; a foreign trade enlivened; and domestic industry, by the circulation of a great number of pounds and shillings, would receive some encrease and encouragement. In executing such a project, it would be better to make the new shillings pass for 24 halfpence, in order to preserve the illusion, and make it be taken for the same. And as a recoinage of our silver begins to be requisite, by the continual wearing of our shillings and sixpences, it may be doubtful, whether we ought to imitate the example in King William's reign, when the clipt money was raised to the old. standard.

NOTE [R], p. 285.

IT must carefully be remarked, that throughout this discourse, wherever I speak of the level of money, I mean always its proportional level to the commodities, labour, industry, and skill, which is in the several states. And I affert, that where these advantages are double, triple, quadruple, to what they are in the neighbouring states, the money infallibly will also be double, triple, quadruple. The only circumstance that can obstruct the exactness of these proportions, is the expense of transporting the commodities from one place to another; and this expense is sometimes unequal. Thus the corn, cattle, cheese, butter, of Derbyshire, cannot draw the money of London, so much as the manufactures of London draw the money of Derbyshire. But this objection is only a seeming one: For so far as the transport of commodities is expensive, so far is the communication between the places obstructed and impersect.

NOTE [S], p. 328.

Have heard it has been computed, that all the creditors of the public, natives and foreigners, amount only to 17,000. These make a figure at present on their income; but in case of a public bankruptcy, would, in an instant, become the lowest as well as the most wretched of the people. The dignity and authority of the landed gentry and nobility is much better rooted; and would render the contention very unequal, if ever we come to that extremity. One would incline to assign to this event a very near period, such as half a century, had not our father's prophecies of this kind been already faund fallacious, by the duration of our public credit so much beyond all reasonable expectation. When the astrologers in France were every year foretelling the death of Henry IV. These sellows; fays he, must be right at last. We shall, therefore, be more cautious than to assign any precise date; and shall content ourselve, with pointing out the event in general.

NOTE [T], p. 339.

COLUMELLA fays, lib. iii. cap. 8. that in ÆGYPT and AFRICA.

the bearing of twins was frequent, and even cultofnary; genum
partus familiares, ac pene folennes funt. If this was true, there is
a physical difference both in countries and ages: For travellers make

no fuch remarks on these countries at present. On the contrary, we are apt to suppose the northern nations more prolific. As those two countries were provinces of the Roman empire, it is difficult though not also there absurd, to suppose that such a man as Columbial nations the muttaken with regard to them.

NOT L [U]. p. 345. EPIST. 122. The inhuman sports exhibited at Rome, may jully be confidented too as an effect of the people's contempt for theses, and was also a great caute of the general inhumanity of I'm ir princes and rulers. Who can read the accounts of the amphytheatrical catertaluments without horror? Or who is surprised, that the emperors found treat that people in the fame way the people created their inferiors? One's humanity is apt to renew the barbarous with of Caligula, that the people had but one neck: A man could almost be pleated by a single blow, to put an end to such a race or monifers. You may thank God, fays the author above cited (cpiff. 7.), addreshing himself to the Roman people, that you have a mafter (to wit the mild and merciful Nero), who is incapable of learning cracky from your example. This was spoke in the beginning of Lancign: Put he fitted them very well afterwards; and, no doubt, was confiderably improved by the fight of the barbarous object, to which he had, from his infancy, been accustomed.

NOT'L [X], p. 347. A S fervar was the name of the genus, and verns of the species, without any correlative, this forms a strong prefumption, that the latter were by far the leaft numerous. It is an univerfal objervation which we may form upon language, that where two related parts of a whole bear any proportion to each other, in numbers, rank. or confideration, there are always correlative terms invented, which answer to both the parts, and express their mutual relation. bear no proportion to each other, the term is only invented for the less, and marks its diffraction from the whole. Thus nan and secoman, major and fervart, father and fon, fire cound funged, firanger and cursen, are correlative terms. But the words framer, carpenter, fruith, taylor, &c. have no correspondent terms, which express those who are no feamen, no carpenters, Gr. Languages differ very much with regard to the particular words where this distinction obtains; and may thence afford very firong inferences concerning the manners and cuitoms of different nations. The minury government of the Reman emperors had exalted the foldiery to high, that they balanced all the other orders of the flate: Hence miles and pagar us became relative terms; a thing, till then, anknews to ancient, and fill to to modern languages. Modern toperflition exalted the clergy to high, that they overbalanced the whole state: Hence clergy and laity are terms opposed in all modern languages; and in thele alone. And from the same principles I infer, that if the number of flaves bought by the Romans from foreign countries, had not

extremely exceeded those which were bred at home, verna would, have had a correlative which would have expressed the former species of slaves. But these, it would feem, composed the main body of the ancient slaves, and the latter were but a few exceptions.

NOTE [Y], p. 350. "TON temere ancillæ ejus rei causa comparantur ut pariant." Digest. lib. v. tit. 3. de hæred. petit. lex. 27. The following texts are to the same purpose, "Spadonem morbosum non esse, neque "vitiofum, verius mihi videtur; fed fanum esse, sicuti Illum qui " unum testiculum habet, qui etiam generare potest." Digest. lib. ii: tit. 1. de adilitio edicto, lex 6. 6 2. " Sin autem quis ita space nit, " ut tam necessaria pars corporis penitus absit, morbosus est." Id. lex 7. His impotence, it teems, was only regarded to fall as his health or life might be affected by it. In other respects, he was full as valuable. The fame reasoning is employed with regard to semale " Quæritur de ea muliere quæ femper mortuos parit, an " morbofa fit? et ait Sabirus, fi vulvæ vitio hoc contingit, morbo-" fam effe." Id. lex. 14. It had even been doubted, whether a woman pregnant was morbid or vitiated; and it is determined, that the is found, not on account of the value of her offspring, but because it is the natural part or office of women to bear children. "Si mulier pragnans venerit, inter omnes convenit fanam cam effe. Maximum enim ac præcipuum numus fæminarum accipere ac tu-" eri conceptum. Puerperam quoque fanam effe; fi modo nihil extrinfecus accedit, quod corpus ejus in aliquam valetudinem im-

" mitteret. De sterili Collus distinguere Trebatium dicit, ut si natura sterilis sit, sana sit; si vitio corporis, contra." Id.

NOTE [Z], p. 356.

THE practice of leaving great sums of money to friends, tho' one had near relations, was common in Greice as well as Rome: as we may gather from Lucian. This practice prevails much less in modern times; and Ben Johnson's Volpone is therefore almost entirely extracted from ancient authors, and suits better the manners of those times.

It may juilly be thought, that the liberty of divorces in Rome was another discouragement to marriage. Such a practice prevents not quarreis from humour, but rather encreases them; and occasions also those from interest, which are much more dangerous and destructive. See farther on this head, Part I. Essay XVIII. Perhaps too the unnatural lusts of the ancients ought to be taken into contideration as of some moment.

NOTE [AA], p. 359.

LIN. lib. xviii. cap. 3. The fame author, in cap. 6. fays,

Verumque fatentibus latifundia perdidere ITALIAM; jam vero
et provincias. Sex domi semissem Africæ possidebant, cum intersecit
cos Nero princeps. In this view the barbarous butchery committed
by the first Roman emperors, was not, perhaps, so destructive to the

public

public as we may imagine. These never ceased till they had extinguished all the illustrious families, which had enjoyed the plunder of the world during the latter ages of the republic. The new nobles who arose in their place were less splendid, as we learn from Tacit. Ann. lib. iii. cap. 55.

NOTE [BB], p. 365.

[] E shall mention from Diodorus Siculus alone a few masfacres, which paffed in the course of fixty years, during the most shining age of GREECE. There were banished from SYBARIS 500 of the nobles and their partizans; lib. xii. p. 77. ex edit. RHO-NANNI. Of CHIANS, 600 citizens banished; lib. xiii. p. 189. At Rehesus, 340 killed, 1000 banished; lib. xiii. p. 223. Of Cyrenaus, 500 nobles killed; all the rest banished; lib. xiv. p. 263. The Corinthians killed 120, banished 500; lib. xiv. p. 304. PHE-BIDAS the Spartan banished 300 BEOTIANS; lib. xv. p. 324. Upon the fall of the LACEDEMONIANS, democracies were restored in many cities, and fevere vengeance taken of the nobles, after the GREEK manner. But matters did not end there; for the banished nobles returning in many places, butchered their adverfaries at PHIALE, in CORINTH, in MFGARA, in PHLIASIA. In this last place they killed 300 of the people; but these again revolting, killed above 600 of the nobles, and banished the rest; lib. xv. p. 357. In ARCADIA 1400 banished, besides many killed. The banished retired to SPARTA and to PALLANTIUM: The latter were delivered up to their countrymen, and all killed; lib. xv. p. 373. Of the banished from AR-GOS and THEBES, there were 509 in the Spartan army; id. p. 374. Here is a detail of the most remarkable of AGATHOCLES's crucities from the same author. The people before his usurpation had banished 600 nobles; lib. xix. p. 655. Afterwards that tyrant, in concurrence with the people, killed 4000 nobles, and banished 6000: id. p. 647. He killed 4000 people at Gela; id. p. 741. By Aga-THOCLES's brother 8000 banished from Syracuse; lib. xx. p. 757. The inhabitants of ÆGESTA, to the number of 40,000 were killed, man, woman, and child; and with tortures, for the fake of their money; id. p. 802. All the relations, to wit, father, brother, children, grandfather, of his Libyan army, killed; id. p. 803. He killed 7000 exiles after capitulation; id. p. 816. It is to be remarked. that Agathocles was a man of great sense and courage, and is not be suspected of wanton cruelty, contrary to the maxims of his • · age.

Norder to recommend his client to the favour of the people, he enumerates all the sums he had expended. When χωρηγος, 30 minas: Upon a chorus of men 20 minas; εινωθερως είναις, 8 minas: ανδρωσι χορηγων 50 minas; κυκλικώ χωρω, 3 minas; Seven times trierarch, where he spent 6 talents: Taxes, once 30 minas, another time 40; γυμνασιαρχων, 12 minas; χορηγων ωπιδικώ χωρω, 15 minas; κυρειχισαις, σχεντιοίς, 7 minas; τρίπει αμιλοκοδοίς χορηγων, 18 minas; ωυρειχισαις, σχεντιοίς, 7 minas; τρίπει αμιλοκοδοίς χορηγων, 18 minas; ωυρειχισαις, σχεντιοίς, 7 minas; τρίπει αμιλοκοδοίς χορηγων, 18 minas; ωυρειχισαις, σχεντιοίς, 7 minas; τρίπει αμιλοκοδοίς χορηγων, 18 minas; πουρειχισαις σχεντιοίς το πίνας τρίπει αμιλοκοδοίς χορηγων, 18 minas; πουρειχισαις σχεντιοίς το πίνας τρίπει αμιλοκοδοίς χορηγων, 18 minas; πουρειχισαις σχεντιοίς το πίνας τρίπει αμιλοκοδοίς χορηγων, 18 minas; πουρειχισαις σχεντιοίς το πίνας τρίπει αμιλοκοδοίς χορηγων, 18 minas; πουρειχισαις σχεντιοίς το πίνας τρίπει αμιλοκοδοίς χορηγων, 18 minas; πουρειχισαις σχεντιοίς το πίνας τρίπει αμιλοκοδοίς χορηγων, 18 minas; πουρειχισαις σχεντιοίς το πίνας τρίπει αμιλοκοδοίς χορηγων το πίνας τρίπει αμιλοκοδοίς χορηγων το πίνας το

NOTE [CC], p. 366.

Angero, 15 minas; aggregians, 30 minas: In the whole, ten taleute 38 minos. An immense sum for an THINIAN fortune, and what! alone would be effected great riches, Orat 20. It is true, he fays, the law did not oblige him absolutely to be at so much expense, not above a fourth. But without the favour of the people, no body was fo much as fafe; and this was the only way to gain it. See farther, orat. 24. de pop statu. In another place, he introduces a speaker, who fays that he had front his whole fortune, and an immense one, eighty talents, for the people. Orat. 25. de prob. Evandri. persuon or strangers, find, fays he, if they do not contribute largely enough to the people's fancy, that they have reason to repent it. Orat. 30. contra PHIL. You may fee with what care DIMOS HI-NES displays his expences of this nature, when he pleads for numfelf Tinas's flinginess in this particular, in his accufation of that criminal. All this, by the by, is a

mark of a very iniquitous judicuture; and yet the Athenians valued themselves on having the most legal and regular administration of any people in GRHECE

NOTE [DD], p. 367.

HE authorities above cited are all historians, orators, and philosophers, whose testimony is unquestioned. It is dangerous to rely upon writers who deal in ridicule and fetire. What will poflerity, for inflance, infer from this paffage of Dr Swife? "I told "him, that in the kingdom of TRIBNIA (BRITAIN) by the natives " called LANGDON (LONDON) where I had followed fome time in 66 my travels, the bulk of the people confit, in a manner, wholly of discoverers, witnesses, informers, accusers, profecutors, evidences, " fwearers, together with their feveral fulfervient and fubaltern inflraments, all under the colours, the conduct, and pay of mini-" flers of flate and their deputies. The plots in that kingdom are " usually the workmanship of those persons," &c. Gulliver's Such a representation might fuit the government of A-THENS; not that of ENGLAND, which is remarkable, even in modern times, for humanity, justice, and liberty. Yet the Doctor's fatire, though carried to extremes, as is usual with him, even beyond other fatirical writers, die not altogether want on object. The Bithop of ROCHESS R, who was his friend, and of the same party, had been banished a little before by bill of attainder, with great justice, but without fuch proof as was legal, or according to the fluich forms ofcommon law.

NOTE [EE], p. 375.

IN general, there is more candour and fincerity in ancient historians, but less exactness and care, than in the moderns. Our speculative factions, especially those of religion, throw such an illusion over our minds, that men feem to regard impartiality to their adverfaries and to heretics, as a vice or weakness: But the commonness of books, by means of printing, has obliged modern historians to be

more careful in avoiding contradictions and incongruities. Droborus Siculus is a good writer; but it is with pain I fee his narration contradict, in so many particulars, the two most authentic pieces of all GREEK history, to wit, XENOPHON's expedition, and DEMOSTHENES'S orations. PLUTARCH and Applan feem fearce ever to have read CICERO's epiftles.

NOTE [FF], p. 377.

P LINY, lib. vii. cap. 25. fays, that Cæsar used to boast, that there had fallen in battle against him one million one hundred and ninety-two thousand men, besides those who perished in the civil wars. It is not probable, that the conqueror could ever pretend to be so deact in his computation. But allowing the fact, it is likely, that the HI LVETH, GERMANS, and BRITONS, whom he flaughtered, would amount to near a half of the number.

NOTE [GG], p. 180.

TE are to observe, that when Dionysius HALYCARNASSEUS fays, that if we regard the ancient walls of Rome, the extent of that city will not appear greater than that of ATHINS; he must mean the Acropolis and high town only. No ancient author ever speaks of the Pyræum, Phalerus, and Munychia, as the fame with ATHENS. Much less can it be supposed, that Dionysius would confider the matter in that light, after the walls of Cimon and Pericles were destroyed, and Athens was entirely separated from these other towns. This observation destroys all Vossius's reasonings, and introduces common sense into these calculations.

NOTE [HH], p. 383.

EMOST. contra LEPT. The ATHENIANS brought yearly from Pontus 400,000 medimni or bushels of corn, as appeared from the custom-house books; and this was the greater part of their importation of corn. This, by the by, is a strong proof that there is some great miltake in the foregoing passage of ATHENEUS. For ATTICA itself was so barren of corn, that it produced not enough even to maintain the peafants. Tir. Liv. lib. xliii. cap. 6. And 400,000 medimni would fearcely feed 100,000 men during a twelvemonth. Lucian, in his navigium free vota, fays, that a ship, which, by the dimensions he gives, feems to have been about the fize of our third rates, carried as much corn as would maintain air A TTI-GA for a twelvemonth: But perhaps ATHENS was decayed at that time; and befides, it is not fafe to trust to such loose rhetorical calculations.

NOTE [11], p. 383.

IOD. Sic. lib. xvii. When ALEXANDER attacked THEBES. we may fafely conclude, that almost all the inhabitants were present. Whoever is acquainted with the spirit of the GREEKS. especially of the THEBANS, will never suspect that any of them would defert their country, when it was reduced to fuch extreme peril and diffress. As ALEXANDER took the town by storm, all those who bore arms were put to the fword without mercy; and they amounted only to 6000 men. Among these were some strangers and manumitted flaves. The captives, confitting of old men, women, children, and flaves, were fold, and they amounted to 30,000. We may therefore conclude, that the free citizens in THEBES, of both fexes and all ages, were near 24,000; the strangers and slaves about 12,000. These last, we may observe, were somewhat fewer in proportion than at ATHENS, as is reasonable to imagine from this circumstance; that Athens was a town of more trade to support flaves, and of more entertainment to allure strangers. It is also to be remarked, that thirty-fix thousand was the whole number of people, both in the city of THEBES, and the neighbouring ter story: A very moderate number, it must be confessed; and this computation, being founded on facts which appear indisputable, must have great weight in the prefent controverfy. The above-mentioned number of Rhodians too, were all the inhabitants of the island who were free, and able to bear arms.

NOTE [KK], p. 386.

TRABO, lib. v. fays, that the Emperor Augustus prohibited the raifing houses higher than seventy seet. In another passage, lib. xvi. he speaks of the houses of Rome as remarkably high. See also to the same purpose Vitkuvius, lib. ii. cap. 8. Aristides the sophist, in his oration iis Parkey, says, that Rome consisted of cities on the top of cities; and that if one were to spread it out and unfold it, it would cover the whole surface of Italy. Where an author indulges himself in such extravagant declamations, and gives so much into the hyperbolical style, one knows not how far he must be reduced. But this reasoning seems natural: If Rome was built in so scattered a manner as Dionysius says, and ran so much into the country, there must have been very sew streets where the houses were raised so high. It is only for want of room that any body builds in that inconvenient manner.

NOTE [LL], p. 386.

IB. ii. epist. 16. lib. v. epist 6. It is true, PLINY there deferibes a country-house: But fince that was the idea which the ancients formed of a magnificent and convenient building, the great mer would certainly build the same way in town. "In laxitatem ruris excurrunt," says Seneca of the rich and voluptuous, epist.

114. VALERIUS MAXIMUS, lib. iv. cap. 4. speaking of Cincinnatus's field of four acres, says, "Anguste se habitare nunc putat, cujus domus tantum patet quantum Cincinnati rura patuerant." To the same purpose see lib. xxxvi. cap. 15. also lib. xviii. cap. 2.

NOTE [MM], p. 387.

"OENIA ejus (Romæ) collegere ambitu imperatoribus, cenforibusque Vespasianis, A. U. C. 828. pass. mCC.

complexa montes septem, ipsa dividitur in regiones quatuordecim, compita earum 265. Ejusdem spatii mensura, currente a milliario in

" capité

"capite Rom. Fori statuto, ad singulas portas, quæ sunt hodie numero 37, ita ut duodecim portæ semel numerentur, prætercanturque ex veteribus septem, quæ esse dessent, essicit passum per directum 30,775, ad extrema vero tectorum cum castris prætoriis ab codem Milliario, per vicos omnium viarum, mensura collegit paulo amplius septuaginta millia passum... Quo si quis altitudinem tectorum addat, dignam prosecto, æstimationem concipiat, fateaturque nullius urbis magnitudinem in toto orbe potuisse ei comparari." Plin lib. iii. cap. 5.

All the best manuscripts of PLINY read the passage as here cited, and fix the compals of the walls of Rome to be thirteen miles. The question is, What PLINY means by 30,775 paces, and how that number was formed? The manner in which I conceive it, is this. Rome was a femicircular area of thirteen miles circumference. The Forum, and confequently the Milliarium, we know, was fituated on the banks of the Tyber, and near the center of the circle, or upon the diameter of the semicircular area. Though there were thirtyfeven gates to ROME, yet only twelve of them had flraight flreets, leading from them to the Milliarium. PLINY, therefore, having affigned the circumference of Rome, and knowing that that alone was not fufficient to give us a just notion of its furface, uses this farther method. He supposes all the streets, leading from the Milliarium to the twelve gates, to be laid together into one straight line, and supposes we run along that line so as to count each gate once: In which case, he says, that the whole line is 30,775 paces; or in other words, that each street or radius of the semicircular area is upon an average two miles and a half; and the whole length of Romr is five miles, and its breadth about half as much, besides the scattered fuburbs.

PERE HARDOUIN understands this passage in the same manner, with regard to the laying together the several streets of Rome into one line, in order to compose 30,775 paces: But then he supposes, that streets led from the Milliarium to every gate, and that no street exceeded 800 paces in length. But (1.) a semicircular area, whose radius was only 800 paces, could never have a circumference near thirteen miles, the compass of Rome as assigned by Pliny. A radius of two miles and a half forms very nearly that circumference. (2.) There is an absurdity in supposing a city so built as to have streets running to its center from every gate in its circumference. These streets must interfere as they approach. (3.) This diminishes too much from the greatness of ancient Rome, and reduces that city below even Bristol or Rotterdam.

The fense which Vossius in his Observationes variae puts on this passage of Pliny, errs widely in the other extreme. One manuscript of no authority, instead of thirteen miles, has assigned thirty miles for the compass of the walls of Rome: And Vossius understands this only of the curvilinear part of the circumference; supposing, that as the Tyber formed the diameter, there were no walls built on that Voi I.

fide. But (1.) this reading is allowed to be contrary to almost all the manufcripts. (2.) Why should PLINY, a concise writer, repeat the compass of the walk of Rome in two successive sentences? (3.) Why repeat it with fo fensible a variation? (4.) What is the meaning of PLINY's mentioning twice the MILLIARIUM, if a line was meafared that had no dependence on the MILLIARIUM? (5.) Au-RELIAN's wall is faid by Voriscus to have been drawn laxiore amtitu, and to have comprehended all the buildings and fuburbs on the north fide of the TYBER; yet its compals was only fifty miles; and even here critics suspect some mistake or corruption in the text; since the walls which remain, and which are supposed to be the same with Aurelian's, exceed not twelve miles. It is not probable, that ROM: would diminish from Augustus to Aurelian. M'remained fill the capital of the same empire; and none of the civil wars in that long period, except the tumults on the death of Maximus and BALBINUS, ever affected the city. CARACALLA is faid by AURE-LIUS VICTOR to have encreased Rome. (6.) There are no remains of ancient buildings which mark any fuch greatness of ROME. Vossivs's reply to this objection feens abfurd: That the rubbish would fink fixty or feventy feet under ground. It appears from Spartian (in vita Severi) that the five-mile stone in via Lavicana was out of the city. (7.) OLYMPIODORUS and PUBLIUS VICTOR fix the number of houses in Roms to be betweet forty and fifty thousand. (8.) The very extravagance of the consequences drawn by this critic, as well as Lipsius, if they be necessary, destroys the foundation on which they are grounded, That ROME contained fourteen millions of finhabitants; while the whole kingdom of France contains only five, according to his computation, &c.

The only objection to the fense which we have affixed above to the passage of PLINY, seems to lie in this, That PLINY, after mentioning the thirty-seven gates of Rome, assigns only a reason for suppressing the seven old ones, and says nothing of the eighteen gates; the streets leading from which terminated, according to my opinion, before they reached the Forum. But as PLINY was writing to the Romans who perfectly knew the disposition of the streets, it is not strange be should take a circumstance for granted which was so samiliar to every body. Perhaps too, many of these gates led to

whacks upon the river,

NOTE [NN], p. 389.

UINTUS CURTIUS fays, its walls were ten miles in circumfg, rence, when founded by Alexander; lib. iv. cap. 8. Str. Bo, who had travelled to Alexander as well as Diodorus Siculus, fays it was fearce four miles long, and in most places about a mile Lroad; lib. xvii. Pliny fays it refembled a Macedonian cassock a retching out in the corners; lib. v. cap. 10. Notwithstanding this bulk of Alexander a which seems but moderate, Diodorus Siculus, speaking of its circuit as drawn by Alexander (which it never exceeded, as we learn from Ammianus Marcellinus, lib. axii. onp. 16.), says it was anythin diagraphs, extremely great, ibid.

The reason which he assigns for its surpassing all cities in the world Thou he excepts not Rome) is, that it contained 300,000 free in-He also mentions the revenues of the kings, to wit 6000 talents, as another circumstance to the same purpose: No such mighty fum in our eyes, even though we make allowance for the different value of money. What STRABO fays of the neighbouring country means only, that it was well peopled, outputted Rahar. Might not one affirm, without any great hyperbole, that the whole banks of the river from GRAVESEND to WINDSOR are one city? This is even more than STRABO fays of the banks of the lake MEROTIS, and of the canal to Canopus. It is a vulgar faving in ITALY, that the king of SARDINIA has but one town in PLEDMONT; for it is all a town. Agrippa in Josephus de bello Judaic. lib. ii. cap. 16. to make his audience comprehend the excellive greatness of ALEX-ANDRIA, which he endeavours to magnify, describes only the compass of the city as drawn by ALLXANDER: A clear proof that the ulk of the inhabitants were lodged there, and that the neighbouring country was no more than what might be expected about all great towns, very well cultivated, and well peopled.

NOTE [OO], p. 389.

E fays (in Nerone, cap. 30.), that a portice or piazza of it was 3000 feet long; "tanta laxitas ut portices triplices mil"liarias haberet." He cannot mean three miles. For the whole extent of the house from the Palatine to the Ecquiline was not near so great. So when Vorise, in Aureliano mentions a portice in Sallust's gardens, which he calls porticus multiarensis, it must be understood of a thousand feet. So also Hopace:

" Nulla decempedis

" Metata privatis opacam

" Porticus excipiebat Arcton." Lib. ii. ode 15 So alfo in lib. i. fatyr. 8.

"Mille pedes in fronte, trecentos cippus in agrum

" Hic dabat."

## NOTE [PP], p. 398.

T appears from CASAR's account, that the GAULS had no domethic flaves who formed a different order from the Pleher. The whole common people were indeed a kind of flaves to the nobility, sthe people of POLAND are at this day: And a nobleman of GAUL had fometimes ten thousand dependents of this kind. Nor can we out, that the armies were composed of the people as well as of the bility. The fighting men amongst the HELVLTH were the fourther of the inhabitants: A clear proof that all the males of military age bore arms. See CASAR de bello Gall. lib. i.

We may remark, that the numbers in CESAR'S Commentaries can be more depended on than those of any other ancient author, because of the GREEK translation which still remains, and which checks the

LATIN original.

NOTE [QQ]. p. 401.

HE inhabitants of MARSEILLES loft not their fuperiority nvet the GAULS in commerce and the mechanic arts, till the Rod MAN dominion turned the latter from arms to agriculture and civil life. See STRABO, lib. iv. That author, in feveral places, repeats the observation concerning the improvement arising from the ROMAN arts and civility: And he lived at the time when the change was new, and would be more fensible. So also PLINY: "Quis enim non, " communicato orbe terrarum, majestate Romani imperii, profecisse " vitam putet, commercio rerum ac focietate festæ pacis, omniaque " etiam, quæ occulta antea fuerant, in promiscuo usu facta. Lib. " xiv. præm. Numine deûm electa (speaking of ITALY) quæ cœ-" lum ipfum clarius faceret, sparsa congregaret imperiar ritusque " molliret, & tot populorum discordes, ferasque linguas sermonis " commercio contraheret ad colloquia, & humanitatem homini da-" ret; breviterque, una cunctarum gentium in toto orbe patria " fieret;" lib. ii. cap. 5. Nothing can be stronger to this purpose than the following passage from TERTULLIAN who lived about the age of Sevenus. " Certe quidem ipse orbis in promptu est, cultior de die " & instructior pristino. Omnia jam pervia, omnia nota, omnia ne-" gotiofa. Solitudines famolas retro fundi amœniffimi obliteraverunt, " filvas arva domuerunt, feras pecora fugaverunt; arenæ feruntur. " faxa panguntur, paludes cliquantur, tantæ urbes, quantæ non cafæ " quondam. Jam nec insulæ horrent, nec scopuli terrent; ubique " domus, ubique populus, ubique respublica, ubique vita. Summum " testimonium frequentiæ humanæ, onerosi sumus mundo, vix nobis " elementa fufficiunt; et neceffitates arctiores, et querelæ apud " omnes, dum jam nos natura non fuslinet." De anima, cap. 30 The air of rhetoric and declamation which appears in this paffage, diminishes somewhat from its authority, but does not entirely destroy it. The fame remark may be extended to the following passage of ARISTIDES the Sophist, who lived in the age of ADRIAN. " whole world," fags he, addressing himself to the Romans, "teems " to keep one holiday; and mankind, laying afide the fword which "they formerly wore, now betake themselves to feasting and to joy. "The cities, forgetting their ancient animofities, preferve only one " emulation, which shall embellish itself most by every art and ornament: Theatres every where arise, amphitheatres, porticoes, aque-"ducts, temples, schools, academics; and one may safely pronounce that the finking world has been again raifed by your aufpicion. empire. Nor have cities alone received an encrease of ornamely and beauty; but the whole earth, like a garden or paradife, as cultivated and adorned: Infomuch, that fuch of mankind as are placed out of the limits of your empire (who are but few) feem to merit our fympathy and compassion."

It is remarkable, that though DIODORUS SIGULUS makes the inhabitants of Algypt, when conquered by the ROMANS, amount only to three millions; yet JOSEPH. de belo Jud. lib. ii. cap. 16. fays, that its inhabitants, excluding those of ALEXANDRIA, were seven millions and a half, in the reign of NERO; and he expressly says, that the drew this account from the books of the Roman publicans who Livind the poll-tax. STRABO, lib. xvii. praises the superior police of the Romans with regard to the finances of ÆGYPT, above that of its former monarchs: And no part of administration is more effential to the happiness of a people. Yet we read in ATHENEUS (lib. i. cap. 25.), who flourished during the reign of the Antonines, that the town Marfia, near Alexandria, which was formerly a large city, had dwindled into a village. This is not, properly fpeaking. SUIDAS (AUGUST.) fays, that the Emperor Aua contradiction. GUSTUS, having numbered the whole ROMAN empire, found it contained only 4,101,017 men (anders). There is here furely fome great missake either in the author or transcriber: But this authority, feeble as it is, may be fufficient to counterbalance the exaggerated accounts of Heroporus and Dioporus Siculus with regard to more early times.

NOTE [RR], p 401.

IB. ii. cap. 62. It may perhaps be imagined, that POLYBIUS, being dependent on ROME, would naturally extol the ROMAN dominion. But in the first place, POLYBIUS, though one sees sometimes instances of his caution, discovers no symptoms of flattery. Secondly, This opinion is only delivered in a single stroke, by the by, while he is intent upon another subject; and it is allowed, if there be any suspicion of an author's infincerity, that these oblique propositions discover his real opinion better than his more formal and direct affertions.

NOTE [SS], p. 403.

Must confess, that that discourse of PLUTARCH concerning the filence of the oracles, is in general of fo odd a texture, and fo unlike his other productions, that one is at a loss what judgment to form of it. It is written in dialogue; which is a method of compohis that Plutarch commonly but little affects. The personages he introduces advance very wild, abfurd, and contradictory opinions, more like the visionary systems or ravings of Plato than the plain fense of PLUTARCH. There runs also through the whole an air of fuperstition and credulity, which refembles very little the spirit that appears in other philosophical compositions of that author. For it is remarkable, that though PLUTARCH be an historian as superstitious as Herodotus or Livy, yet there is scarcely in all antiquity a philosopher less superstitious, excepting CICERO and LUCIAN. therefore confess, that a passage of PLUTARCH cited from this discourfe, has much lefs authority with me than if it had been found in most of his other compositions.

There is only one other discourse of PLUTARCH liable to like objections, to wit, that concerning those whose punishment is delayed by the Deity. It is also writ in dialogue, contains like superstitious,

## Notes to the First Volume.

wild visions, and seems to have been chiefly composed in rivalship to PLATO, particularly his last book De re republica.

And here I cannot but observe, that Mons. Fontenelle, a wrighter eminent for candor, seems to have departed a little from his usual character, when he endeavours to throw a ridicule upon Plutarchies on account of passages to be met with in this dialogue concerning oracles. The absurdities here put into the mouths of the several personages are not to be ascribed to Plutarch. He makes them resulte each other; and in general, he seems to intend the ridiculing of those very opinions which Fontenelle would ridicule him for maintaining. See Hissoire des oracles.

NOTE [TT], p. 423.

IT is remarkable, that in the remonstrance of the duke of Bourbon and the legitimate princes against this destination of Louis the XIVth, the doctrine of the original contract is infifted on, even in that absolute government. The FRENCH nation, say they, chusing HUGH CAPET and his posterity to rule over them and their posterity, where the former line fail, there is a tacit right referved to chuse a new royal family; and this right is invaded by calling the baffard princes to the throne, without the confent of the nation. But the Comte de Boulainvilliers, who wrote in desence of the bastard princes, ridicules this notion of an original contract, especially where applied to HUGH CAPET, who mounted the throne, fays he, by the fame arts which have ever been employed by all conquerors anacci usurpers. He got his title, indeed, recognized by the states after he had put himself in possession: But is this a choice or contract? The Comte de Boulainvilliers, we may observe, was a noted republican; but being a man of learning, and very conversant in history, he knew that the people were never almost consulted in these revolutions and new establishments, and that time alone bestowed right and authority on what was commonly at first founded on force and violence. See Etat de la France, Vol. III.